2	peptide potentiators
3	
4	Kristin R. Baker ^{a, b} #, Bimal Jana ^{a, b} , Anna Mette Hansen ^d , Karina Juul Vissing ^c , Hanne Mørck Nielsen ^c ,
5	Henrik Franzyk ^d #, Luca Guardabassi ^{a, b} .
6	
7	^a Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of
8	Copenhagen, Frederiksberg, Denmark
9	^b Department of Biomedical Sciences, Ross University School of Veterinary Medicine, Basseterre, St
10	Kitts, West Indies
11	^c Department of Pharmacy, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
12	^d Department of Drug Design and Pharmacology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
13	
14	Running title: Peptide-induced antibiotic susceptibility
15	
16	# Corresponding author mailing address: Kristin R. Baker, Ross University School of Veterinary
17	Medicine, Main Road, Basseterre, St. Kitts, Tel: +1 869-465-4161 Ext. 401-1211, kribake@sund.ku.dk
18	and Henrik Franzyk, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 162, DK-2100, Copenhagen, Tel: +45 3533 6255,
19	henrik.franzyk@sund.ku.dk.

Repurposing azithromycin and rifampicin against Gram-negative pathogens by combination with

20

1

21 Abstract

Gram-negative pathogens are intrinsically resistant to several antibiotics that are not able to penetrate the 22 envelope barrier. The objective of this study was to identify peptides that at low concentrations induce 23 susceptibility to these antibiotics in multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative strains of clinical relevance. 24 A pairwise screening of 34 diverse peptides and four antibiotics (erythromycin, linezolid, rifampicin and 25 vancomycin) with primary activity against Gram-positive bacteria identified four peptides that at sub-26 micromolar concentrations conferred susceptibility to rifampicin or erythromycin in Escherichia coli 27 ATCC 25922. The identified peptides exhibited synergy with azithromycin and potentiated clindamycin in 28 MDR E. coli ST131 and Klebsiella pneumoniae ST258. The low cytotoxicity toward eukaryotic cells (IC₅₀ 29 >50 µM) observed for two peptides (KLWKKWKKWLK-NH₂ and GKWKKILGKLIR-NH₂) prompted 30 synthesis and evaluation of the corresponding all-D analogs (D1 and D2), which retained similar synergistic 31 antibacterial profiles. Low concentrations of **D1** and **D2** in combination with azithromycin and rifampicin 32 inhibited growth of most clinical E. coli, K. pneumoniae and Acinetobacter baumannii strains tested. Our 33 34 data demonstrate that combinatorial screening at low concentrations constitutes an efficient approach to identify clinically relevant peptide-antibiotic combinations. In vivo PK/PD and toxicity studies are needed 35 to further validate the use of the peptides identified by this study for repurposing azithromycin and 36 rifampicin against Gram-negative pathogens. 37

38

39 Keywords

Antimicrobial peptides, Gram-negative bacteria, multidrug resistance, antibiotic adjuvant, combination
therapy, antibiotic potentiation

42

43

44 **1. Introduction**

As a consequence of the worldwide spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative clones, the 45 World Health Organization has ranked the development of new therapeutics to treat infections caused by 46 Enterobacteriaceae, Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa as a critical priority [1]. 47 Intrinsic antibiotic resistance considerably limits the therapeutic options against these pathogens, since 48 several classes of the available antibiotics cannot effectively penetrate the envelope barrier [2]. 49 Combination therapy represents an attractive approach for treating MDR infections as it typically reduces 50 the required dose of the individual components and limits the risk for emergence of resistance [4.5]. 51 Antimicrobial peptides that increase therapeutic potency and expand the spectrum of antibiotics to include 52 Gram-negative pathogens have potential use in combination therapy [4,5,6]. Although many reports have 53 54 demonstrated synergistic peptide-antibiotic interactions, the clinical potential of such findings have rarely been studied systematically. 55

The objective of the present study was to identify peptides that at low non-toxic concentrations render 56 57 MDR Gram-negative pathogens susceptible to antibiotics to which they are intrinsically resistant. Following a systematic approach, we designed a pairwise screen based on antibacterial activity of low 58 concentrations of a diverse set of peptides in combination with four antibiotics with primary activity against 59 Gram-positive bacteria. Subsequently, peptide-induced antibiotic susceptibility was confirmed, and 60 cytotoxicity was then assessed for the top four antibiotic-potentiating peptides. This resulted in 61 identification of two lead peptides that displayed low cytotoxicity to different eukaryotic cell types and 62 potentiated azithromycin and rifampicin against several Gram-negative species of clinical relevance. 63

64

65 2. Materials and methods

66 2.1 Media, antibiotics, bacterial strains and peptide synthesis

Bacteria were cultured on Luria-Bertani broth, cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) and
broth (MHBII). All media and antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. ATCC reference strains

included *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. MDR strains *E. coli* ST131 and *K. pneumoniae* ST258 are clinical isolates from urinary tract [7] and wound infections [8], respectively. A panel of β -lactamase resistant clinical isolates of were provided by Laurent Poirel. Starting materials and solvents for peptide synthesis were purchased from commercial suppliers (Iris Biotech, Sigma-Aldrich and VWR). All peptides and all-D analogs were synthesized and analyzed as previously reported [9]. The peptide stock solutions were made in deionized water, followed by dilution in MHBII.

76

77 2.2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Bacterial susceptibility to compounds was determined by microbroth dilution according to CLSI guidelines [10]. In the screen, antibiotics and peptides were combined at fixed concentrations corresponding to the antibiotics' CLSI susceptibility breakpoint for *Staphylococcus* species [11]. Antibiotic minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) in presence of peptide concentrations (0.5 μ M or 1 μ M) were determined as above. For growth curve assays, the MIC plates were prepared as above, and then plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with continuous shaking. Optical density (OD) at 600 nm was recorded in 10 min intervals.

84

85 2.3 Checkerboard assay

86 Synergy of peptide-antibiotic combinations was measured by using a two-dimensional checkerboard 87 assay [12] and CLSI guidelines [10]. The fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was calculated 88 and interpreted as previously reported [13].

89

90 2.4 Cellular viability and IC₅₀

91 Cell viability was determined in ATCC NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and HepG2 hepatocytes by using the 92 MTS/PMS assay as previously reported [14]. Peptide concentrations ranged from 0.1 to 500 μ M. The 93 relative cell viability was calculated according to eq. 1 with 100% (Abs_{pos}) and 0% cell death (Abs_{neg}) 94 defined as the absorbance values obtained after incubation of cells with SDS (0.2%, w/v in medium) and
95 with medium, respectively.

96 Relative viability
$$(\%) = \frac{(Abs_{sample} - Abs_{pos})}{(Abs_{neg} - Abs_{pos})} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

97 IC₅₀ values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) by
98 fitting the relative viability of the cells to the concentration of the test compound using equation 2:

99
$$Relative \ viability\ (\%) = \frac{Top-Bottom}{1+10^{(LogIC_{50}-Log[peptide]) \times Hill \ slope}}(2)$$

With top and bottom values constrained to 100% and 0%, representing the mean of the highest andof the lowest observed values, respectively. Data were collected from technical triplicates.

102

103 2.5 Time-kill assay

104 Time-kill kinetics assays were performed in K. pneumoniae ATCC 13883 and A. baumannii ATCC 105 19606. Briefly, ~10⁶ CFU/mL logarithmic-phase cells were transferred to 15-mL round-bottom tubes and 106 incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with aeration in the presence or absence of antibiotic, peptide or their 107 combination. At each time point, 100 µL cells were serially diluted in sterile 0.9% NaCl and 10 µL aliquots 108 were plated on MHA in triplicate. The CFU/mL from each condition was calculated following 18-24 h incubation at 37 °C. The detection limit was 10² CFU/mL. All time-kill curves represent the average and 109 110 standard deviation from biological duplicates. Synergy was defined as a $\geq 2-\log_{10}$ CFU/mL decrease for the 111 antibiotic-peptide combination relative to the individual compounds.

112

113 **3. Results and Discussion**

To identify peptide-induced antibiotic susceptibility, a pairwise combinatorial screening of 34 peptides and four antibiotics with poor activity against Gram-negative bacteria (rifampicin, erythromycin, vancomycin, and linezolid) was performed by assaying growth inhibition of *E. coli* ATCC 25922. Since potentiation of antibiotics is a frequent characteristic of cationic peptides, screening at low peptide

concentrations (1 or $0.5 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$) and at clinically relevant antibiotic concentrations [11] would identify the 118 most potent antibiotic potentiators, thus expediting the discovery of peptides with potential clinical utility. 119 120 Three peptides (1, 2, and 3) exhibited growth inhibition in combination with rifampicin or erythromycin 121 (Figure S1). A fourth peptide (4) was selected for further analysis due to its ability to enhance susceptibility to both rifampicin and erythromycin at 0.5 µM (Figure S1). All four peptides had a low MIC of 2 µM 122 123 against E. coli ATCC 25922. These peptides were all short (9 to 13 residues), highly cationic, and possessed similar hydrophobicity as estimated from their retention in reversed-phase analytical HPLC (Table 1) [15– 124 17]. None of the 34 peptides induced susceptibility to linezolid or vancomycin. 125

126 The ability of the four identified peptides to induce antibiotic susceptibility in two epidemic MDR clones with high clinical relevance (i.e., E. coli ST131 and K. pneumoniae ST258) was evaluated by 127 determining the MICs of rifampicin, erythromycin, clindamycin and azithromycin in combination with low 128 concentrations ($\leq 1 \mu M$) of peptide. In the presence of sub-inhibitory concentrations of peptide (Table 1), 129 the MICs of the antibiotics were reduced considerably, resulting in synergistic peptide-antibiotic 130 131 combinations with estimated FICIs ranging from 0.02 for rifampicin to 0.38 for azithromycin (Tables 2 and S1). The reductions in antibiotic MICs ranged from 8-fold, for azithromycin in combination with peptides 132 1 and 4, to \geq 250-fold, for rifampicin in the presence of peptides 2 and 3. All four peptides reduced the MICs 133 134 of rifampicin and azithromycin to below susceptibility breakpoints [11] in both strains. For clindamycin, the most favorable interactions were observed for peptides 2 and 3 in E. coli ST131 with a reduction of the 135 MICs below the resistance breakpoint [11]. In K. pneumoniae ST258, the clindamycin MICs remained 136 above the resistance breakpoint despite of \geq 32-fold reduction of the MICs. Susceptibility to erythromycin 137 138 was not achieved, most likely due to the high MICs of this macrolide in the two strains (256 and 512 µg/mL, 139 respectively). Consequently, azithromycin was chosen as the representative macrolide for further analyses. For the above combinations that reduced the antibiotic MICs below the resistance breakpoints, synergy was 140 141 confirmed by checkerboard assays (Table S2).

As a preliminary evaluation of the toxicity, and thus potential for clinical application, we determined the cytotoxicity for peptides **1-4** in two relevant eukaryotic cell lines (Table 1). Peptides **1** and **2** exhibited a low cytotoxicity with IC₅₀ values above 50 μ M in mouse fibroblasts (NIH 3T3) and \geq 100 μ M in human hepatocytes (Hep G2), while peptides **3** and **4** reduced cell viability with IC₅₀ values of 19-43 μ M (Table 1). Regardless, the peptide-antibiotic combinations were non-toxic at synergistic concentrations (Figure S2).

All-D analogs of the four selected peptides (denoted as D-peptides D1-D4 hereafter), were synthesized 148 and tested for their ability to induce susceptibility of MDR Gram-negative pathogens to azithromycin, 149 150 rifampicin and clindamycin. The all-D analogs retained the MICs of the corresponding L-forms (Table 1), and exhibited synergy with the antibiotics in MDR E. coli ST131 and K. pneumoniae ST258 (Table S2). 151 Based on their activity profiles, both forms would be expected to retain similar toxicity profiles while the 152 D-peptides are expected to have greater proteolytic stability [18]. As peptides 1 and 2 alone had significantly 153 lower cytotoxicity compared to peptides 3 and 4, it is likely that D1 and D2 will retain better safety profiles 154 155 as compared to D3 or D4; hence the first two D-peptides were studied further.

156 We further tested the activity of **D1** and **D2** in combination with the same three antibiotics (azithromycin, rifampicin and clindamycin) by using a collection of reference and clinical isolates of E. 157 coli, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa. Overall, the MICs of rifampicin and azithromycin 158 were reduced to below their respective susceptibility breakpoints in three reference strains when co-exposed 159 to sub-MIC concentrations of peptides D1 and D2, while the MIC of azithromycin was reduced to 2-fold 160 above the susceptibility breakpoint in *P. aeruginosa* (Table S3). Similarly, the MICs of clindamycin were 161 162 below the resistance breakpoint for K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii. In P. aeruginosa, the peptide-163 antibiotic combinations were overall not synergistic, and only borderline synergy was observed for combinations with rifampicin (Table S3). Overall, the antibacterial activity of the D-peptide-antibiotic 164 165 combinations against the reference strains reflected the activity observed against the clinical isolates (Table 166 S4). Most (88%) and \geq 50% of the isolates, except for *P. aeruginosa*, were inhibited by the D-peptides in 167 combination with rifampicin and azithromycin, respectively (Table S4).

The above synergistic combinations were further investigated in growth curve assays, which showed that neither peptide nor antibiotic individually inhibited growth of *E. coli* or *K. pneumoniae* at the concentration present in the synergistic combination (Figure S3 A-J). However, *A. baumannii* growth was retarded in the presence of each antibiotic or peptide **D2** alone (Figure S3, K-P).

Peptide **D2** was studied further to understand the bactericidal kinetics of **D2**-antibiotic combinations. This peptide was chosen based on its high potency in synergistic combinations. Time-kill experiments with **D2** in combination with antibiotics and alone were performed with reference strains of *A. baumannii* and *K. pneumoniae* which served as the representative of Enterobacteriaceae. All **D2**-antibiotic combinations exerted synergistic bactericidal effects in the time-kill assay (Figure 1, A-F). Moreover, at sub-MIC concentrations of **D2** (i.e., $\leq 2 \mu$ M), all antibiotic concentrations were below their respective susceptibility breakpoints, except for clindamycin in *K. pneumoniae*.

179 Time-kill kinetics of the antibiotics, **D2**, and their combinations were compared to examine whether the **D2**-antibiotic combinations were able to enhance the rate and efficiency of killing relative to either 180 component individually. In both species, faster killing kinetics were achieved for the **D2** combinations with 181 182 clindamycin and rifampicin than for either antibiotic alone (Figure S4B-C and E-F). The **D2**-azithromycin 183 combination also exhibited faster killing kinetics than azithromycin alone in A. baumannii (Figure S4D), while the combination displayed similar kinetics in K. pneumoniae (Figure S4A). However, D2 did not 184 exhibit efficient killing in K. pneumoniae (Figure S5) even at concentrations 8-fold above the MIC (Table 185 186 S5).

The approach developed in this study, which combines combinatorial screening at low compound concentrations with cytotoxicity testing, can be used to expedite discovery of clinically relevant peptideantibiotic combinations. This approach enabled rapid identification of two peptides (1 and 2) that at low sub-MIC non-toxic concentrations were able to circumvent intrinsic resistance to azithromycin and rifampicin in multiple Gram-negative species of clinical relevance, including epidemic MDR clones. Furthermore, the all-D peptide analogs induced susceptibility to rifampicin and azithromycin and reduced the MICs of clindamycin by more than 500-fold. These findings may help mitigate the lack of novel antibiotics effective against Gram-negative species by opening new avenues to repurpose these antibiotics for treatment of infections caused by Gram-negative MDR pathogens.

196 Peptides 1 and 2 as well as their all-D analogs (D1 and D2) exhibited substantial synergy with rifampicin, azithromycin, and clindamycin in K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii (Tables S2 and S3) at low 197 (≤1 µM) non-toxic peptide concentrations. The present study constitutes the first report on antibiotic 198 synergy of these peptides, while their antimicrobial activity, cytotoxic and haemolytic properties were 199 reported previously [19,20]. Notably, according to these studies peptides 1 and 2 do not exhibit haemolytic 200 201 activity at concentrations $\geq 200 \ \mu$ M. The use of the analogue **D2** appears to be particularly promising for 202 antibiotic potentiation since **D2**-antibiotic combinations displayed synergistic bactericidal activity (Figure 2), and faster killing kinetics than each individual component (Figure 3). Importantly, in vivo PK/PD and 203 204 toxicity studies are needed to fully assess the clinical potential of these findings.

205

206 4. Conclusions

Intrinsic resistance to azithromycin and rifampicin in Gram-negative bacteria can be overcome by very low
 peptide concentrations that are not toxic to eukaryotic cells. The two peptide leads identified in this study
 merit further investigation as antibiotic potentiators for repurposing azithromycin and rifampicin against
 MDR Gram-negative pathogens.

211

212 Acknowledgements

- 213 The study was supported by the University of Copenhagen research Centre for Control of Antibiotic
- Resistance (UC-Care), and by a grant from Zoetis. We thank Laurent Poirel for contributing the panel of
- clinical isolates used in the study.

216	Refer	eferences									
217	[1]	World Health Organisation. 2017. Global Priority List Of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria To Guide									
218		Research, Discovery, and Development Of New Antibiotics. World Health Organisation.									
219		http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/global-priority-list-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria/en/									
220		(accessed Jan 10 2018).									
221	[2]	Olivares J, Bernardini A, Garcia-Leon G, Corona F, Sanchez MB, Martinez JL. The intrinsic									
222		resistome of bacterial pathogens. Front Microbiol 2013;4:1–15. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00103.									
223	[3]	Tamma PD, Cosgrove SE, Maragakis LL. Combination Therapy for Treatment of Infections with									
224		Gram-Negative Bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev 2012;25:450–70. doi:10.1128/CMR.05041-11.									
225	[4]	Melander RJ, Melander C. The Challenge of Overcoming Antibiotic Resistance : An Adjuvant.									
226		ACS Infect Dis 2017;3:559–563. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00071.									
227	[5]	Zabawa TP, Pucci MJ, Parr TRJ, Lister T. Treatment of Gram-negative bacterial infections by									
228		potentiation of antibiotics. Curr Opin Microbiol 2016;33:7-12. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2016.05.005.									
229	[6]	Vaara M. Agents that increase the permeability of the outer membrane. Microbiol Rev									
230		1992;56:395–411.									
231	[7]	Cerquetti M, Giufrè M, García-Fernández A, Accogli M, Fortini D, Luzzi I, et al. Ciprofloxacin-									
232		resistant, CTX-M-15-producing Escherichia coli ST131 clone in extraintestinal infections in Italy.									
233		Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:1555–8									
234	[8]	Jana B, Cain AK, Doerrler WT, Boinett CJ, Fookes MC, Parkhill J, et al. The secondary resistome									
235		of multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. Sci Rep 2017:1–10. doi:10.1038/srep42483									
236	[9]	Hansen AM, Bonke G, Larsen CJ, Yavari N, Nielsen PE, Franzyk H. Antibacterial Peptide Nucleic									
237		Acid-Antimicrobial Peptide (PNA-AMP) Conjugates: Antisense Targeting of Fatty Acid									
238		Biosynthesis. Bioconjug Chem 2016;27:863–7. doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00013.									
239	[10]	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2013. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk									
240		and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals; approved standard, 4th ed, vol 8.									

- 241 CLSI document VET01-A4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- [11] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2017. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial
 Susceptibility Testing. 27th ed. CLSI M100. Wayne, PA.
- 244 [12] Garcia LS, editor. Synergism Testing: Broth Microdilution Checkerboard and Broth Macrodilution
- Methods. Clin. Microbiol. Proced. Handbook, 3rd Ed., American Society of Microbiology; 2010, p.
 140–62. doi:10.1128/9781555817435.ch5.12.
- 247 [13] Baker KR, Jana B, Franzyk H, Guardabassi L. A High-Throughput Approach To Identify
- Compounds That Impair Envelope Integrity in *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
 2016;60:5995–6002. doi:10.1128/AAC.00537-16.
- 250 [14] Jahnsen RD, Sandberg-Schaal A, Vissing KJ, Nielsen HM, Frimodt-Møller N, Franzyk H.
- Tailoring cytotoxicity of antimicrobial peptidomimetics with high activity against multidrugresistant *Escherichia coli*. J Med Chem 2014;57:2864–73. doi:10.1021/jm401335p.
- 253 [15] Jahnsen RO, Sandberg-Schaal A, Frimodt-Møller N, Nielsen HM, Franzyk H. End group
- 254 modification: Efficient tool for improving activity of antimicrobial peptide analogues towards
- Gram-positive bacteria. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2015;95:40–6. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.01.013.
- 256 [16] Schmitt MA, Weisblum B, Gellman SH. Interplay among folding, sequence, and lipophilicity in
- 257 the antibacterial and hemolytic activities of α/β -peptides. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:417–28.
- 258 doi:10.1021/ja0666553.
- [17] Chongsiriwatana NP, Patch JA, Czyzewski AM, Dohm MT, Ivankin A, Gidalevitz D, et al.
- Peptoids that mimic the structure, function, and mechanism of helical antimicrobial peptides. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci 2008;105:2794–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.0708254105.
- [18] Méndez-Samperio P. Peptidomimetics as a new generation of antimicrobial agents: Current
 progress. Infect Drug Resist 2014;7:229–37. doi:10.2147/IDR.S49229.
- 264 [19] Hyon K, Nan YH, Park Y, Kim JI, Park I, Hahm K, et al. Cell specificity, anti-inflammatory
- activity, and plausible bactericidal mechanism of designed Trp-rich model antimicrobial peptides.

266	Biochim Biophys Acta	2009;1788:1193-203.	. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2009.02.02	20.
-----	----------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------	-----

- 267 [20] Monincova L, Budesinsky M, Slaninova J, Hovorka O, Cvacka J, Voburka Z, et al. Novel
- 268 antimicrobial peptides from the venom of the eusocial bee Halictus sexcinctus (Hymenoptera :
- 269 Halictidae) and their analogs. Amino Acids 2009;39:763-775.. doi:10.1007/s00726-010-0519-1.

270

271 Tables

Table 1: Peptide sequences, physicochemical characteristics, cytotoxicity and MIC in *E. coli and K. pneumoniae*

				_				Cytote	MIC (µM)			
				s (Da)			in)	(μ)				
Peptide	Sequence		MW (g/mol)	Molecular mas		Net charge ^a	Retention time (m	IC ₅₀ (± 95% CI)		coli ATCC 25922	E. coli ST131	ıeumoniae ST258
				Calc.	Obs.		-	NIH3T3	HepG2	E.		K. p.
1	KLWKKWKKWLK-NH2	11	2369.17	1571.01	1571.02	+7	6.73	51 ± 35	105 ± 21	2	2	64
2	GKWKKILGKLIR-NH2		2121.92	1438.97	1438.97	+6	7.14	143 ± 21	175 ± 38	2	2	32
3	KKWRKWLKWLAKK-NH2	13	2710.5	1798.15	1798.16	+8	6.93	20 ± 13	19 ± 3	2	2	4
4	KWRRWIRWL-NH ₂		1968.85	1398.84	1398.84	+5	7.42	43 ± 16	34 ± 8	2	2	4
D1	klwkkwkkwlk-NH2	11	2369.17	1571.01	1571.00	+7	6.66	ND ^b	ND	2	2	64
D2	gkwkkilgklir-NH2	12	2121.92	1438.97	1438.97	+6	7.16	ND	ND	2	2	32
D3	kkwrkwlkwlakk-NH2	13	2710.5	1798.15	1798.14	+8	6.89	ND	ND	2	2	4
D4	kwrrwirwl-NH2	9	1968.85	1398.84	1398.84	+5	7.38	ND	ND	2	2	4

- 273
- ^a Charge at pH 7.4.
- ^bND, Not determined

Table 2: MICs of azithromycin (AZM), erythromycin (ERY), rifampicin (RIF) and clindamycin (CLI) in E. coli ST131 and K. pneumoniae ST258

exposed to low concentrations of peptides 1-4. Antibiotic MICs below the susceptibility breakpoint are in **bold** and MICs below the resistance

- breakpoint are underlined.
- 279
- ^a CLSI clinical breakpoints for *Staphylococcus* species. S= susceptible; R= resistant.

	<i>E. coli</i> ST131 0.5 µM peptide					<i>K. pneumoniae</i> ST258 1 μM peptide						
Antibiotic (µg/mL)											Clinical breakpoint ^a	
	1	2	3	4	None	1	2	3	4	None	≤S	≥R
AZM	1	≤0.25	0.25	1	8	2	1	1	2	32	2	8
ERY	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	256	8	8	<u>4</u>	8	512	0.5	8
RIF	0.25	≤0.03	≤0.03	0.25	4	0.125	0.06	≤0.03	0.6	16	1	4
CLI	≥ 8	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	4	>64	>8	4	4	4	>64	0.5	4





Figure 1: Peptide D2-antimicrobial combination kills bacteria synergistically. Time-kill kinetics for azithromycin (AZM), rifampicin (RIF) and

clindamycin (CLI) as individual compounds and in combination with **D2** are presented for both *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 13883 (A-C) and *A.*

285 *baumannii* ATCC 19606 (D-F), including only **D2** and untreated control. The curves of the synergistic combination and the untreated control are

also depicted in Figure S4.