

This is the author's accepted manuscript of an article published in *Theriogenology*.

© 2019. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Efficient isolation, biophysical characterisation and molecular composition of extracellular vesicles secreted by primary and immortalised cells of reproductive origin

AUTHORS: Jamaludin, N A; Thurston, L M; Witek, K J; Meikle, A; Basatvat, S; Elliott, S; Hunt, S; Andronowska, A; Fazeli, A

JOURNAL: *Theriogenology*

PUBLISHER: Elsevier

PUBLICATION DATE: 31 May 2019 (online)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.06.002>

1 **Title:**

2 **Efficient isolation, biophysical characterisation and molecular composition of**  
3 **extracellular vesicles secreted by primary and immortalised cells of reproductive**  
4 **origin.**

5  
6 **Nurul Akmal Jamaludin<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Marie Thurston<sup>1,2</sup>, Krzysztof Jan Witek<sup>3</sup>, Albany Meikle<sup>1</sup>,**  
7 **Shaghayegh Basatvat<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Elliott<sup>1</sup>, Stuart Hunt<sup>4</sup>, Aneta Andronowska<sup>3</sup>, Alireza**  
8 **Fazeli<sup>1,5\*</sup>**

- 9 1. Academic Unit of Reproductive and Development Medicine, Department of Oncology  
10 and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2SF, UK  
11 2. Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College,  
12 University of London, London NW1 0TU, UK  
13 3. Institute of the Animal Reproduction and Food Research of the Polish Academy of  
14 Sciences, 10-748 Olsztyn, Poland  
15 4. The School of Clinical Dentistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TA, UK  
16 5. Department of Pathophysiology, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

17

18 **Corresponding author:**

19 \*Professor Alireza Fazeli

20 Chair of Reproductive and Developmental Medicine

21 Academic Unit of Reproductive and Development Medicine, University of Sheffield,

22 Level 4, The Jessop Wing, Tree Root Walk, Sheffield, S10 2SF, UK

23 a.fazeli@sheffield.ac.uk

24

25 **Declaration of Interest:**

26 The authors declare that they have no declarations of interest.

27

28 **Author email addresses:**

29 Nurul Akmal Jamaludin (nakmaljamaludin@gmail.com); Lisa Marie Thurston  
30 (lthurston@rvc.ac.uk); Krzysztof Jan Witek (k.witek@pan.olsztyn.pl); Albany Meikle  
31 (Albany.meikle@gmail.com); Shaghayegh Basatvat (s.basatvat@sheffield.ac.uk); Sarah  
32 Elliott (s.elliott@sheffield.ac.uk); Stuart Hunt (s.hunt@sheffield.ac.uk); Aneta Andronowska  
33 (a.andronowska@pan.olsztyn.pl); Alireza Fazeli (a.fazeli@sheffield.ac.uk).

34

**1 Abstract**

2

3 Effective communication between the maternal reproductive tract, gametes and the pre-  
4 implantation embryo is essential for the successful establishment of pregnancy. Recent  
5 studies have recognised extracellular vesicles (EVs) as potent vehicles for intercellular  
6 communication, potentially via their transport of microRNAs (miRNAs). The aim of the current  
7 investigation was to determine the size, concentration and electrical surface properties (zeta  
8 potential) of EVs secreted by; (1) primary cultures of porcine oviductal epithelial cells (POECs)  
9 from the isthmus and ampullary regions of the female reproductive tract; (2) Ishikawa and  
10 RL95-2 human endometrial epithelial cell line cultures; and (3) the non-reproductive epithelial  
11 cell line HEK293T. In addition, this study investigated whether EVs secreted by POECs  
12 contained miRNAs. All cell types were cultured in EV-depleted medium for 24 or 48 hours.  
13 EVs were successfully isolated from conditioned culture media using size exclusion  
14 chromatography. Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) was performed to evaluate EV size,  
15 concentration and zeta potential. QRT-PCR was performed to quantify the expression of  
16 candidate miRNAs (miR-103, let-7a, miR-19a, miR-203, miR-126, miR-19b, RNU44, miR-92,  
17 miR-196a, miR-326 and miR-23a). NTA confirmed the presence of EVs with diameters of 50-  
18 150 nm in all cell types. EV size distribution was significantly different between cell types after  
19 24 and 48 hours of cell culture and the concentration of EVs secreted by POECs and Ishikawa  
20 cells was also time dependent. The distribution of EVs with specific electrokinetic potential  
21 measurements varied between cell types, indicating that EVs of differing cellular origin have  
22 varied membrane components. In addition, EVs secreted by POECs exhibited significantly  
23 different time dependant changes in zeta potential. QRT-PCR confirmed the presence of miR-  
24 103, let-7a, miR-19a, miR-203, miR-126, and miR-19b in EVs secreted by POECs ( $C_T \geq 29$ ).  
25 Bioinformatics analysis suggests that these miRNAs are involved in cell proliferation, innate  
26 immune responses, apoptosis and cellular migration. In conclusion, reproductive epithelial  
27 cells secrete distinct populations of EVs containing miRNAs, which potentially act in

1 intercellular communication in order to modulate the periconception events leading to  
2 successful establishment of pregnancy.

3

4

5 **Keywords:**

6 Extracellular vesicle, oviductal epithelial, MicroRNA, exosome.

## 1        **1. Introduction**

2  
3        Beneficial communication between the female reproductive tract and the gametes is essential  
4        for the successful establishment of fertilisation [1]. In order for spermatozoa to fertilise an  
5        oocyte in the oviduct, they must first travel through the complex structures of the female  
6        reproductive tract, where they undergo biochemical modification and sperm selection [2].  
7        Recent studies have sought to determine the complex molecular interactions between  
8        spermatozoa and the cells of the female reproductive tract, investigating mechanisms of  
9        gamete storage in the oviduct [2-3], the control of gamete maturation [4] and the selection of  
10       specific populations of spermatozoa for fertilisation [5]. While significant advances have been  
11       made in the understanding of the resultant effects of exposure of gametes to oviductal fluid  
12       and/or the binding of spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells, specific details regarding  
13       intercellular communication remain elusive [6-10].

14  
15       It is widely accepted that extracellular vesicles (EVs) are powerful vehicles for intercellular  
16       communication in a wide variety of physiological systems [11-14]. The term EV refers to a  
17       diverse classification of vesicles secreted by the cell and includes microvesicles (50-1000 nm  
18       in diameter and of plasma membrane origin), exosomes (50-150 nm in diameter, originating  
19       from endosomal cellular compartments) and apoptotic bodies (100-2000nm in diameter) [14-  
20       18]. EVs are composed of a lipid bilayer containing transmembrane proteins and enclosing  
21       soluble proteins, DNA, and RNA (including microRNAs) [12, 15, 19-20]. Once secreted by  
22       their cell of origin, it is thought that recipient cells are able to target and bind EVs via their  
23       surface proteins thus mediating communication between cell types [21].

24

1 In the mammalian reproductive system, EVs have been identified in semen [22], uterine fluid  
2 [23-24], oviductal fluid [25-26] and follicular fluid [27], where they have been reported to be  
3 involved in gametogenesis, fertilization, embryogenesis and embryo development [24, 28-29].  
4 Data from our research group have demonstrated that EVs are secreted by both uterine and  
5 oviductal epithelial cells of the porcine female reproductive tract [30]. Moreover, in humans,  
6 endometrial epithelial cells have been shown to secrete EVs, which carry extracellular matrix  
7 metalloprotease inducer (EMMPRIN) for the production of matrix metalloproteinase in uterine  
8 stromal fibroblast cells, an essential component of endometrial remodelling during the  
9 menstrual cycle and during embryo implantation [23, 31-32]. In rodents, oviductal epithelial  
10 cell EVs are known to deliver essential fertility modulating proteins, including plasma  
11 membrane  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  regulatory ATPase 4 (PMCA4), to the spermatozoa in order to modulate  
12 sperm storage, capacitation and acrosome reaction [25]. More recently, Alminana *et al.* [33]  
13 have shown that EVs secreted by bovine oviductal epithelial cells *in vivo* and *in vitro*, contain  
14 proteins known to be involved in sperm-oocyte binding, fertilisation and embryo development.  
15 Since the discovery of EVs on the apical surfaces of oviductal and endometrial epithelial cells,  
16 and also in oviductal and uterine fluid, research into the roles of EVs within the reproductive  
17 system have given some clues as to the mechanisms that might be used to achieve successful  
18 intercellular communication.

19

20 It is thought that EVs might facilitate their potent effects on intercellular communication via  
21 their transport and delivery of microRNAs (miRNAs) [13]. MiRNAs are known to influence both  
22 the protein translocation of genes, and the non-coding RNA mediated signalling cascades that  
23 regulate differential splicing events and thus impact cellular processes [34]. As the interaction  
24 of gametes with the maternal reproductive tract is known to be accompanied by the  
25 upregulation of proteins in maternal tract epithelia, a potential mechanism of regulation for  
26 these interactions might be via EV-mediated miRNA delivery [35-37]. Recently, we have  
27 obtained data indicating that spermatozoa secrete EVs that interact with oviductal epithelial

1 cells when in sperm-oviduct co-cultures [38]. MiRNAs have previously been detected in  
2 spermatozoa and it is interesting to note that sperm miRNA complement differs between fertile  
3 and infertile men [39]. Further clarification of the miRNA content of EVs secreted by oviductal  
4 epithelial cells, and their potential modification of both the gametes and the female tract itself,  
5 would have significant implications for the targeting of assisted reproductive technologies.

6

7 To date, EVs have been characterised based on their size and concentration, and their  
8 expression of specific biomarkers including the Tetraspanins [40-42]. In addition, scanning  
9 electron microscopy (SEM) has proved to be a useful tool for the demonstration of the  
10 presence of EVs isolated from cell culture medium, urine, plasma and breastmilk, however,  
11 this technique is not quantitative and provides no detailed information regarding the size  
12 distribution or the total number of EVs within a given sample [42]. Here, we describe a novel  
13 method for establishing the characteristics of individual extracellular vesicles in real time using  
14 nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) by ZetaView® (Particle Metrix, Germany). NTA uses  
15 laser scattering microscopy to detect the random movement of individual EVs within a solution.  
16 The ZetaView® NTA software uses video tracking to measure the rate of EV Brownian motion  
17 to calculate the diffusion coefficient and thus determine particle size and concentration [16,  
18 43]. In addition to determining EV size parameters, ZetaView® NTA is able to measure the  
19 electrokinetic potential, or zeta potential, of the interfacial region between the EV surface and  
20 its aqueous environment [44-45]. This zeta potential measurement is able to provide us with  
21 important information regarding the stability of the colloid system, since it measures the  
22 attraction or repulsion between particles, by providing an indication of the magnitude of their  
23 electrostatic charge [44, 46-47]. However, perhaps more importantly, the zeta potential  
24 measurement reflects the surface charge of the EV membrane [48]. As plasma membrane  
25 composition is known to influence cellular surface charge, and thus zeta potential [49], it is not  
26 unreasonable to assume that the content of the EV membrane might also be reflected in its

1 zeta potential providing us with a mechanism for classifying EVs based on their membrane  
2 content [48].

3

4 Given the increased interest in the potential of EVs as facilitators of intercellular  
5 communication and the application of this new technology across all physiological systems, it  
6 is imperative that we develop rigorous *in vitro* systems with which to study the content, action  
7 and effects of EVs secreted by different cell types. Moreover, the identification of EV miRNAs  
8 and the clarification of their function in mediating intercellular communication, will enhance our  
9 understanding of how cells are able to influence the physiology of their surrounding  
10 environment. This is of particular importance for our understanding of reproductive physiology,  
11 where defining the type and function of EVs that are produced by different reproductive cells  
12 will provide important insights into the mechanisms influencing fertilisation by natural  
13 conception and assisted reproductive technologies. Therefore, the aim of this present study  
14 was to develop an *in vitro* system for the production, isolation and characterisation of EVs  
15 secreted by porcine oviductal epithelial cells (POECs) in primary culture, human endometrial  
16 epithelial cell lines (Ishikawa and RL95-2), and the non-reproductive human embryonic kidney  
17 epithelial cell line HEK293T. In addition, we will determine whether POECs secrete EVs that  
18 contain bioactive molecules including EV-specific protein biomarkers and miRNAs.

19

20

21

22

23

24



## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell culture

#### 2.1.1. Primary porcine oviductal epithelial cell (POEC) cultures.

Oviducts were obtained from reproductively immature gilts immediately after slaughter at a local abattoir (N.Bramall and Sons Ltd., UK). The oviducts were dissected on site from supporting mesentery, ovaries and uterine horns and were transported back to the laboratory on ice in Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS) with calcium and magnesium supplemented with a 2% antibiotic antimycotic mix (10,000 units/ml penicillin, 10 mg/mL streptomycin and 25 µg/ml amphotericin) (Sigma-Aldrich®, Poole, UK). In the laboratory, the oviducts and oviductal lumens were washed three times with DPBS (Sigma-Aldrich®) supplemented with the 2% antibiotic antimycotic mix. Oviducts were then tied with a cotton ligature at the ampullary end, filled with 0.25% collagenase solution (Sigma-Aldrich®) and closed at the utero tubal junction (UTJ) with an additional cotton ligature. Oviducts were incubated in Hank's Balance Salt Solution (Sigma-Aldrich®) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air, at 39°C for 90 minutes. Thereafter, the oviducts were cut at the ampullary end and the luminal content, containing the POECs, was harvested by squeezing the lumen content. For investigations comparing POECs from different regions of the oviduct, the oviduct was bisected at the isthmus-ampullary junction and porcine ampulla epithelial cells (PAECs) and porcine isthmus epithelial cells (PIECs) were recovered from the ampullary and isthmic regions of the oviduct, respectively. POECs, PAECs or PIECs were placed into F-12 Ham Nutrient Mixture medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich®), 1% (v/v) antibiotic antimycotic mix (Sigma-Aldrich®). The harvested POECs, PAECs or PIECs, were washed 3 times with complete medium by centrifugation at 300 x g at room temperature for 5 minutes. Cell pellets were treated with cold distilled water to remove red blood cells and were then washed once more by centrifugation at 300 x g at room

1 temperature for 5 minutes. POECs, PAECs or PIECs, were seeded in T75 flasks ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells  
2 per flask) (Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany) and cultured in F-12 Ham Nutrient Mixture  
3 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (Sigma-Aldrich®) in a humidified atmosphere of  
4 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air, at 39°C. Culture medium was refreshed every 48 hours. After 4-5 days in  
5 culture, all cells had reached confluency. At confluency, POECs, PAECs and PIECs were  
6 harvested using trypsin-EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich®) and pelleted by centrifugation at 300  
7 x g for 4 minutes before resuspension in culture media.

8

9 In order to isolate EVs, POECs, PAECs and PIECs ( $3 \times 10^6$  cells or 3 x T75 flasks where each  
10 flask contained  $1 \times 10^6$  cells) were grown in F-12 Ham Nutrient Mixture medium supplemented  
11 with 10% (v/v) FBS. At 40% confluency, the medium was replaced with F-12 medium  
12 supplemented with 10% EV-depleted FBS and 1% (v/v) antibiotic antimycotic (Sigma-  
13 Aldrich®). Conditioned medium containing POEC-EVs was collected 24 hours and 48 hours  
14 after the culture medium was changed to an EV-depleted FBS media. Conditioned medium  
15 containing PAEC-EVs or PIEC-EVs was collected 48 hours after the culture medium was  
16 changed to an EV-depleted FBS media. All the experiments were performed using 3 biological  
17 replicates (independent porcine POEC, PAEC or PIEC cultures produced from porcine  
18 oviducts collected on different days) and 3 technical replicates (independent cell culture  
19 flasks).

20

#### 21 2.1.1.1. Preparation of EV-depleted FBS

22 EV-depleted FBS was prepared by ultracentrifugation of FBS (Sigma-Aldrich®) at 100,000 x  
23 g overnight at 4°C (Beckman-Coulter, Optima™ LE-80K ultracentrifuge, type 45 Ti rotor). The  
24 resulting supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter. Following ultracentrifugation and  
25 filtration, the concentration of EVs in the resultant EV-depleted FBS was determined by NTA.

1 NTA was performed using the PMX 110 ZetaView® (Particle Metrix, Meerbusch, Germany),  
2 with ZetaView® sensitivity and shutter parameters set at 85 and 1/70 seconds respectively.  
3 To assess the efficiency of this method for FBS EV depletion, 3 different batches of EV-  
4 depleted FBS (biological replicates) were analysed with 3 technical assessments being carried  
5 out on each batch. However, all cell culture experiments reported in this manuscript were  
6 conducted using a single batch of EV-depleted FBS in order to standardise the effects of any  
7 serum-derived growth factors and/or biomolecules on cell proliferation and EV production.

8

### 9 2.1.2. Immortalised cell line cultures

10 Human endometrial adenosquamous carcinoma Ishikawa cells (Sigma-Aldrich®), human  
11 endometrial adenosquamous carcinoma RL95-2 cells (American Type Culture Collection,  
12 ATCC; Virginia, USA) and human embryonic kidney epithelial HEK293T cells (ATCC) were  
13 utilised in these investigations. Ishikawa cells were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium  
14 Eagle (Sigma-Aldrich®) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS and 1% L-Glutamine (Sigma-  
15 Aldrich®). RL95-2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium Nutrient Mixture  
16 F-12 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% L-Glutamine and 0.125% insulin  
17 (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Paisley, UK). HEK293T cells were cultured in Roswell Park  
18 Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI-1640) medium (Sigma-Aldrich®) supplemented with 10% (v/v)  
19 FBS, 1% L-Glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich®) and 1% Minimum Essential Medium (MEM; Sigma-  
20 Aldrich®). For all human cell lines, 3 T75 flasks, where each flask contained  $1 \times 10^6$  cells,  
21 were seeded and cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air, at 37°C. Once the cell  
22 lines reached 40% confluency, medium was removed and replaced with the respective fresh  
23 culture medium supplemented with 10% EV-depleted FBS. Conditioned medium containing  
24 EVs, was collected after 24 hours and 48 hours of cell culture.

25

## 26 2.2. Extracellular vesicle isolation

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 2.2.1. Removal of cells and cell debris from conditioned media

Conditioned media containing EVs released from cell lines and primary cultures was centrifuged at 300 x *g* for 10 minutes at 4°C and the epithelial cell pellet discarded. The supernatant was centrifuged at 2000 x *g* for 10 minutes at 4°C and the pellet containing dead cells discarded. Finally, the remaining supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 x *g* for 30 minutes at 4°C to pellet cellular debris. The final supernatant was concentrated using a Vivaspin 20 concentration tube (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) (100,000 Da molecular weight cut off) using a centrifugation force of 2500 x *g* at 4°C, until 0.5ml of concentrated conditioned media remained.

### 2.2.2. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC)

SEC was performed to isolate EVs from free proteins in the conditioned culture media. Fourteen millilitres of Sepharose CL-2B suspended in 20% ethanol (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) was added to an Econo-Pac chromatography column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) and allowed to settle until the ethanol had separated from the agarose beads. An upper bed support was placed into the column at a depth of 10 ml and the separated ethanol layer was allowed to elute from the column. DPBS (Sigma-Aldrich®) supplemented with 0.03% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich®) (PBS+Tween) was passed through the column twice to wash any remaining ethanol from the Sepharose beads. Concentrated conditioned media containing EVs secreted by cell lines and primary cultures was added to the SEC column and simultaneously the collection of the elution was initiated. For each sample of concentrated EV conditioned media, a total of 20 fractions were collected from the SEC column, each containing a volume of 0.5 ml. After the total volume of concentrated EV conditioned media had passed through the upper bed support, 10 ml of PBS+Tween was added to the SEC column, in order to create a pressure for elution.

### 2.2.3. Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay

To determine the relative presence of protein in each of the POEC-EV SEC fractions, protein content was measured using the BCA assay. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein standards (Sigma-Aldrich®) were prepared with PBS+0.03% Tween (Sigma-Aldrich®), at final concentrations of 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 2 µg/µl. Ten microlitres of each POEC-EV SEC fraction and the protein standards were placed into individual wells of a 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One, Gloucestershire, UK) in duplicate. Protein standards were used to evaluate any potential variation in measurements between plates. A 200 µl copper sulphate solution (Sigma-Aldrich®) with BCA solution (Sigma-Aldrich®) in a 1:50 ratio was added to each well and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Absorbance was determined using a Multiscan EX microplate reader (MTX LabSystems, Bradenton, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm and analysed using Ascent Software for Multiscan. A calibration curve of protein standard concentration versus absorption was produced and the protein concentration of each POEC-EV SEC fraction was calculated.

### 2.2.4. Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

In order to visualise the relative presence of protein in each POEC-EV SEC fraction, protein content was profiled using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Fifteen microliters of each POEC-EV SEC fraction was mixed with 15 µl of 2-fold concentrated reducing sample buffer with β-mercaptoethanol (5%; Bio-Rad, Watford, UK) and incubated at 95°C for 7 min. Then, 30 µl of each sample and 5 µl of Precision plus protein™ standard (Bio-Rad) was loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE polyacrylamide gel (National diagnostics, USA) and electrophoresis was performed for 90 min at 110 V. After that, gels were stained with Coomassie blue R-250 (Sigma-Aldrich®) for 1 hour at room temperature and then washed with destaining solution several times until the background became clear.

### 2.3. Western blot analysis of extracellular vesicles in EV-conditioned media using CD63 and CD9 protein markers

The presence of EVs in the POEC SEC fractions was confirmed by western blot analysis using the EV protein biomarkers CD63 and CD9. Six fractions containing the highest concentration of POEC-EVs (fractions 7 to 12) were pooled and centrifuged at 100 000 x g for 1 hour at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA). Protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay method as previously described. Protein was resolved on 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (National diagnostics), transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and blocked with 5% (w/v) non-fat milk powder suspended in TRIS-buffered saline with tween-20 (TBS-T; Sigma-Aldrich®). Protein blots were subsequently probed with a CD63 primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal antibody; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA) or a CD9 primary antibody (mouse monoclonal antibody; Bio-Rad) at 1:200 dilution in 5% non-fat milk/TBS-T solution at 4°C overnight. Blots were washed for 5 minutes in TBS-T four times and then incubated in secondary antibody, polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin/horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Dako, Denmark) or polyclonal goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin/HRP (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for CD63 or CD9 respectively, at 1:2000 dilution at 4°C overnight. The PVDF membrane was then washed again with TBS-T three times for 10 minutes each. Pierce enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific; reagents A and B combined at a 1:1 ratio), was applied to the PVDF membrane for a few seconds to facilitate development. The blot was sandwiched between plastic and exposed to 5" x 7" CL-Xposure™ film (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in an x-ray cassette (Kodak, New York, USA) for between 5 to 20 minutes. The film was developed and fixed using a Compact X4 automatic x-ray film processor (Xograph, Gloucestershire, UK). All western blotting experiments were performed in triplicate.

### 2.4. Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA)

1

## 2 2.4.1. EV size and concentration measurement

3 Following SEC, POEC-EVs in each of 20 column fractions were measured by NTA to quantify  
4 EV size distribution and concentration. For PAEC-EVs, PIEC-EVs and cell line derived EVs,  
5 EV concentration and size distribution was determined in SEC fractions 5 to 15, as these  
6 fractions had previously been identified as containing a high EV concentration and low  
7 contaminating protein content. NTA was performed using the PMX 110 ZetaView® (Particle  
8 Metrix, Meerbusch, Germany). The Brownian motion of each EV was visualised by a laser  
9 light scattering method and tracked over time to calculate particle size using the Stokes-  
10 Einstein equation to determine the translational diffusion constant. All NTA measurements  
11 were performed with a ZetaView® sensitivity of 85, a shutter value of 70 (corresponding to an  
12 exposure time of 15 ms) and a frame rate of 30 frames per second. Column fractions were  
13 diluted between 1:20 and 1:200 in filtered distilled water to ensure that the concentration of  
14 EVs in each sample was optimal for ZetaView assessment (final concentration of  $2.5 \times 10^7$   
15 EVs per ml equates to 150 detected particles by the ZetaView®). In all experiments, three  
16 biological replicates and 3 technical assessments were carried out.

17

## 18 2.4.2. EV zeta potential measurement

19 Following SEC, POEC-EVs, PAEC-EVs, PIEC-EVs and cell line derived EVs were measured  
20 by NTA to quantify EV electrokinetic potential, or zeta potential ( $\zeta$ ). In all experiments, EVs  
21 from SEC fractions 5 to 15 were pooled for zeta potential analysis. Prior to measurement, the  
22 pooled fractions were diluted 1:100 in 1% PBS plus 0.03% Tween to ensure that the  
23 concentration of EVs in each sample was optimal for ZetaView assessment. EV zeta potential  
24 was measured using the PMX 110 ZetaView® (Particle Metrix). ZetaView® sensitivity was set  
25 at 85, shutter value at 70 (corresponding to an exposure time of 15 ms) and frame rate at 30  
26 frames per second. For the zeta potential measurement, solution conductivity was determined

1 to be low (below 2 mS/m), and as such, continuous mode was chosen. A low conductivity  
2 solution contains less ions and thus there are no issues with electro-osmosis factors  
3 influencing electrokinetic potential measurements. For all samples, pH was measured using  
4 an IQ150 pH meter (Spectrum Technologies, Inc. USA) and if required, pH was adjusted to  
5 6.9 with 1M HCl. All experiments were carried out on 3 biological replicates with 4 technical  
6 replicates.

7

## 8 **2.5. Extracellular vesicle microRNA content analysis**

9

10 In order to partially characterise the miRNA content of POEC-EVs, quantitative real time-PCR  
11 (QRT-PCR) analysis was carried out.

12

### 13 2.5.1. RNA extraction from extracellular vesicles

14 For RNA extraction, 400  $\mu$ l aliquots from each of POEC-EV SEC fractions 7-12 were combined  
15 and added to a Vivaspin6 (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) concentration column  
16 (100,000 Da molecular weight cut off), and centrifuged at 2500 x *g* until 100  $\mu$ l of a  
17 concentrated sample containing EVs remained.

18

#### 19 2.5.1.1. *Tri-Reagent extraction*

20 RNA isolation from POEC-EVs was performed using the TRI Reagent® manufacturer's  
21 protocol (Sigma-Aldrich®). One millilitre of TRI Reagent was added to 100  $\mu$ l of concentrated  
22 POEC-EVs. The EV lysate was homogenised by pipetting up and down several times. The  
23 homogenous lysate was transferred into a new tube and incubated for 5 minutes at room  
24 temperature to ensure the complete dissociation of membrane protein complexes. Then, 100  
25  $\mu$ l of 1-Bromo-3-chloropropane was added to the EV homogenate and the mixture was shaken  
26 vigorously for 15 seconds. After incubation at room temperature for 15 minutes, the sample  
27 was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12,000 x *g* at 4 °C resulting the production of 3 phases; a



1 red organic phase (protein), an interphase (DNA), and a colourless upper aqueous phase  
2 (RNA). The colourless aqueous phase was carefully transferred to a new tube and 500 µl of  
3 2-propanol was added. The sample was then incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes.  
4 Subsequently, a centrifugation step was performed at 12,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Next,  
5 the supernatant was carefully removed from the tube and the pellet was washed by adding 1  
6 ml 75 % Ethanol and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 5 minutes at 4 °C. The Ethanol phase was  
7 removed and the pellet was air dried for 5 minutes. Finally, the RNA was eluted with 50 µl  
8 RNase-free water (Sigma-Aldrich®) and stored at -80 °C.

9

#### 10 2.5.1.2. *miRCURY RNA isolation kit*

11 RNA was extracted from concentrated POEC-EV samples using a miRCURY RNA isolation  
12 kit (Exiqon, Woburn, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, the  
13 concentrated POEC-EV sample was lysed using the lysate buffer provided with the kit and  
14 100% ethanol was added to the sample. The lysate was washed three times using the  
15 provided wash solution, using centrifugation. Elution buffer (provided) was added to the  
16 sample and used to elute RNA by centrifugation. The RNA was treated with RNase-Free  
17 DNase Set (Qiagen, USA) to avoid DNA contamination and was stored at -80 °C.

18

#### 19 2.5.2. RNA detection

20 RNA quality, yield and size was measured using capillary electrophoresis (Agilent 2100  
21 G2938B Model B Bioanalyzer; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). Prior to analysis,  
22 RNAs were prepared with the Agilent small RNA kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the  
23 manufacturer's protocol.

24

#### 25 2.5.3. microRNA Expression Analysis

26 Quantification of miRNA expression was performed using the TaqMan miRNA Assay.  
27 Quantification using this assay is carried out using a two-step RT-PCR. First, complementary  
28 DNA (cDNA) is reverse transcribed from total RNA samples using Megaplex Primers Pool A

1 from the TaqMan miRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA).  
2 Second, the TaqMan miRNA Assay together with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix was  
3 used to amplify the PCR product from cDNA samples.

4

#### 5 2.5.3.1. Reverse transcription reaction

6 A reverse transcription reaction was performed using the TaqMan miRNA Reverse  
7 Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) with Megaplex Primers Pool A according to the  
8 manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, for each 4.5  $\mu$ l reaction, the RT master mix comprised 0.8  
9  $\mu$ l Megaplex™ RT Primers (10X), 0.2  $\mu$ l dNTPs with dTTP (100mM), 1.5  $\mu$ l MultiScribe™  
10 Reverse Transcriptase (50 U/ $\mu$ L), 0.8  $\mu$ l 10X RT Buffer, 0.9  $\mu$ l MgCl<sub>2</sub> (25mM), 0.1  $\mu$ l RNase  
11 Inhibitor (20U/ $\mu$ L) and 0.2  $\mu$ l Nuclease-free water. Three microlitres of RNA template was  
12 added to the RT master mix, mixed gently and incubated on ice for 5 minutes. The reverse  
13 transcription reaction thermal-cycling conditions consisted of 40 cycles with a profile of 2  
14 minutes at 16°C, 1 minute at 42 °C and 1 second at 50 °C, followed by 5 minutes at 85 °C.

15

#### 16 2.5.3.2. Quantitative Real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)

17 Quantitative RT-PCR reactions were performed using the TaqMan miRNA Assay together with  
18 the TaqMan 2X Universal PCR master Mix No AmpErase UNG. All qRT-PCR reactions were  
19 performed in triplicate with every 20  $\mu$ l reaction comprised of 1  $\mu$ l TaqMan miRNA Assay (20X),  
20 1.33  $\mu$ l product from RT Reaction, 10  $\mu$ l TaqMan 2X Universal PCR Master Mix No AmpErase  
21 UNG and 7.67  $\mu$ l Nuclease-free water. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using the  
22 Mx3005P QPCR (Stratagene, Waldbronn, Germany) and the thermal-cycling conditions  
23 consisted of 10 minutes at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles with a profile of 15 seconds at 95 °C  
24 and 60 seconds at 60 °C. We investigated the presence of 11 different miRNAs in EVs  
25 secreted by porcine oviductal epithelial cells. MicroRNA primer sequences are shown in Table

26 1.

27

28

1 Table 1: Mature microRNA (miRNA) sequences

miRNA	Mature miRNA Sequence
hsa-mir-103	AGCAGCAUUGUACAGGGCUAUGA
hsa-let- 7a	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUAUAGUU
hsa-mir-19a	UGUGCAAUUCUAUGCAAACUGA
hsa-miR-203	GUGAAAUGUUUAGGACCACUAG
hsa-mir-126	CAUUUUUACUUUUGGUACGCG
hsa-mir-19b	UGUGCAAUCCAUGCAAACUGA
hsa-mir-92	UAUUGCACUUGUCCCGGCCUG
RNU44	CCTGGATGATGATAGCAAATGCTGACTGAACATG AAGGTCTTAATTAGCTCTAACTGACT
hsa-mir-196a	UAGGUAGUUUCAUGUUGUUGGG
hsa-mir-326	CCUCUGGGCCCUUCCUCCAG
hsa-mir-23a	AUCACAUUGCCAGGGAUUUC

2

3

4 **2.6. Transmission Electron Microscopy**

5

6 POEC and cell line derived EVs were prepared for Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)  
7 examination by negative stain. Purified EVs were transferred onto formvar/carbon-coated  
8 electron microscopy grids (Agar Scientific, Stansted, UK) and allowed to adsorb for 20  
9 minutes. Next, EVs were fixed in Karnovsky fixative (Polysciences, Heidelberg, Germany) for  
10 5 minutes, washed in sterile distilled water and contrasted in 2.5 % aqueous uranyl acetate  
11 (Agar Scientific). Images were obtained using a Tecnai 12 Spirit G2 Bio Twin transmission  
12 electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA) equipped with Eagle 4k (FEI) and Veleta  
13 (Olympus, Hamberg, Germany) digital cameras.

14

**2.7. Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism 7 was used to perform the statistical analysis. Results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). The size distribution of the EVs was expressed as mode  $\pm$  SEM. Statistical analysis of EV data to compare two groups was performed using an unpaired two-tailed student's T-test. However, when more than two groups were compared, one-way or two-way ANOVA was performed. When comparing the EV size distributions, statistical analysis was performed using repeated measures ANOVA. All the experiments were performed on three biological replicates with either 3 or 4 technical replicates.  $P < 0.05$  was considered to be significant.

## 1 **4.0. Results**

2

### 3 **4.1. Establishing SEC as a valid method for the isolation of EVs from POEC conditioned** 4 **culture media**


5

6 Following confirmation that a combination of ultracentrifugation and filtration was able to  
7 deplete serum-derived EVs 75-fold (Figure 1), POECs were cultured in complete cell culture  
8 medium supplemented with 10% EV-depleted FBS and SEC was performed to isolate the EVs  
9 from free proteins in the conditioned culture media. The concentration of EVs in each SEC  
10 column fraction was determined by NTA, with the majority of EVs eluting in fractions 7 to 20  
11 (Figure 2). The diameter of EVs secreted by POECs as determined by NTA, was 50-250 nm  
12 and did not vary significantly between SEC fractions (Figure 3). In order to confirm that the  
13 particles detected by NTA were EVs, western blot analysis was performed to detect the  
14 presence of the EV markers CD63 and CD9. A pooled sample of EVs, consisting of SEC  
15 column fractions 7 to 12, showed strong bands for CD63 and faint bands for CD9, indicating  
16 that the isolated particles were indeed EVs (Figure 4).

17

18 To confirm that the SEC technique was able to isolate EVs from free-floating protein in the cell  
19 culture medium, each SEC fraction was assayed for protein concentration using the BCA  
20 assay. Protein was detected in SEC column fractions 13-20 (Figure 2). These findings were  
21 confirmed using gel electrophoresis with coomassie blue staining (not shown).

22




1

2 **Figure 1:** Extracellular vesicle (EV) concentration in normal foetal bovine serum (FBS) and  
3 EV-depleted FBS after ultracentrifugation. Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) confirmed that  
4 there was a significant reduction in particle concentration in EV-depleted FBS after  
5 ultracentrifugation when compared to normal FBS. Experiments were performed in three  
6 biological replicates and three technical replicates. Error bars represent the standard error of  
7 the mean. \*\*\*\* P < 0.0001.

8

9



1


2

3 **Figure 2:** Characterising extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by porcine oviductal epithelial  
 4 cells (POECs) and isolated using size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Conditioned culture  
 5 media was collected once cells became 70% confluent. Twenty fractions of 500  $\mu$ l were  
 6 collected from the SEC column. The concentration of EVs in each SEC fraction was measured  
 7 using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). The protein concentration for each SEC fraction  
 8 was measured using the Bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) to determine if the SEC technique is  
 9 capable of isolating EVs from free protein in culture media. The height of the bars represents  
 10 the mean concentration (particles/ml) of EVs from three biological replicates and three  
 11 technical replicates. The concentration of EVs in SEC fractions 1-6 ranged from  $5.6 \times 10^7$ /ml  
 12 to  $9.4 \times 10^7$ /ml, while the majority of EVs eluted from the SEC column in fractions 7-20 with  
 13 concentrations ranging from  $9.0 \times 10^8$ /ml to  $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ /ml. Circles represent the mean  
 14 concentration of protein (mg/ml) in each SEC fraction from three biological replicates and three  
 15 technical replicates. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

16

17

1



2

3


**Figure 3:** Characterising the size distribution of extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by porcine oviductal epithelial cells (POECs) and isolated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). EV size in each of 20 SEC column fractions was determined using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). Conditioned culture media was collected once cells became 70% confluent. Individual data points show the mode size of the EVs in each SEC column fraction, the top and bottom whiskers represent the 90th and 10th percentiles, the top and bottom boundaries of the boxes represent the 75th and 25th size percentiles and the median EV size is shown as a line in the box. EV size was measured in a minimum of three biological replicates and three technical replicates.

4

5

6





1

2

3 **Figure 4:** The presence of extracellular vesicles (EVs), secreted by porcine oviductal epithelial  
4 cells (POECs) and isolated using size exclusion chromatography (SEC), was confirmed using  
5 western blot analysis with the EV biomarkers CD63 and CD9. Western blotting was performed  
6 on a pool of EV samples isolated in SEC column fractions 7 to 12. Porcine oviductal epithelial  
7 cell lysate (CL) was used as a positive control. Molecular weight markers comprise the first  
8 lane of each blot.

9

10

11

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**4.2. Characterisation of EVs secreted by different cell types (reproductive versus non-reproductive and primary versus immortalised cell lines) after 24 hours and 48 hours of cell culture.**

The characteristics of EVs secreted by POECs in primary culture, was compared to EVs secreted by immortalised cell lines of reproductive (RL95-2, Ishikawa) and non-reproductive origin (HEK293).

The concentration of EVs isolated by SEC (fractions 5 to 15) from conditioned media after 24 and 48 hours of cell culture, are shown in Figure 5. SEC fractions containing EVs secreted by POECs cultured for 24 hours, showed a bimodal distribution with peak concentrations of EVs eluting from the column in fractions 7-8 and fractions 10-12 (Figure 5a). In contrast, POECs cultured for 48 hours showed a single distribution of EV concentrations across SEC fractions with peak concentrations in fractions 7-9 (Figure 5a). SEC fractions containing EVs secreted by Ishikawa cells cultured for 24 hours, showed the presence of EVs in all fractions, with a marked increase in the concentration of EVs eluting at fraction 12 (Figure 5b). However, the majority of EVs secreted by Ishikawa cells cultured for 48 hours, eluted from the SEC column in fractions 7-12 (Figure 5b). SEC of EVs secreted by RL95-2 cells cultured for 24 hours, showed the presence of EVs in all fractions with peak EV concentrations in fractions 9-11 (Figure 5c), whereas EVs obtained from RL95-2 cells after 48 hours of culture, showed a bimodal distribution with maximum concentrations in fractions 7-9 and 11-13 (Figure 5c). SEC fractions containing EVs secreted by HEK293T cells showed bimodal distributions with peak EV concentrations at fractions 7-9 and 12-14 in samples taken from HEK293T cells after 24 hours of culture, and fractions 7-8 and 12-14 in samples taken from HEK293T cells after 48 hours of culture (Figure 5d).

1 The total number of EVs secreted by POECs and Ishikawa cells was time dependent, showing  
2 an increase in the number of EVs isolated from conditioned media over time ( $p < 0.001$ ; Figure  
3 6). In contrast, the total number of EVs isolated from the conditioned media of HEK293T and  
4 RL95-2 cells in culture was not significantly different over time (Figure 6). The total number of  
5 EVs secreted per epithelial cell was calculated and showed no significant difference in the  
6 mean number of EVs produced per cell across all cell types at either 24 or 48 hours of culture  
7 (Figure 7). While the number of EVs produced per cell increased in POEC, RL95-2 and  
8 Ishikawa cell cultures over time, this increase did not prove to be significantly different (Figure  
9 7).

10

11 The mode size distribution of EVs eluted in each SEC column fraction for each of the 4 cell  
12 types was significantly different following culture for either 24 hours or 48 hours (Figure 8). All  
13 evaluated SEC fractions, in all cell types, contained measurable EVs with a mode diameter of  
14 80-160 nm (Figure 8). EVs secreted by the HEK293T cell line, after 24 and 48 hours of culture,  
15 eluting from the SEC column in fractions 5-10, exhibited similar mode diameters to those EVs  
16 assessed in POEC, Ishikawa and RL95-2 cells, however, HEK293T SEC fractions 11-15  
17 contained EVs of significantly smaller diameter than those observed in EVs secreted by cells  
18 of reproductive origin (Figure 8).

19


20 The mean zeta potential of EVs secreted by POEC primary cell cultures was significantly  
21 different over time, with a mean ( $\pm$  standard error) EV zeta potential of  $-30.3 (\pm 0.744)$  mV at  
22 24 hours, compared to  $-37.3 (\pm 2.66)$  mV at 48 hours ( $p < 0.0001$ ). These data are reflected in  
23 the POEC EV zeta potential frequency distributions, where electrokinetic potential exhibits a  
24 negative shift at 48 hours when compared with POEC EVs secreted at 24 hours (Figure 9a).  
25 All immortalised cell lines secreted EVs which showed no significant difference in zeta  
26 potential frequency distribution when cells were cultured for 24 or 48 hours (Figure 9).

1

2 TEM analysis was performed on purified EVs secreted by POEC, Ishikawa, RL95-2 and  
3 HEK293T cells. TEM revealed the presence of spherical vesicles with the artefactual cup-  
4 shape, measuring 30-170 nm in diameter (Figure 10). The majority of EVs were 30-100 nm in  
5 diameter, independent of their cell of origin.

6

1



2


3

4 **Figure 5:** The concentration (particles per ml) of extracellular vesicles (EVs) produced by a)  
 5 primary porcine oviductal epithelial cells (POEC); b) Ishikawa cells (human endometrial  
 6 epithelial cell line); c) RL95-2 cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line); d) HEK293T cells  
 7 (human embryonic kidney cell line) after 24 and 48 hours of culture in EV-depleted media.  
 8 EVs were isolated from conditioned media by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and  
 9 assessed using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). Data is shown as the mean ( $\pm$  standard  
 10 error) concentration of EVs eluted in each SEC column fraction from three biological replicates  
 11 and three technical replicates


12

13

14



1  
2  
3  
4 **Figure 6:** The total number of extracellular vesicles (EVs) produced by primary porcine  
5 oviductal epithelial cells (POECs), Ishikawa cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line),  
6 RL95-2 cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line) and HEK293T cells (human embryonic  
7 kidney cell line) after 24 and 48 hours of culture in EV-depleted media. EVs were isolated from  
8 conditioned media by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and measured using nanoparticle  
9 tracking analysis (NTA). Data is shown as the mean ( $\pm$  standard error) number of EVs isolated  
10 from the conditioned cell culture media, from three biological replicates and three technical  
11 replicates. Statistical analysis were determined by t-test, \*\*p=0.001 \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.



1

2

3 **Figure 7:** The total number of extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted per cell, by primary porcine

4 oviductal epithelial cells (POECs), Ishikawa cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line),

5 RL95-2 cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line) and HEK293T cells (human embryonic

6 kidney cell line) after 24 and 48 hours of culture in EV-depleted media. EVs were isolated from

7 conditioned media by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and measured using nanoparticle


8 tracking analysis (NTA). Data is calculated as the mean ( $\pm$  standard error) total number of EVs

9 isolated from the conditioned cell culture media per cell in culture, from three biological

10 replicates and three technical replicates. Differences in the number of EVs secreted per cell

11 over time showed no statistical significance.

12



1


2

3 **Figure 8:** The size distribution of extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by primary porcine  
 4 oviductal epithelial cells (POECs), Ishikawa cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line),  
 5 RL95-2 cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line) and HEK293T cells (human embryonic  
 6 kidney cell line) after 24 and 48 hours of culture in EV-depleted media. EVs were isolated from  
 7 conditioned media by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and measured using nanoparticle  
 8 tracking analysis (NTA). Top and bottom boundaries of the box plots represent the 75<sup>th</sup> and  
 9 25<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the size distributions, top and bottom whiskers represent the 90<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup>  
 10 percentiles. The median of the data is shown as a line within the box. Measurement of EV  
 11 sizes was performed in triplicate for each of three biological replicates.

12

13






1

2

3 **Figure 9:** The zeta potential (ZP) percentage frequency distributions of extracellular vesicles  
 4 (EVs) secreted by primary porcine oviductal epithelial cells (POECs), Ishikawa cells (human  
 5 endometrial epithelial cell line), RL95-2 cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line) and  
 6 HEK293T cells (human embryonic kidney cell line) after 24 and 48 hours of culture in EV-  
 7 depleted media. EVs were isolated from conditioned media by size exclusion chromatography  
 8 (SEC) and measured using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). The ZP distributions of EVs  
 9 derived from POECs after 48 hours of cell culture showed negative shifts compared with those  
 10 EVs derived from POECs after 24 hours of culture ( $p < 0.0001$ ). In contrast, there were no  
 11 significant differences in ZP for EVs derived from all immortalised cell lines after 24 and 48  
 12 hours of cell culture. These data represent the mean percentage of EVs detected with a  
 13 specific ZP ( $\pm$ standard error) in four technical replicates for each of three biological replicates.  
 14 Data were analysed using two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey *post-hoc* test.

15

16



1

2

3 **Figure 10:** Extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by, (a) primary porcine oviductal epithelial  
 4 cells (POECs), (b) Ishikawa cells (human endometrial epithelial cell line), (c) RL95-2 cells  
 5 (human endometrial epithelial cell line) and (d) HEK293T cells (human embryonic kidney cell  
 6 line), evaluated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM assessed EVs from a pool  
 7 of SEC fractions (fractions 7 to 12), isolated from each cell type. The majority of EVs have a  
 8 size range of 30-100 nm. Individual EVs are annotated using arrows. \* identifies an EV  
 9 exhibiting the artefactual cup-shape that is associated with EV TEM processing. Scale bar is  
 10 200 nm.

11


12

1 **4.3. Characterisation of EVs secreted by oviductal epithelial cells from the ampulla**  
2 **(PAEC) and isthmus (PIEC) regions of the porcine oviduct**

3  
4 NTA data confirmed that the size distribution of EVs secreted by PAEC and PIEC were within  
5 the range previously reported for EVs isolated from POECs collected from whole porcine  
6 oviducts (Figure 11) and that there was no significant difference in the size of EVs secreted  
7 by epithelial cells from different regions of the oviduct. However, the mean number of EVs  
8 isolated from the conditioned media of PAECs in culture at 70% confluency ( $2.2 \times 10^{10} \pm$   
9  $1.61 \times 10^{10}$ ) was significantly higher than the mean number of EVs isolated from cultured PIECs  
10 ( $1.57 \times 10^{10} \pm 1.34 \times 10^{10}$ ;  $p=0.008$ ). In addition, EV surface charge properties, reflected by  
11 measurements of zeta potential, were significantly different between EVs isolated from the  
12 conditioned media of cultured PAECs ( $-36.86 \pm 0.6341$  mV) when compared to PIECs ( $-38.85$   
13  $\pm 0.0197$  mV), indicating that EVs from different regions of the porcine oviduct might have  
14 distinct membrane characteristics and perhaps differing composition. This hypothesis is  
15 supported by data showing the frequency distribution of the zeta potential of individual EVs  
16 (Figure 12), where EVs originating from the isthmus region of the oviduct tend to exhibit a  
17 more negative zeta potential (mode of  $-40$  mV) as compared to EVs secreted by epithelial  
18 cells in the ampulla (mode of  $-35$  mV).

19


20



1  
2

3

4 **Figure 11:** Size of extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by porcine epithelial cells harvested  
 5 from the ampulla and isthmus regions of the oviduct. Conditioned culture media was collected  
 6 48 hours after the culture medium was changed to an EV-depleted FBS media. EVs were  
 7 isolated from conditioned culture media using size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and EV  
 8 size is shown for each of 15 SEC column fractions, as evaluated by nanoparticle tracking  
 9 analysis (NTA). In the box plots, the top and bottom of the boxes indicate 75% and 25% of the  
 10 size distribution, the bars show the median EV size, whiskers indicate the maximum and  
 11 minimum EV size. Three technical assessments were carried out on each of three biological  
 12 repeats.

1  
23  
4

**Figure 12:** Variation in the electrokinetic charge, or zeta potential, of extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by primary porcine ampulla epithelial cells (PAEC) and primary porcine isthmus epithelial cells (PIEC) in culture as determined by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). Conditioned culture media was collected 48 hours after the culture medium was changed to an EV-depleted FBS media and EVs were isolated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Data is shown as the mean ( $\pm$  standard error) percentage frequency of EV zeta potential from all EVs secreted by PAECs or PIECs. The zeta potential distribution of EVs secreted by PIECs tends to be more negative as compared to EVs obtained from PAECs. All experiments were performed on 3 biological repeats with 4 technical replications.

5  
6


#### 1 **4.4. The identification of candidate miRNAs present in EVs secreted by POECs in vitro**

##### 3 4.4.1. Isolation of RNA from EVs using the miRCURY kit versus tri-Reagent

4 The concentration of RNA purified from EVs secreted by POEC cultures was  $48782 \pm 10781$   
5  $\text{pg}/\mu\text{l}$  using the miRCURY kit, compared to  $496 \pm 251.6$   $\text{pg}/\mu\text{l}$  using tri-Reagent. As the  
6 concentration of RNA extracted from EVs using tri-Reagent was so low, we were not able to  
7 visualise the presence of either miRNA or small RNA in the electropherogram.

##### 9 4.4.2. Quantitative RT-PCR validation of miRNA expression in EVs secreted by POECs in 10 vitro.

11 For this analysis, RNA was extracted using the miRCURY kit. For each of 11 candidate  
12 miRNAs, mean expression data was calculated according to their quantification cycle (Ct)  
13 value. Six, of 11 candidate miRNAs were expressed in EVs secreted by POECs in vitro; miR-  
14 19b, miR-19a, miR-203, let-7a, miR-126 and miR-103; with Ct values ranging between 26-36  
15 (Figure 13).



1

2

3 **Figure 13:** Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of microRNA (miRNA) isolated  
 4 from extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by primary porcine oviductal epithelial cells  
 5 (POECs). Of 11 miRNAs, only 6 showed expression in EVs secreted by POECs (let-7a, miR-  
 6 103, miR-19a, miR-203, miR-126 and miR-19b). The height of the bar represents the mean ( $\pm$   
 7 standard error of the mean) of the quantification cycle (Ct) value for three biological replicates.  
 8 \*\*P=0.0019, \*\*\*\* P<0.0001, the statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA with  
 9 Sidak post hoc analysis. N.D: none detected.

10

11

12

## 1        **5.0. Discussion**

2

3 Extracellular vesicles (EVs) play pivotal roles in intercellular communication in all body  
4 systems, regulating both physiological and pathological processes [50-53]. This control of the  
5 intercellular environment is facilitated by the EV-mediated transport and delivery of a wide  
6 range of bioactive molecules including lipid, protein, DNA, RNA, mRNA and miRNA [54-56].  
7 Many studies describe the roles of EVs in cell proliferation and differentiation in a broad range  
8 of cell types and tissues [57-59].

9

10 EVs play an essential role in cell-to-cell communications in the male and female reproductive  
11 tracts. To date, EVs have been identified in follicular fluid [55], seminal plasma [60], oviductal  
12 fluid [61] and uterine fluid [24]. In addition, EVs have been shown to be released by maternal  
13 reproductive tract epithelia [30, 62], oocytes [63], spermatozoa [38] and the embryo [64-65].

14

15 A multifaceted intercellular communication via EVs is thought to take place throughout the  
16 peri-conception period in order to facilitate successful fertilisation and subsequent pregnancy.  
17 For instance, during transit through the epididymis, spermatozoa acquire proteins and  
18 miRNAs, delivered by epididymal epithelial cell EVs, in order to undergo post-testicular  
19 maturation and acquisition of motility [66-68]. At ejaculation, spermatozoa are further exposed  
20 to EVs originating from the prostate gland. These prostasomes contain proteins, which, via  
21 their signal transduction, antioxidant and immuno-regulatory effects, are able to control the  
22 timing of spermatozoa capacitation and acrosome reaction [69-71].

23



1 The role of EVs in optimising gamete viability continues to be important in the female  
2 reproductive tract. Al-Dossary *et al.* [25] demonstrated that uterine and oviductal EVs are  
3 important modulators of spermatozoa maturation, preventing premature capacitation by  
4 maintaining  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  homeostasis, via their delivery of plasma membrane  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ATPase 4a  
5 (PMCA4). Interestingly, the presence of gametes can elicit changes to the biochemistry of the  
6 female reproductive tract environment by stimulating novel gene expression in uterine  
7 epithelia [35-37]. These data indicate a potential role for EV-mediated miRNAs of gamete  
8 origin in the control of the maternal tract milieu. In addition to maintaining the viability of male  
9 gametes as they transit the female reproductive tract, EVs are known to influence the  
10 maturation and quality of the female gametes. Da Silveira *et al.* [55] and Santonocito *et al.* [72]  
11 demonstrated EV-mediated intercellular communication in the equine and human ovarian  
12 follicle respectively, identifying the presence of proteins and miRNAs known to target  
13 mechanisms controlling follicular growth and oocyte maturation, in EVs isolated from follicular  
14 fluid.

15  
16 The essential role of EVs as vehicles for intercellular communication is further supported by  
17 evidence that following fertilisation, EV-mediated miRNAs of endometrial origin, have been  
18 predicted to act on targets that regulate embryo maturation and implantation [23]. Indeed, the  
19 female reproductive tract is able to respond to the presence of embryonic trophoblast cells,  
20 without any physical contact and prior to the establishment of stable attachment, by  
21 upregulating expression at the miRNA, transcriptomic and proteomic levels [65]. These EV-  
22 mediated communications between the maternal reproductive tract and the gametes and/or  
23 the embryo, might enable the female to act as a biological sensor that screens the  
24 gamete/embryo and then responds by modifying the oviductal environment to suit a specific  
25 need or selection pressure [5]. This idea is supported by the work of Lopera-Vasquez *et al.*  
26 [62], who recently showed that EVs produced by bovine oviductal epithelial cells were able to  
27 improve the quality of blastocysts when added to embryo cultures.

1

2 Given the potential significance of EVs as vehicles for the transport of miRNAs between  
3 gametes and/or embryos and the female reproductive tract, we aimed to investigate methods  
4 for the extraction of RNA and miRNA from EVs. Despite their cellular origin, EV membranes  
5 are enriched with sphingomyelin and cholesterol, and contain less phosphatidylcholine than  
6 cellular membranes, making them more rigid and potentially more difficult to breach for RNA  
7 extraction [73-74]. Here, a column-based method and a phenol based method were compared  
8 for the extraction of RNA from EVs secreted by POECs. The quality of extracted EV RNA  
9 including purity, yield and size, and the analysis of small RNAs was determined. During  
10 generic RNA extraction analyses, the quality of RNA is assessed based on its Bioanalyser  
11 RNA integrity number (RIN) which is calculated based on 18s and 28s ribosomal RNA. Given  
12 the absence of ribosomal RNA in EVs, the RIN cannot be utilised to determine EV RNA quality.  
13 Instead, electropherograms of total RNA, showing size distribution in nucleotides (nt) versus  
14 fluorescence intensity, for both EV RNA purification methods were produced.  
15 Electropherograms generated from RNA extracted from EVs using the miRCURY kit showed  
16 the presence of miRNAs (15-40 nt) and small RNA, while the Tri-Reagent method was unable  
17 to recover adequate concentrations of EV-RNA for subsequent analysis. These findings are  
18 in agreement with those of Eldh *et al.* [74], who demonstrated that phenol-based methods of  
19 RNA extraction from EVs recovered low RNA yields when compared with column-based  
20 methods of RNA recovery.

21

22 In order to confirm the presence of miRNA in EVs secreted by POECs and to partially  
23 characterise the potential function of these EV mediated miRNAs, real-time PCR was  
24 performed using eleven candidate miRNAs. From these eleven miRNAs, six (let-7a, miR-19a,  
25 miR-203, miR-19b, miR-103 and miR-126), showed expression after real-time PCR, indicating  
26 that the miRCURY kit was able to successfully isolate miRNA from POEC EVs. Previous

1 studies in a number of different cell types, suggest that these six miRNAs are involved in  
2 membrane organisation [23], cell proliferation [75-76], cellular migration [77] and apoptosis  
3 [78]. Predicted target genes of miRNAs identified in endometrial EVs are known to play a role  
4 in the control of embryo implantation, regulating aspects of extracellular matrix interactions  
5 (let-7a, miR-19a) and VEGF signalling pathways [23]. It is not unreasonable to suggest that  
6 the miRNAs identified in POEC EVs during this study, might also be responsible for preparing  
7 the developing embryo for implantation as it transits the oviduct and moves into the  
8 endometrium.

9  
10 The POEC-EV miRNAs identified here, are also expressed in EVs isolated from follicular fluid  
11 where they are known to target factors such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) (miR-  
12 203) [72], transforming growth factor beta (TGF $\beta$ ) (miR-19a) [55] and oestrogen signalling  
13 pathways (miR-19b) [79]. The MAPK pathway stimulates oviductal epithelial cell proliferation  
14 and is known to be associated with an increase in oviductal secretory activity, thus contributing  
15 to the maintenance and optimisation of the female tract milieu [80]. Interestingly, the presence  
16 of spermatozoa in the oviduct will stimulate oviductal epithelial cell MAPK pathways, indicating  
17 a role for these oviductal secretions in modulating sperm maturation within the female  
18 reproductive tract [81]. The activity of MAPK in the oviduct is further stimulated by the  
19 presence of oestrogen, suggesting a complementary role for miR-19b as it targets oviductal  
20 oestrogen signalling pathways [79]. Members of the TGF $\beta$  superfamily, including inhibin and  
21 activin, are expressed in the oviduct and are known to influence the female tract response to  
22 the presence of spermatozoa by suppressing local innate immunity [82]. In addition, let-7a, miR-  
23 19b and miR-126 are involved in regulating inflammatory and innate immune responses, both  
24 of which are of pivotal importance for embryo development and implantation within the female  
25 reproductive tract [83].

26

1 Many recent investigations have utilised *in vitro* cell culture models in order to further clarify  
2 the essential role that EVs play in intercellular communications within the female reproductive  
3 tract. In order to draw useful conclusions, it is essential that these cell culture systems are fully  
4 optimised to facilitate the best possible production and recovery of EVs, while reflecting as  
5 much as possible, the *in vivo* environment. For instance, cells grown *in vitro* are usually  
6 supplemented with serum in order to support cell growth by providing nutrients and growth  
7 factors. Commercially available FBS contains high concentrations of EVs despite being filtered  
8 during processing [84]. Thus, in order to isolate EVs from cells of interest, it is crucial to remove  
9 any contaminating EVs from cell culture serum supplements. In addition, given that EVs are  
10 known to be vehicles for the transport of bioactive molecules, it is particularly important to  
11 minimise the contamination of experimental EVs with those EVs originating from serum  
12 supplements. Wei *et al.* [85] showed that FBS EVs contribute RNA to the culture system,  
13 which may influence cellular processes and cause misinterpretation of experimental results.

14  
15 While there is commercial EV-depleted FBS available, it is very expensive as compared to  
16 normal sources of FBS. In this study, we have optimised a method for the EV depletion of FBS  
17 by ultracentrifugation. Ultracentrifugation has been performed previously to deplete EVs from  
18 FBS with variable success [86], using methods which manipulate several parameters including  
19 the duration and speed of centrifugation, and whether to centrifuge the FBS alone or following  
20 its addition to the culture medium. Here, EV-depleted FBS was produced by ultracentrifugation  
21 of FBS alone, at 100,000g at 4°C overnight, resulting in a 75-fold reduction in EV concentration  
22 as compared to the original source of FBS. Previous studies utilising ultracentrifugation to  
23 generate EV-depleted FBS, have applied significantly lower durations of centrifugation and as  
24 such, have been less successful in their elimination of EVs from the serum supplements. Eitan  
25 *et al.* [87] showed that, when centrifuged for 6 hours the reduction in FBS EV concentration  
26 was 7-fold, whereas with a 1 hour centrifugation, the FBS EV concentration was reduced just  
27 2.2-fold. Shelke *et al.* [84], reported that ultracentrifugation of FBS for 1.5 hours was

1 insufficient to remove FBS EVs, when compared to an ultracentrifugation lasting 18 hours,  
2 further supporting our findings that a longer ultracentrifugation duration is required for the  
3 efficient depletion of EVs from FBS.

4

5 While it is important to remove contaminating EVs from serum supplements before they are  
6 added to cell culture systems, it is also imperative that we consider whether the use of EV-  
7 depleted FBS in cell cultures might have a detrimental effect on cell growth. The process of  
8 ultracentrifugation to produce EV-depleted FBS, will not only remove EVs, but might also  
9 eliminate non-EV material such as growth factors and nutrients that are important for the  
10 support of cell growth and proliferation. Eitan et al. [87] investigated serum derived EV  
11 involvement in cellular growth, showing that EVs isolated from FBS were able to increase  
12 cellular growth when added to cell culture medium. Aswad et al. [58], who showed that EVs in  
13 FBS are important regulators of cell proliferation in skeletal muscle cells, supported this work.  
14 Encouragingly, our data suggest that supplementation of POEC cultures with EV-depleted  
15 FBS, produced using our ultracentrifugation method, does not affect the number or  
16 proliferation rate of POECs. Light microscopy analysis showed that the number of POECs  
17 cultured in complete medium supplemented with 10% EV-depleted FBS was not significantly  
18 different to the number of POECs cultured in normal medium up to 72 hours of culture. In  
19 addition, the proliferation rate of POECs over 72 hours of culture remained consistent when  
20 the complete culture media was supplemented with either normal FBS or EV-depleted FBS,  
21 indicating that the removal of EVs from FBS did not affect POEC viability. While Eitan *et al.*  
22 [87] showed that a number of different cell types cultured in medium supplemented with EV-  
23 depleted FBS showed a significant reduction in cellular growth rates, they did not observe  
24 suppression of growth rate in human U87 glioblastoma cells. Hence, they suggest that any  
25 detrimental effect of using EV-depleted FBS in cell culture is cell and/or species dependent.

26

1 In this study, we aimed to evaluate SEC as a valuable method for the isolation of POEC  
2 derived EVs from conditioned cell culture medium. Recently, SEC has been reported to be an  
3 efficient method for the isolation of EVs with high purity and intact morphology. In addition,  
4 SEC is thought to have a rigorous ability to separate EVs based on size [88]. There are a  
5 number of different commercially available SEC column matrices including Sepharose CL-2B,  
6 Sepharose CL-4B, Sephacryl S-100 and Sephadex. Baranyai *et al.* [89] compared the  
7 efficiency of different SEC column matrices for the isolation of exosomes from blood plasma,  
8 showing that Sepharose CL-4B and Sephacryl S-400 were able to isolate exosomes in high  
9 purity without the presence of albumin. For our current investigations, SEC using Sepharose  
10 CL-2B combined with ultrafiltration, was successfully used to isolate EVs from conditioned cell  
11 culture medium. Interestingly, Baranyai *et al.* [89], published evidence that Sepharose CL-2B  
12 was unable to isolate exosomes efficiently from blood plasma, indicating that specific SEC  
13 matrices might be better suited for the recovery of EVs present in specific body fluids and/or  
14 cell culture media.

15

16 Our SEC approach generated two eluents from the conditioned media, first a series of EV-rich  
17 fractions, followed by distinct fractions of free-floating protein. Several detection techniques  
18 such as NTA, TEM, Western blot analysis and the BCA assay were used to characterise the  
19 fractions collected during the SEC elution. We have demonstrated that SEC was able to isolate  
20 EVs, as confirmed by the presence of the EV markers CD63 and CD9, from conditioned  
21 medium efficiently with high EV purity eluting from the SEC column in fractions 7 to 12. These  
22 fractions contained a high concentration of EVs with minimal protein concentration. In  
23 subsequent analyses, SEC fractions 7-12 were pooled to create a rich and concentrated  
24 sample of porcine oviductal EVs.

25

1 NTA is able to calculate EV size via the direct observation of Brownian motion in a fluid  
2 medium, visualised by a laser light scattering method and tracked over time. This enables the  
3 calculation of particle size using the Stokes-Einstein equation to determine the translational  
4 diffusion constant. In general, a large slow moving EV will cause a stronger light scattering  
5 pattern, than a small fast moving EV [41]. The size of EVs eluted from the SEC column were  
6 between 50-250 nm in all fractions. Despite previous suggestions that SEC might be able to  
7 separate EVs based on size, our data, and the results of Boing *et al.* [90], have demonstrated  
8 that there is no significant EV size distribution across different SEC fractions. It is possible that  
9 processing of the EV conditioned media by differential centrifugation and ultrafiltration, prior  
10 to SEC sample loading, has already removed larger (cell debris) and smaller (free-floating  
11 protein) particles from the culture medium [91-92], leaving only mid-range EVs to travel  
12 through the SEC column. Nordin *et al.* [93], who showed that when ultrafiltration is combined  
13 with SEC, more particles with a similar size distribution were recovered from the column,  
14 support this hypothesis. Future investigations might also consider manipulating the ZetaView®  
15 pre-acquisition parameters in order to detect particles of a larger size in each SEC fraction.  
16 Our ZetaView® measurement parameters (sensitivity 85; shutter value 70, corresponding to  
17 an exposure time of 15 ms; frame rate 30 frames per second) are set to detect small particles  
18 (50-250 nm) with weak light scattering, which correspond with previously published EV size  
19 data. It is possible that any larger non-EV particles that were present in our SEC fractions  
20 were not detected by the ZetaView NTA evaluation. In order to detect the presence of larger  
21 particles with strong light scattering, the ZetaView® sensitivity should be decreased, shutter  
22 values increased (corresponding to a decreased exposure time) and frame rate increased.

23 As well as recovering EVs of expected size, in agreement with previous studies, we have  
24 demonstrated that EVs isolated using SEC are morphologically intact as confirmed by TEM  
25 [90, 94].

26

1 During these investigations, we have characterised EVs secreted by epithelial cells of  
2 reproductive origin, (POEC, Ishikawa and RL95-2) and non-reproductive origin (HEK293T).  
3 Furthermore, we have investigated whether the characteristics of EVs secreted by these  
4 different cell types altered over time in cell culture. For all reproductive cell types (POEC,  
5 Ishikawa and RL95-2), the number of EVs secreted increased over time in culture, as epithelial  
6 cell number also increased. In contrast to our reproductive cells, HEK293T epithelial cell  
7 cultures showed a decrease in the total concentration of EVs secreted over time, independent  
8 of cell number. Hurwitz *et al.* [95], performed a similar study with HEK293T cells, where they  
9 determined that the concentration of EVs produced related to the degree of cell culture  
10 confluency. In addition, Riches *et al.* [96], showed that the total number of EVs secreted by  
11 normal mammary epithelial cells after 24 hours of culture, was less than the number of EVs  
12 collected from two consecutive 12 hour cultures, suggesting that the concentration of EVs  
13 secreted is not dependent on cell number, but rather on the stage of cellular development. It  
14 is also important to consider that as vehicles for intercellular communication, EVs will not only  
15 be produced by their cells of origin, but will also be taken up by their recipient cellular targets.  
16 Indeed, several groups have demonstrated that as well as secreting EVs, cells in an *in vitro*  
17 culture system will uptake EVs via a variety of different mechanisms [97-99]. For instance,  
18 Escrevant *et al.* [98] demonstrated that different endocytic pathways are involved in the uptake  
19 of exosomes secreted by the ovarian cancer cell line SKOV3.

20

21 In addition to EV concentration, NTA determined the size distribution of all experimental  
22 epithelial cell EVs as between 70 to 140 nm, complying with previously published data for  
23 expected EV size parameters [11]. These data indicate a slightly larger EV size than is  
24 observed with TEM. Electron microscopy is the standard visual method for defining the size  
25 and morphology of EVs since the optical microscope cannot identify particle sizes smaller than  
26 300 nm [41-43, 100-102]. EV size measurements obtained via TEM are generally smaller than  
27 those obtained with NTA, due to particle dehydration following exposure to the high vacuum,




1 anhydrous atmosphere required for TEM assessment [102]. Conversely, NTA evaluates EVs  
2 in a solution, maintaining their hydrodynamic diameter and producing a more reliable  
3 indication of their true size [41].

4

5 Recently, there has been an increase in the number of studies investigating the biological  
6 properties and functions of EVs. Despite this increased level of interest, the physical properties  
7 of EVs, including their electrochemical characteristics, remain unclear. Information regarding  
8 EV surface charge is important as it plays an essential role in both EV-cell and EV-matrix  
9 interactions [103]. Furthermore, Zeta potential provides a vital indication of the stability of EVs  
10 in solution in terms of flocculation, dispersion and aggregation (Figure 13) [44]. Nanoparticles  
11 considered to be electrically 'neutral', with a Zeta potential between -10mV and +10mV, tend  
12 to form aggregates when in solution. Whereas nanoparticles of Zeta potential greater than  
13 +30mV or less than -30mV, are known to be more stable in solution [104]. As well as  
14 influencing the behaviour of populations of EVs in solution, it has been reported that Zeta  
15 potential is a useful indicator of the ability of nanoparticles to interact with their target cells,  
16 influencing cell adhesion, agglutination and cellular activation [105-108].

17

18



1

2

3 **Figure 14:** Zeta Potential, measured in mV, is the electrokinetic potential of the interfacial  
 4 region between the extracellular vesicle (EV) surface and the aqueous environment [45]. Zeta  
 5 potential is a fundamental parameter known to affect the stability of particles in solution [47].  
 6 EVs with low stability (exhibiting a low zeta potential between -20 to +20 mV), will be expected  
 7 to fuse or form aggregates. The zeta potential, and hence the stability of an EV in solution, is  
 8 affected by the temperature, pH and ionic strength of the aqueous medium [45, 48]. In addition,  
 9 zeta potential is affected by, and is a reflection of, the surface charge of the EV and thus the  
 10 physiological makeup of the plasma membrane [48]. In cell biology, zeta potential has been  
 11 used to study biological activation, agglutination and adhesion [49].

12

13

14 Our results showed that only EVs originating from primary cell cultures exhibit differing  
 15 characteristics over time, whereas those EVs secreted by immortalised cell lines show a more  
 16 consistent series of descriptors. Data shown herein indicate that a correlation exists between  
 17 EV zeta potential and the maturational status of their cells of origin. This hypothesis is  
 18 supported by Kato *et al.* [109] who reported a strong correlation between the zeta potential of

1 exosomes and the type of cell from which they were secreted. Our findings, and the data  
2 produced by Kato *et al.* [109], can be explained by understanding the formation and secretion  
3 of EVs by cells. It is known that EVs defined as microvesicles are most likely secreted by direct  
4 budding from the cellular plasma membrane [15, 110-112]. Hence, EVs are likely to contain  
5 the same membrane properties as their cells of origin. The surface charge of the cell is known  
6 to reflect cellular function and is dependent on both plasma membrane composition and the  
7 physiological conditions within the cell [48, 113]. A number of intracellular pathways involving  
8 cell proliferation, cell adhesion, cell differentiation and cellular apoptosis, are modulated by  
9 plasma membrane electrical potential [113-116]. As primary *in vitro* cell cultures are still  
10 undergoing the process of differentiation, it is not unreasonable to assume that their  
11 properties, including their zeta potential, will continue to be modified over time. Whereas,  
12 immortalised cell lines in culture are fully differentiated and their physiological properties will  
13 remain consistent. As the EV plasma membrane reflects the plasma membrane of its cell of  
14 origin, we might expect the EVs secreted from cells in primary culture to be subject to change,  
15 as that cell differentiates over time, and the EVs secreted by an immortalised cell line to be  
16 more consistent over time in culture [117].

17

18 In this series of experiments, we have demonstrated that the ZetaView instrument is able to  
19 reliably evaluate the size, concentration and zeta potential of individual EVs secreted by  
20 epithelial cells in culture. Furthermore, we have shown that the zeta potential distribution of  
21 EVs secreted by different epithelial cell types, differs over time, in primary culture systems,  
22 but not in immortalised cell line cultures. While zeta potential is a valuable tool for the  
23 evaluation of EV surface charge, further research is required to investigate how EV zeta  
24 potential is influenced by altered plasma membrane composition and biochemical change  
25 within its cell of origin.

26

1 Given that, the characteristics of EVs differ dependant on their cell of origin, and that different  
2 regions of the oviduct are functionally differentiated, it is important to clarify how the secretion  
3 and characteristics of oviductal EVs might vary between the ampullary and isthmus regions of  
4 the oviduct. NTA analysis of EV concentration showed that significantly more EVs are secreted  
5 by epithelial cells isolated from the ampullary region of the oviduct (PAECs), when compared  
6 to the isthmus (PIECs). We found no significant difference in the size of EVs produced across  
7 all regions of the oviduct. Interestingly, Lopera-Vasquez *et al.* [26] showed that in the bovine  
8 oviduct, epithelial cells isolated from the isthmus produced smaller EVs as compared to  
9 epithelial cells from the ampulla, suggesting that the pattern of EV secretion between the  
10 ampulla and isthmus might be species dependent. In support of our suggestion that distinct  
11 populations of EVs are produced by both the oviductal isthmus and ampulla, we established  
12 that EVs from different regions of the oviduct have significantly different zeta potential  
13 measurements, indicating that their surface characteristics and potentially membrane  
14 composition are different. Our data showed that the zeta potential of EVs secreted by PIECs  
15 was less negative as compared to EVs secreted by PAECs. Given that EVs form by outward  
16 budding of the plasma membrane, it is not unreasonable to assume that the functional and  
17 morphological variation identified between oviductal epithelial cells from the isthmus and  
18 ampulla, might be reflected in their EV surface parameters. The further clarification of how EV  
19 characteristics change throughout the oviduct will allow us to understand how intercellular  
20 communication takes place and ultimately may provide us with useful tools with which to  
21 improve the success of fertilisation and pre-implantation embryo development.

22

23

## 24 **6.0. Conclusion**

25 In conclusion, this study has confirmed the presence of miRNAs (miR-103, let-7a, miR-19a,  
26 miR-203, miR-126, miR-19b) in EVs secreted by cultured POECs. These miRNAs are known

1 to be involved in cell proliferation, innate immune responses, apoptosis and cellular migration,  
2 potentially acting on the periconception events taking place in the oviduct, which result in the  
3 successful establishment of pregnancy. We have demonstrated that NTA can successfully  
4 measure the concentration and size of EVs secreted by different epithelial cell types.  
5 Interestingly, the concentration of EVs secreted by POEC and Ishikawa cells seems to be  
6 dependent on their time in culture suggesting that the characteristics of EVs secreted by cells  
7 *in vitro*, relate to the functional and/or developmental status of their cells of origin. In addition,  
8 we showed that the surface electrokinetic charge (zeta potential) of EVs derived from primary  
9 epithelial cell cultures was different as compared to EVs secreted by cultured epithelial cell  
10 lines, suggesting that the molecular composition of the EV plasma membrane reflects subtle  
11 changes in the biochemistry of its cell of origin. Furthermore, we have determined that the  
12 electrokinetic surface characteristics of EVs differ between epithelial cells from functionally  
13 distinct regions of the oviduct, reinforcing the idea that EVs have specific and targeted roles  
14 in intercellular communications in the female reproductive tract. Future investigations will not  
15 only determine how EV zeta potential might reflect the biochemical properties of different EV  
16 populations produced by a variety of cell types, but will also improve our knowledge of the role  
17 of EVs in reproduction, with the view to identify factors that might influence the success of  
18 assisted reproductive technologies.

19

20

## 21 **Acknowledgments**

22 This research was funded by the government of Malaysia and the European Union through  
23 (1) the Corporation in Science and Technology (COST) Action CA16119 'In vitro 3-D total cell  
24 guidance and fitness'; (2) the COST Action FA1201 'Epigenetics and periconception  
25 environment'; (3) the Coordination and Support Action of the Horizon 2020 programme, grant  
26 No. 692299 'Scientific Excellence in Animal Reproductive Medicine and Embryo Technology';

1 (4) grant No. 668989, and (5) the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Project:  
2 Scientific Consortium 'Healthy Animal – Safe food'. The authors wish to thank Particle Matrix,  
3 Germany for their advice and support of our research.

4

5

## 6 **Author Roles**

7 N.J.A. contributed to the study design, performed the literature search and acquired, analysed  
8 and interpreted the data. LMT contributed to the study design, performed the literature search,  
9 analysed and interpreted the data, wrote the manuscript, performed revisions and critically  
10 discussed the complete manuscript before submission. KJW, AM, SB, SE and AA acquired  
11 and analysed the data. AF and SH contributed to the conception and design of the study,  
12 performed revisions and critically discussed the complete manuscript before giving final  
13 approval of content before submission.

14

15

## 1 References

- 2 [1] Fazeli A, Holt WV. Cross talk during the periconception period. *Theriogenology* 2016; 86:438-442.  
3
- 4 [2] Holt WV, Fazeli A. Do sperm possess a molecular passport? Mechanistic insights into sperm  
5 selection in the female reproductive tract. *Molecular human reproduction* 2015; 21:491-501.
- 6 [3] Fazeli A, Duncan AE, Watson PF, Holt WV. Sperm-oviduct interaction: induction of capacitation  
7 and preferential binding of uncapacitated spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells in porcine  
8 species. *Biology of reproduction* 1999; 60:879-86.
- 9 [4] Tienthai P, Johannisson A, Rodriguez-Martinez H. Sperm capacitation in the porcine oviduct.  
10 *Animal reproduction science* 2004; 80:131-46.
- 11 [5] Thurston LM, Holt WV, Fazeli A. Battle of the Sexes: How the selection of spermatozoa in the  
12 female reproductive tract manipulates the sex ratio of offspring. In Legato MJ ed., *Principles of*  
13 *Gender Specific Medicine* 2017, Third Edition, Elsevier.
- 14 [6] Talevi R, Gualtieri R. Sulfated glycoconjugates are powerful modulators of bovine sperm  
15 adhesion and release from the oviductal epithelium in vitro. *Biology of reproduction* 2001; 64:491-8.  
16
- 17 [7] Liberda J, Manaskova P, Prelovska L, Ticha M, Jonakova V. Saccharide-mediated interactions of  
18 boar sperm surface proteins with components of the porcine oviduct. *Journal of reproductive*  
19 *immunology* 2006; 71:112-25.  
20
- 21 [8] Talevi R, Zagami M, Castaldo M, Gualtieri R. Redox regulation of sperm surface thiols modulates  
22 adhesion to the fallopian tube epithelium. *Biology of reproduction* 2007; 76:728-35.
- 23 [9] Gualtieri R, Mollo V, Barbato V, Talevi R. Ability of sulfated glycoconjugates and disulfide-  
24 reductants to release bovine epididymal sperm bound to the oviductal epithelium in vitro.  
25 *Theriogenology* 2010; 73:1037-43.
- 26 [10] Kadirvel G, Machado SA, Korneli C. Porcine sperm bind to specific 6-sialylated biantennary  
27 glycans to form the oviduct reservoir. *Biology of reproduction* 2012; 87:147.
- 28 [11] Thery C, Zitvogel L, Amigorena S. Exosomes: composition, biogenesis and function. *Nat Rev*  
29 *Immunol* 2002; 2:569-579.
- 30 [12] Mulcahy LA, Pink RC, Carter DR. Routes and mechanisms of extracellular vesicle uptake. *J*  
31 *Extracell Vesicles* 2014; 3
- 32 [13] Yanez-Mo M, Siljander PR, Andreu Z, Zavec AB, Borrás FE, Buzas EI, Buzas K, Casal E, Cappello F,  
33 Carvalho J, Colás E, Cordeiro-da Silva A, Fais S, Falcon-Perez JM, Ghobrial IM, Giebel B, Gimona M,  
34 Graner M, Gursel I, Gursel M, Heegaard NH, Hendrix A, Kierulff P, Kokubun K, Kosanovic M, Kralj-Iglic  
35 V, Kramer-Albers EM, Laitinen S, Lasser C, Lener T, Ligeti E, Line A, Lipps G, Llorente A, Lotvall J,  
36 Mancek-Keber M, Marcilla A, Mittelbrunn M, Nazarenko I, Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Nyman TA, O'Driscoll L,  
37 Olivan M, Oliveira C, Pallinger E, Del Portillo HA, Reventos J, Rigau M, Rohde E, Sammar M, Sanchez-  
38 Madrid F, Santarem N, Schallmoser K, Ostenfeld MS, Stoorvogel W, Stukelj R, Van der Grein SG,  
39 Vasconcelos MH, Wauben MH, De Wever O. Biological properties of extracellular vesicles and their  
40 physiological functions. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2015; 4:27066.

- 1 [14] Tkach M, Thery C. Communication by Extracellular Vesicles: Where We Are and Where We Need  
2 to Go. *Cell* 2016; 164:1226-1232.
- 3
- 4 [15] Colombo M, Raposo G, Thery C. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of  
5 exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2014; 30:255-289.
- 6 [16] Castoldi M, Kordes C, Sawitza I, Haussinger D. Isolation and characterization of vesicular and  
7 non-vesicular microRNAs circulating in sera of partially hepatectomized rats. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6:31869.
- 8 [17] Kowal J, Arras G, Colombo M, Jouve M, Morath JP, Primdal-Bengtson B, Dingli F, Loew D, Tkach  
9 M, Thery C. Proteomic comparison defines novel markers to characterize heterogeneous populations  
10 of extracellular vesicle subtypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016; 113:e968-977.
- 11
- 12 [18] Willms E, Johansson HJ, Mager I, Lee Y, Blomberg KE, Sadik M, Alaarg A, Smith CI, Lehtio J, El  
13 Andaloussi S, Wood MJ, Vader P. Cells release subpopulations of exosomes with distinct molecular  
14 and biological properties. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6:22519.
- 15 [19] Caby MP, Lankar D, Vincendeau-Scherrer C, Raposo G, Bonnerot C. Exosomal-like vesicles are  
16 present in human blood plasma. *Int. Immunol* 2005; 17:879-887.
- 17 [20] Kim DK, Kang B, Kim OY, Choi DS, Lee J, Kim SR, Go G, Yoon YJ, Kim LH, Jang SC, Park KS, Choi EJ,  
18 Kim KP, Desiderio DM, Kim YK, Lotvall J, Hwang D, Gho YS. EVpedia: an integrated database of high-  
19 throughput data for systemic analyses of extracellular vesicles. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2013; 2.
- 20 [21] Buzas EI, Gyorgy B, Nagy G, Falus A, Gay S. Emerging role of extracellular vesicles in  
21 inflammatory diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2014; 10:356-364.
- 22 [22] Aalberts M, Sostaric E, Wubbolts R, Wauben MW, Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Gadella BM, Stout TA,  
23 Stoorvogel W. Spermatozoa recruit prostasomes in response to capacitation induction. *Biochim*  
24 *Biophys Acta* 1013; 1834 2326-35.
- 25
- 26 [23] Ng YH, Rome S, Jalabert A, Forterre A, Singh H, Hincks CL, Salamonsen LA. Endometrial  
27 exosomes/microvesicles in the uterine microenvironment: a new paradigm for embryo-endometrial  
28 cross talk at implantation. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8:e58502.
- 29 [24] Burns G, Brooks K, Wildung M, Navakanitworakul R, Christenson LK, Spencer TE. Extracellular  
30 vesicles in luminal fluid of the ovine uterus. *PLoS One* 2014; 9:e90913.
- 31 [25] Al-Dossary AA, Strehler EE, Martin-Deleon PA. Expression and secretion of plasma membrane  
32 Ca<sup>2+</sup>-ATPase 4a (PMCA4a) during murine estrus: association with oviductal exosomes and uptake in  
33 sperm. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8 e80181.
- 34 [26] Lopera-Vasquez R, Hamdi M, Maillo V, Gutierrez-Adan A, Bermejo-Alvarez P, Ramirez MA,  
35 Yanez-Mo M, Rizo D. Effect of bovine oviductal extracellular vesicles on embryo development and  
36 quality in vitro. *Reproduction* 2017; 153:461-470.
- 37 [27] Sohel MM, Hoelker M, Noferesti SS, Salilew-Wondim D, Tholen E, Looft C, Rings F, Uddin MJ,  
38 Spencer TE, Schellander K, Tesfaye D. Exosomal and Non-Exosomal Transport of Extra-Cellular  
39 microRNAs in Follicular Fluid: Implications for Bovine Oocyte Developmental Competence. *PLoS One*  
40 2013; 8:e78505.



- 1 [28] Frenette G, Lessard C, Madore E, Fortier MA, Sullivan R. Aldose reductase and macrophage  
2 migration inhibitory factor are associated with epididymosomes and spermatozoa in the bovine  
3 epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2003; 69:1586-1592.
- 4 [29] Machtinger R, Laurent LC, Baccarelli AA. Extracellular vesicles: roles in gamete maturation,  
5 fertilization and embryo implantation. *Hum Reprod Update* 2016; 22 182-193.
- 6 [30] Jamaludin NA, Meikle A, Hunt S, Wachernig H, Thurston LM, Andronowska A, Ebbens S, Fazeli A.  
7 Characterisation of extracellular vesicles secreted by oviductal and endometrial epithelial cells. UKEV  
8 2016 Congress, Oxford Brookes University, UK.  
9
- 10 [31] Braundmeier AG, Dayger CA, Mehrotra P, Belton RJ Jr, Nowak RA. EMMPRIN is secreted by  
11 human uterine epithelial cells in microvesicles and stimulates metalloproteinase production by  
12 human uterine fibroblast cells. *Reprod Sci* 2012; 19:1292-301.
- 13 [32] Burnett LA, Light MM, Mehrotra P, Nowak RA. Stimulation of GPR30 increases release of  
14 EMMPRIN-containing microvesicles in human uterine epithelial cells. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012;  
15 97:4613-22.
- 16 [33] Alminana C, Corbin E, Tsikis G, Alcantara-Neto AS, Labas V, Reynaud K, Galio L, Uzbekov R,  
17 Garanina AS, Druart X, Mermillod P. Oviduct extracellular vesicles protein content and their role  
18 during oviduct-embryo cross-talk. *Reproduction* 2017; 154 253-268.
- 19 [34] Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates  
20 that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 2005; 120:15-20.  
21
- 22 [35] Fazeli A, Affara NA, Hubank M, Holt WV. Sperm-induced modification of the oviductal gene  
23 expression profile after natural insemination in mice. *Biology of reproduction* 2004; 71:60-5.
- 24 [36] Georgiou AS, Snijders AP, Sostaric E. Modulation of the oviductal environment by gametes.  
25 *Journal of proteome research* 2007; 6:4656-66.  
26
- 27 [37] Yeste M, Holt WV, Bonet S, Rodriguez-Gil JE, Lloyd RE. Viable and morphologically normal boar  
28 spermatozoa alter the expression of heat-shock protein genes in oviductal epithelial cells during co-  
29 culture in vitro. *Molecular reproduction and development* 2014; 81:805-19.  
30
- 31 [38] Konstantinidi R, Jamaludin NA, Thurston LM, Hunt S, Fazeli A. Investigating whether the  
32 presence of spermatozoa alters the secretion of extracellular vesicles by cultured porcine oviductal  
33 epithelial cells in an in vitro model of the female reproductive tract. UKEV 2017 Congress, University  
34 of Birmingham, UK.
- 35 [39] Miller D, Brinkworth M, Iles D. Paternal DNA packaging in spermatozoa: more than the sum of  
36 its parts? DNA, histones, protamines and epigenetics. *Reproduction* 2010; 139:287-301.  
37
- 38 [40] Thery C, Ostrowski M, Segura E. Membrane vesicles as conveyors of immune responses. *Nat Rev*  
39 *Immunol* 2009; 9:581-593.  
40
- 41 [41] Sokolova V, Ludwig AK, Hornung S, Rotan O, Horn PA, Epple M, Giebel B. Characterisation of  
42 exosomes derived from human cells by nanoparticle tracking analysis and scanning electron  
43 microscopy. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 2011; 87:146-150.

- 1  
2 [42] Gercel-Taylor C, Atay S, Tullis RH, Kesimer M, Taylor DD. Nanoparticle analysis of circulating cell-  
3 derived vesicles in ovarian cancer patients. *Anal Biochem* 2012; 428:44-53.  
4  
5 [43] Dragovic RA, Gardiner C, Brooks AS, Tannetta DS, Ferguson DJ, Hole P, Carr B, Redman CW,  
6 Harris AL, Dobson PJ, Harrison P, Sargent IL. Sizing and phenotyping of cellular vesicles using  
7 Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis. *Nanomedicine* 2011; 7:780-788.  
8  
9 [44] Marimpietri D, Petretto A, Raffaghello L, Pezzolo A, Gagliani C, Tacchetti C, Mauri P, Melioli G,  
10 Pistoia V. Proteome profiling of neuroblastoma-derived exosomes reveal the expression of proteins  
11 potentially involved in tumor progression. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e75054.
- 12 [45] Hanaor DA, Ghadiri M, Chrzanowski W, Gan Y. Scalable surface area characterization by  
13 electrokinetic analysis of complex anion adsorption. *Langmuir* 2014; 30:15143-52.  
14  
15 [46] Hunter RJ. Zeta potential in colloid science : principles and applications. 1981 London :  
16 Academic Press.  
17  
18 [47] Zhang W, Peng P, Kuang Y, Yang J, Cao D, You Y, Shen K. Characterization of exosomes derived  
19 from ovarian cancer cells and normal ovarian epithelial cells by nanoparticle tracking analysis.  
20 *Tumour Biol* 2016; 37:4213-21.
- 21  
22 [48] Bondar OV, Saifullina DV, Shakhmaeva, II, Mavlyutova, II, Abdullin TI. Monitoring of the Zeta  
23 Potential of Human Cells upon Reduction in Their Viability and Interaction with Polymers. *Acta*  
24 *Naturae* 2012; 4:78-81.  
25  
26 [49] Sathappa M, Alder NN. Ionization Properties of Phospholipids Determined by Zeta Potential  
27 Measurements. *Bio Protoc* 2016; 6:e2030.  
28  
29 [50] Raposo G, Nijman HW, Stoorvogel W, Liejendekker R, Harding CV, Melief CJ, Geuze HJ. B  
30 lymphocytes secrete antigen-presenting vesicles. *J Exp Med* 1996; 183(3):1161-72.  
31  
32 [51] Akers JC, Gonda D, Kim R, Carter BS, Chen CC. Biogenesis of extracellular vesicles (EV):  
33 exosomes, microvesicles, retrovirus-like vesicles, and apoptotic bodies. *J Neurooncol* 2013; 113(1):  
34 1-11.
- 35 [52] Blanchard N, Lankar D, Faure F, Regnault A, Dumont C, Raposo G, Hivroz C. TCR activation of  
36 human T cells induces the production of exosomes bearing the TCR/CD3/zeta complex. *J Immunol*  
37 2002; 168(7):3235-41.  
38  
39 [53] André F, Chaput N, Scharz NE, Flament C, Aubert N, Bernard J, Lemonnier F, Raposo G, Escudier  
40 B, Hsu DH, Tursz T, Amigorena S, Angevin E, Zitvogel L. Exosomes as potent cell-free peptide-based  
41 vaccine. I. Dendritic cell-derived exosomes transfer functional MHC class I/peptide complexes to  
42 dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 2004; 172(4):2126-36.  
43  
44 [54] Simons M, Raposo G. Exosomes - vesicular carriers for intercellular communication. *Curr Opin Cell*  
45 *Biol* 2009; 21:575-581.  
46  
47 [55] da Silveira JC, Veeramachaneni DN, Winger QA, Carnevale EM, Bouma GJ. Cell-secreted vesicles  
48 in equine ovarian follicular fluid contain miRNAs and proteins: a possible new form of cell  
49 communication within the ovarian follicle. *Biol Reprod* 2012; 86(3):71.

- 1  
2 [56] Raposo G, Stoorvogel W. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microvesicles, and friends. *J Cell Biol*  
3 2013; 200:373–383.  
4  
5 [57] Turturici G, Tinnirello R, Sconzo G, Geraci F. Extracellular membrane vesicles as a mechanism of  
6 cell-to-cell communication: advantages and disadvantages. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2014;  
7 306(7):C621-33.  
8  
9 [58] Aswad H, Jalabert A, Rome S. Depleting extracellular vesicles from fetal bovine serum alters  
10 proliferation and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells in vitro. *BMC Biotechnol* 2016; 16:32.  
11  
12 [59] Jalabert A, Vial G, Guay C, Wiklander OP, Nordin JZ, Aswad H, Forterre A, Meugnier E, Pesenti S,  
13 Regazzi R, Danty-Berger E, Ducreux S, Vidal H, El-Andaloussi S, Rieusset J, Rome S. Exosome-like  
14 vesicles released from lipid-induced insulin-resistant muscles modulate gene expression and  
15 proliferation of beta recipient cells in mice. *Diabetologia* 2016; 59(5):1049-58.  
16  
17 [60] Piehl LL, Fischman ML, Hellman U, Cisale H, Miranda PV. Boar seminal plasma exosomes: effect  
18 on sperm function and protein identification by sequencing. *Theriogenology* 2013; 79:1071-1082.  
19  
20 [61] Lopera-Vasquez R, Hamdi M, Maillo V, Lloreda V, Coy P, Gutierrez-Adan A, Bermejo-Alvarez P,  
21 Rizos D. Effect of bovine oviductal fluid on development and quality of bovine embryos produced in  
22 vitro. *Reprod Fert Dev.* 2015; doi:10.107/RD15238.  
23  
24 [62] Lopera-Vásquez R, Hamdi M, Fernandez-Fuertes B, Maillo V, Beltrán-Breña P, Calle A, Redruello  
25 A, López-Martín S, Gutierrez-Adán A, Yañez-Mó M, Ramirez MÁ, Rizos D. Extracellular vesicles from  
26 BOEC in in vitro embryo development and quality. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(2):e0148083.  
27  
28 [63] Miyado K1, Yoshida K, Yamagata K, Sakakibara K, Okabe M, Wang X, Miyamoto K, Akutsu H,  
29 Kondo T, Takahashi Y, Ban T, Ito C, Toshimori K, Nakamura A, Ito M, Miyado M, Mekada E, Umezawa  
30 A. The fusing ability of sperm is bestowed by CD9-containing vesicles released from eggs in mice.  
31 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105:12921–12926.  
32  
33 [64] Saadeldin IM, Oh HJ, Lee BC. Embryonic-maternal cross-talk via exosomes: potential  
34 implications. *Stem Cells Cloning Adv Appl* 2015; 8:103–107.  
35  
36 [65] Burns G, Brooks K, Spencer TE. Extracellular vesicles originate from the conceptus and uterus  
37 during early pregnancy in sheep. *Biol Reprod* 2016; 94(3):56.  
38  
39 [66] Frenette G, Thabet M, Sullivan R. Polyol pathway in human epididymis and semen. *J Androl*  
40 2006; 27:233–239.  
41  
42 [67] Frenette G, Girouard J, D'Amours O, Allard N, Tessier L, Sullivan R. Characterization of two  
43 distinct populations of epididymosomes collected in the intraluminal compartment of the bovine  
44 cauda epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2010; 83:473–480.  
45  
46 [68] Belleannee C, Calvo E, Caballero J, Sullivan R. Epididymosomes convey different repertoires of  
47 microRNAs throughout the bovine epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2013; 89:30.  
48  
49 [69] Ronquist G, Brody I. The prostasome: its secretion and function in man. *Biochim Biophys Acta*  
50 1985; 822:203–218.

- 1 [70] Ronquist GK, Larsson A, Stavreus-Evers A, Ronquist G. Protasomes are heterogeneous  
2 regarding size and appearance but affiliated to one DNA-containing exosome family. *Prostate* 2012;  
3 72:1736–1745.  
4
- 5 [71] Madison MN, Roller RJ, Okeoma CM. Human semen contains exosomes with potent anti-HIV-1  
6 activity. *Retrovirology* 2014; 11:102.
- 7 [72] Santonocito M, Vento M, Guglielmino MR, Battaglia R, Wahlgren J, Ragusa M, Barbagallo D,  
8 Borzi P, Rizzari S, Maugeri M, Scollo P, Tatone C, Valadi H, Purrello M, Di Pietro C. Molecular  
9 characterization of exosomes and their microRNA cargo in human follicular fluid: bioinformatic  
10 analysis reveals that exosomal microRNAs control pathways involved in follicular maturation. *Fertil*  
11 *Steril* 2014; 102:1751–1761:e1751.  
12
- 13 [73] Laulagnier K, Motta C, Hamdi S, Roy S, Fauvelle F, Pageaux JF, Kobayashi T, Salles JP, Perret B,  
14 Bonnerot C, Record M. Mast cell- and dendritic cell-derived exosomes display a specific lipid  
15 composition and an unusual membrane organization. *Biochem J* 2004; 380(Pt 1):161-71.  
16
- 17 [74] Eldh M, Lötval J, Malmhäll C, Ekström K. Importance of RNA isolation methods for analysis of  
18 exosomal RNA: evaluation of different methods. *Mol Immunol* 2012; 50(4):278-86.  
19
- 20 [75] Smorag L, Zheng Y, Nolte J, Zechner U, Engel W, Pantakani DVK. MicroRNA signature in various  
21 cell types of mouse spermatogenesis: Evidence for stage-specifically expressed miRNA-221, -203 and  
22 -34b-5p mediated spermatogenesis regulation. *Biol Cell* 2012; 104:677-692.
- 23 [76] Yang F, Wang H, Jiang Z, Chu L, Sun Y, Han J. MicroRNA-19a mediates gastric carcinoma cell  
24 proliferation through the activation of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B. *Mol Med Rep* 2015; 12:5780-5786.  
25
- 26 [77] Ling R, Zhou Y, Zhou L, Dai D, Wu D, Mi L, Mao C, Chen D. Lin28/microRNA-let-7a promotes  
27 metastasis under circumstances of hyperactive Wnt signaling in esophageal squamous cell  
28 carcinoma. *Mol Med Rep* 2018; 17: 5265-5271.  
29
- 30 [78] Li Y, LIU x, Du A, Zhu X, Yu B. miR-203 accelerates apoptosis and inflammation induced by LPS  
31 via targeting NFIL3 in cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Biochem* 2018; doi:10.1002/jcb.27955.  
32
- 33 [79] Wollenhaupt K, Tomek W, Brüssow KP, Tiemann U, Viergutz T, Schneider F, Nürnberg G. Effects  
34 of ovarian steroids and epidermal growth factor (EGF) on expression and bioactivation of specific  
35 regulators of transcription and translation in oviductal tissue in pigs. *Reproduction* 2002; 123(1):87-  
36 96.  
37
- 38 [80] Wijayagunawardane MP, Hambruch N, Haeger JD, Pfarrer C. Effect of epidermal growth factor  
39 (EGF) on the phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) in the bovine oviduct in  
40 vitro: Alteration by heat stress. *J Reprod Dev* 2015; 61(5):383-9.  
41
- 42 [81] Steinberger B, Yu H, Brodmann T, Milovanovic D, Reichart U, Besenfelder U, Artemenko K,  
43 Razzazi-Fazeli E, Brem G, Mayrhofer C. Semen modulated secretory activity of oviductal epithelial  
44 cells is linked to cellular proteostasis network remodelling: proteomic insights into the early phase of  
45 interaction in the oviduct in vivo. *J Proteomics* 2017; 163:14-27.  
46
- 47 [82] Yousef MS, Marey MA, Hambruch N, Hayakawa H, Shimizu T, Hussien HA, Abdel-Razek AK,  
48 Pfarrer C, Miyamoto A. Sperm binding to oviduct epithelial cells enhances TGFB1 and IL10

- 1 expressions in epithelial cells as well as neutrophils in vitro: prostaglandin E2 as a main regulator of  
2 anti-inflammatory response in the bovine oviduct. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(9):e0162309.
- 3
- 4 [83] Gantier MP, Stunden HJ, McCoy CE, Behlke MA, Wang D, Kaparakis-Liaskos M, Sarvestani ST,  
5 Yang YH, Xu D, Corr SC, Morand EF, Williams BR. A miR-19 regulon that controls NF- $\kappa$ B signalling.  
6 *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40(16):8048-58.
- 7
- 8 [84] Shelke GV, Lässer C, Gho YS, Lötvall J. Importance of exosome depletion protocols to eliminate  
9 functional and RNA-containing extracellular vesicles from fetal bovine serum. *J Extracell Vesicles*  
10 2014; 3.
- 11
- 12 [85] Wei Z, Batagov AO, Carter DR, Krichevsky AM. Fetal Bovine Serum RNA Interferes with the Cell  
13 Culture derived Extracellular RNA. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6:31175.
- 14
- 15 [86] Witwer KW, Buzás EI, Bemis LT, Bora A, Lässer C, Lötvall J, Nolte-t Hoen EN, Piper MG,  
16 Sivaraman S, Skog J, Théry C, Wauben MH, Hochberg F. Standardization of sample collection,  
17 isolation and analysis methods in extracellular vesicle research. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2013; 2.
- 18
- 19 [87] Eitan E, Zhang S, Witwer KW, Mattson MP. Extracellular vesicle-depleted fetal bovine and  
20 human sera have reduced capacity to support cell growth. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2015; 4:26373.
- 21
- 22 [88] Gámez-Valero A, Monguió-Tortajada M, Carreras-Planella L, Franquesa MI, Beyer K, Borràs FE.  
23 Size-exclusion chromatography-based isolation minimally alters extracellular vesicles' characteristics  
24 compared to precipitating agents. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6:33641.
- 25
- 26 [89] Baranyai T, Herczeg K, Onódi Z, Voszka I, Módos K, Marton N, Nagy G, Mäger I, Wood MJ, El  
27 Andaloussi S, Pálkás Z, Kumar V, Nagy P, Kittel Á, Buzás EI, Ferdinandy P, Giricz Z. Isolation of  
28 Exosomes from Blood Plasma: Qualitative and Quantitative Comparison of Ultracentrifugation and  
29 Size Exclusion Chromatography Methods. *PLoS One* 2015; 10(12):e0145686.
- 30
- 31 [90] Böing AN, van der Pol E, Grootemaat AE, Coumans FA, Sturk A, Nieuwland R. Single-step  
32 isolation of extracellular vesicles by size-exclusion chromatography. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2014; 3.
- 33
- 34 [91] Théry, C. Isolation and characterization of exosomes from cell culture supernatants and  
35 biological fluids. *Curr Protoc Cell Biol* 2006; Chapter 3:Unit 3.22.
- 36
- 37 [92] Conde-Vancells J, Rodriguez-Suarez E, Embade N, Gil D, Matthiesen R, Valle M, Elortza F, Lu SC,  
38 Mato JM, Falcon-Perez JM. Characterization and comprehensive proteome profiling of exosomes  
39 secreted by hepatocytes. *J Proteome Res* 2008; 7(12):5157-66.
- 40
- 41 [93] Nordin JZ, Lee Y, Vader P, Mäger I, Johansson HJ, Heusermann W, Wiklander OP, Hällbrink M,  
42 Seow Y, Bultema JJ, Gilthorpe J, Davies T, Fairchild PJ, Gabrielsson S, Meisner-Kober NC, Lehtiö J,  
43 Smith CI, Wood MJ, El Andaloussi S. Ultrafiltration with size-exclusion liquid chromatography for high  
44 yield isolation of extracellular vesicles preserving intact biophysical and functional properties.  
45 *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine* 2015; 11(4):879-883.
- 46
- 47 [94] Welton JL, Webber JP, Botos LA, Jones M, Clayton A. Ready-made chromatography columns for  
48 extracellular vesicle isolation from plasma. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2015; 4:27269.
- 49

- 1 [95] Hurwitz SN, Conlon MM, Rider MA, Brownstein NC, Meckes DG, Jr. Nanoparticle analysis sheds  
2 budding insights into genetic drivers of extracellular vesicle biogenesis. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2016;  
3 5:31295.  
4
- 5 [96] Riches A, Campbell E, Borger E, Powis S. Regulation of exosome release from mammary  
6 epithelial and breast cancer cells - a new regulatory pathway. *Eur J Cancer* 2014; 50:1025-1034.  
7
- 8 [97] Tian T, Wang Y, Wang H, Zhu Z, Xiao Z. Visualizing of the cellular uptake and intracellular  
9 trafficking of exosomes by live-cell microscopy. *J Cell Biochem* 2010; 111:488-496.  
10
- 11 [98] Escrevente C, Keller S, Altevogt P, Costa J. Interaction and uptake of exosomes by ovarian cancer  
12 cells. *BMC Cancer* 2011; 11:108.  
13
- 14 [99] Al-Dossary AA, Bathala P, Caplan JL, Martin-DeLeon PA. Oviductosome-sperm membrane  
15 interaction in cargo delivery - detection of fusion and underlying molecular players using three-  
16 dimensional super-resolution structured illumination microscopy (SR-SIM). *Journal of Biological*  
17 *Chemistry* 2015; 290 17710-17723.  
18
- 19 [100] Han J, Lee Y, Yeom KH, Nam JW, Heo I, Rhee JK, Sohn SY, Cho Y, Zhang BT, Kim VN. Molecular  
20 basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. *Cell* 2006; 125:887-  
21 901.  
22
- 23 [101] van der Pol E, Hoekstra AG, Sturk A, Otto C, van Leeuwen TG, Nieuwland R. Optical and non-  
24 optical methods for detection and characterization of microparticles and exosomes. *J Thromb*  
25 *Haemost* 2010; 8:2596-2607.  
26
- 27 [102] Wu Y, Deng W, Klinke DJ. Exosomes: improved methods to characterize their morphology, RNA  
28 content, and surface protein biomarkers. *Analyst* 2015; 140:6631-6642.  
29
- 30 [103] Akagi T, Kato K, Hanamura N, Kobayashi M, Ichiki T. Evaluation of desialylation effect on zeta  
31 potential of extracellular vesicles secreted from human prostate cancer cells by on-chip  
32 microcapillary electrophoresis. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* 2014; 53.  
33
- 34 [104] Clogston JD, Patri AK. Zeta Potential Measurement. In *Characterization of Nanoparticles*  
35 *Intended for Drug Delivery* 2011; pp. 63-70.  
36
- 37 [105] Veronesi B, de Haar C, Lee L, Oortgiesen M. The surface charge of visible particulate matter  
38 predicts biological activation in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Toxicology and Applied*  
39 *Pharmacology* 2002; 178:144-154.  
40
- 41 [106] Altankov G, Richau K, Groth T. The role of surface zeta potential and substratum chemistry for  
42 regulation of dermal fibroblasts interaction. *Materialwissenschaft Und Werkstofftechnik* 2003; 34  
43 1120-1128.  
44
- 45 [107] Fontes A, Fernandes HP, Barjas-Castro ML, de Thomaz AA, Pozzo LD, Barbosa LC, Cesar CL. Red  
46 blood cell membrane viscoelasticity, agglutination and zeta potential measurements with double  
47 optical tweezers - art. no. 608811. *Imaging, Manipulation, and Analysis of Biomolecules, Cells, and*  
48 *Tissues IV* 2006; 6088 8811-8811  
49

- 1 [108] Lin DQ, Zhong LN, Yao SJ. Zeta potential as a diagnostic tool to evaluate the biomass  
2 electrostatic adhesion during ion-exchange expanded bed application. *Biotechnology and*  
3 *Bioengineering* 2006; 95:185-191.  
4
- 5 [109] Kato K, Kobayashi M, Hanamura N, Akagi T, Kosaka N, Ochiya T, Ichiki T. Electrokinetic  
6 Evaluation of Individual Exosomes by On-Chip Microcapillary Electrophoresis with Laser Dark-Field  
7 Microscopy. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* 2013; 52.  
8
- 9 [110] Cocucci E, Racchetti G, Meldolesi J. Shedding microvesicles: artefacts no more. *Trends Cell Biol*  
10 *2009; 19:43-51.*  
11
- 12 [111] Vlassov AV, Magdaleno S, Setterquist R, Conrad R. Exosomes: current knowledge of their  
13 composition, biological functions, and diagnostic and therapeutic potentials. *Biochim Biophys Acta*  
14 *2012; 1820:940-948.*  
15
- 16 [112] Tetta C, Ghigo E, Silengo L, Deregibus MC, Camussi G. Extracellular vesicles as an emerging  
17 mechanism of cell-to-cell communication. *Endocrine* 2013; 44:11-19.  
18
- 19 [113] Akagi T, Ichiki T. Cell electrophoresis on a chip: what can we know from the changes in  
20 electrophoretic mobility? *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2008; 391 2433-2441.  
21
- 22 [114] Sundelacruz S, Levin M, Kaplan DL. Role of membrane potential in the regulation of cell  
23 proliferation and differentiation. *Stem Cell Rev* 2009; 5:231-246.
- 24 [115] Arvizo RR, Miranda OR, Thompson MA, Pabelick CM, Bhattacharya R, Robertson JD, Rotello  
25 VM, Prakash YS, Mukherjee P. Effect of nanoparticle surface charge at the plasma membrane and  
26 beyond. *Nano Lett* 2010; 10 2543-2548.  
27
- 28 [116] Chang HY, Kao WL, You YW, Chu YH, Chu KJ, Chen PJ, Wu CY, Lee YH, Shyue JJ. Effect of surface  
29 potential on epithelial cell adhesion, proliferation and morphology. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*  
30 *2016; 141:179-186.*  
31
- 32 [117] Walter I. Culture of bovine oviduct epithelial cells (BOEC). *Anat Rec* 1995; 243:347-356.  
33
- 34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

**Manuscript: Evaluating size exclusion chromatography as a method for the purification of extracellular vesicles secreted by primary and immortalised cells of reproductive origin.**

Nurul Akmal Jamaludin et al.\*

**Highlights:**

**Reproductive cells secrete distinct populations of extracellular vesicles (EVs)**

**EVs secreted by reproductive epithelial cells contain microRNAs**

**The electrokinetic potential of an EV membrane is dependent on its cell of origin**

**Functionally distinct regions of the oviduct secrete different EVs**