

This author's accepted manuscript may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Epidemiology of race-day distal limb fracture in flat racing Thoroughbreds in Great Britain (2000–2013)

AUTHORS: S. M. Rosanowski, Y. M. Chang, A. J. Stirk, K. L. P. Verheyen

JOURNAL: EQUINE VETERINARY JOURNAL

PUBLISHER: Wiley

PUBLICATION DATE: 28 May 2018 (online)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/evj.12968>

1 Epidemiology of race-day distal limb fracture in flat racing  
2 Thoroughbreds in Great Britain (2000 to 2013)

3 S.M. Rosanowski<sup>ad</sup>, Y.M. Chang<sup>b</sup>, A.J. Stirk<sup>c</sup>, K.L.P. Verheyen<sup>a</sup>

4 <sup>a</sup> Veterinary Epidemiology, Economic and Public Health Group, Department of Pathobiology and  
5 Population Sciences, The Royal Veterinary College, University of London, North Mymms, Hatfield,  
6 Hertfordshire AL9 7TA, United Kingdom

7 <sup>b</sup> Research Office, The Royal Veterinary College, University of London, London, NW1 0TU, United  
8 Kingdom

9 <sup>b</sup> British Horseracing Authority, 75 High Holburn, London WC1 6LS, United Kingdom

10 <sup>d</sup> Currently: Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine and  
11 Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

12 Corresponding author

13 [srosanow@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:srosanow@cityu.edu.hk)

14

15 Keywords: risk factors, trainer, performance, horse, racing, injury

16

17 Summary

18 **Background:** A key focus of the racing industry is to minimise the number of race-day distal limb  
19 fractures (DLF), although no studies have identified risk factors for both fatal and non-fatal DLF.

20 **Objective:** To determine risk factors for race-day DLF experienced by Thoroughbred racehorses  
21 participating in flat racing in Great Britain (GB).

22 **Study design:** Retrospective cohort

23 **Methods:** Information was collected from all flat racing starts occurring on GB racecourses between  
24 2000 and 2013, including horse, race, course, trainer and jockey data for each horse start and race-  
25 day injury data as reported by on-course veterinarians. Associations between exposure variables and  
26 DLF were assessed using mixed effects logistic regression analyses using data from all starts, and turf  
27 starts only.

28 **Results:** A total of 806,764 starts and 624 DLF were included, of which 548,571 starts and 379 DLF  
29 occurred on turf surfaces. In both models, increasing firmness of the going, increasing racing distance  
30 and horses in their first year of racing were at a higher risk of DLF, while increasing number of previous  
31 race starts were protective. Trainer performance was associated with DLF. Generally, the risk of DLF  
32 increased with increasing horse age. Starts in selling or claiming races or Group 1, Group 3 or claiming  
33 races were at higher odds of DLF in the all starts and turf models, respectively.

34 **Main limitations:** Clinical diagnosis of DLF and all types of DLF considered as one outcome.

35 **Conclusions:** This study confirmed previously identified risk factors for DLF including going, race  
36 distance and number of horse starts. Novel risk factors were related to trainer and horse performance,  
37 and race type. Identification of at risk groups will help inform interventions to reduce DLF occurrence  
38 in flat racing horses.

39

## 41 Introduction

42 Injuries are an inevitable risk in any activity involving high performance athletes undertaking  
43 strenuous physical activity. Fracture is one of the most common type of race-day injuries in  
44 Thoroughbred racehorses, and the majority of fractures occur in the distal limb [1-4]. Race-day  
45 fractures are a concern for a racing industry seeking to maintain racing safety and enhance racehorse  
46 welfare. Furthermore, fractures have a financial impact trainers and owners and detract from the  
47 public perception of the sport.

48 Distal limb injuries in racehorses can have career-ending or catastrophic consequences [1; 5-8].  
49 Fractures most commonly occur when there is an imbalance between microdamage and repair due to  
50 repeated, cyclic loading [9], which can lead to irreparable damage not only to the bone, but also the  
51 structures surrounding the site of fracture [10]. Whilst bone does show functional adaption in  
52 response to exercise loads [11], this can lead to an initial weakening of the structures and increased  
53 likelihood of fracture [12]. A previous study identified complex relationships between exercise load  
54 and fracture risk, with an interaction between the number of loading cycles at a slower pace (canter)  
55 compared with faster paced work (gallop) [13]. Bone damage may be subclinical and not evident  
56 during training, in which case fracture may occur when bones are placed under the most stress such  
57 as during high speed racing conditions [14].

58 One way to improve the safety of racing is by identifying risk factors for distal limb fractures (DLF),  
59 enabling the racing industry to implement effective, evidence-based change. Previous studies of  
60 fatality or fatal musculoskeletal injury, including fatal distal limb fracture, in racehorses have identified  
61 racing in jump races [15], racing on all-weather or dirt surfaces [16; 17], increasing racing distance [18;  
62 19] and increasing firmness of the racing surface (going) [17; 18] as risk factors. Age has been  
63 identified as risk factor in both flat and jump races, with the risk of fatality increased with increasing

64 age at first race for all-cause fatalities [6], fatal musculoskeletal injury [15] and fatality due to fractures  
65 of the third metacarpal or third metatarsal bone [20].

66 While risk factor studies of fatal DLF can inform strategies aimed at reducing the likelihood of  
67 catastrophic outcomes, DLF with a fatal outcome are not representative of all DLF occurring on the  
68 racecourse, in particular when the decision to euthanise is influenced by factors other than the injury  
69 itself. It is therefore important to study both fatal and non-fatal DLF, to provide a more general picture  
70 of risk factors for this type of injury. A previous study identified risk factors for DLF on all-weather  
71 surfaces [21], but no studies have investigated risk factors for DLF overall, or specifically for turf racing.  
72 Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to identify current horse-, race-, course-, trainer- and  
73 jockey-level risk factors for race-day DLF in flat racing Thoroughbreds in Great Britain (GB).

## 74 Methods

### 75 Study design and case definition

76 A retrospective cohort study was conducted using all Thoroughbred flat racing starts in GB between  
77 1<sup>st</sup> January 2000 and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013. A start was defined as having occurred when a horse that  
78 was declared to race had entered the starting stalls prior to racing. One horse could therefore have  
79 multiple starts during the study period and the unit of interest was at the start level.

80 Distal limb fracture (DLF) was defined as an event that resulted in the veterinary diagnosis of a fracture  
81 to the distal limb including the carpal, tarsal, second, third or fourth metacarpal or metatarsal bones,  
82 proximal pastern, distal pastern, sesamoid or 'fetlock', as recorded by official racecourse  
83 veterinarians. A report of a DLF was primarily based on clinical examination and presumptive  
84 diagnosis, without further diagnostic investigations. Reports were recorded at the racecourse into the  
85 British Horseracing Authority's (BHA) injury database.

## 86 Data collection and processing

87 For each start, horse demographics, race, course, trainer and jockey variables were collated from the  
88 Weatherbys racing database ([www.weatherbys.co.uk](http://www.weatherbys.co.uk)). The racing and injury data were merged into  
89 a single custom-designed Structured Query Language (SQL) database, with records matched by horse  
90 and race identification numbers.

91 Additional performance variables were calculated, based on information from previous race starts.  
92 For each start, a performance score was created (30 for a win, 20 for a place, 10 for a completed run,  
93 0 for a start but failed to finish) [22], which was then used to calculate an average score based on all  
94 race starts and all flat race starts prior to the current start (sum of performance scores in previous  
95 starts/number of previous starts). The percentage of wins, placings and failures to finish for each  
96 horse, trainer and jockey, both for all previous race starts and previous flat starts only were also  
97 calculated. Additionally, for each start, a horse performance index was calculated based on the rank  
98 score of the percentage of the field beaten in the race (ranked 1-10, based on deciles) multiplied by  
99 the rank score of the total value (purse) offered in the race (ranked 1-10) [23]. The rank of the total  
100 race value was calculated for each year, as the purse value increased over the study period. For each  
101 horse, the total number of starts prior to the current start, the number of starts in the 15 and 30 days  
102 prior to the current start and the percentage of starts made in flat races were calculated.

103 The number of days since last start (racing intensity) was modelled as a categorical variable with four  
104 levels: first start, 1 to 7 days, 8 to 93 days and  $\geq 94$  days, based on previous research [6]. A trainer  
105 performance variable was created based on the average score of the trainer at the previous start (high  
106 = trainers with an average score in the top 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of average performance score; low = the  
107 remaining trainers) and their percentage of horse failures-to-finish at the previous start (high =  
108 trainers in the top 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of percentage of failures to finish, low = the remaining trainers). This  
109 resulted in four categories of trainer performance: low average score with low percentage failures,  
110 high average score with low percentage failures, low average score with high percentage failures and

111 high average score with high percentage failures (Supplementary Table 1). Age was modelled as  
112 current age (in years: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8+) and variables representing first year racing (yes/no) and  
113 age at first start (2, 3, 4+) were also investigated. Sex was categorised in three groups: uncastrated  
114 males (stallions, colts and rigs), geldings and females (fillies and mares). The official track rating or  
115 condition, called going, was categorised in five levels: 1) hard, firm or fast 2) good to firm or standard  
116 to fast, 3) good or standard, 4) good to soft or standard to slow and 5) soft or heavy or slow (see Tables  
117 2 and 3).

## 118 Statistical analysis

119 A mixed effects logistic regression approach was used to determine explanatory variables that were  
120 associated with DLF for 1) all starts and 2) starts on turf surfaces only. Exposure variables were  
121 screened using univariable logistic regression and those with a likelihood ratio test P-value <0.25 were  
122 selected for inclusion in a multivariable model. A preliminary multivariable model was built using a  
123 manual backwards method of elimination in which variables were retained in the model if the  
124 likelihood ratio test P-value was <0.05. The linearity of continuous variables was assessed and if non-  
125 linearity was identified, inclusion of quadrature or fractional polynomial terms was explored as well as  
126 categorising the variable based on quartiles, with selection of the most appropriate functional form  
127 based on likelihood ratio P-values.

128 Interaction terms were identified using a classification tree method as described by Camp and Slattery  
129 [24]. Briefly, all non-DLF observations were divided into subsets of the same sample size as the DLF  
130 set (n=624), and each of these non-DLF sets were combined with the DLF records to assess interaction  
131 between risk factors using classification tree analysis. Only risk factors with P<0.25 in univariable  
132 analysis were included in the classification tree analyses, and interaction terms identified in greater  
133 than 10% of the trees were further assessed in the multivariable mixed effects logistic model.

134 Random effect terms for horse, jockey, trainer, sire, dam, race, race meeting or course were  
135 individually added to the final multivariable model. Due to computational constraint, final models are

136 presented with only horse included as a random effect, to account for horse-level repeated measures  
137 within the dataset.

138 Fitted probabilities of DLF were calculated based on the final random effects models. Residual values  
139 (observed outcome minus the fitted probability) were calculated to assess model fit; in a well-fitting  
140 model, residuals would be near zero. R version 3.3.0 [25] and the package rpart [26] were used for the  
141 classification tree model. Stata version 13 [27] was used for the mixed effects logistic regression  
142 modelling.

## 143 Results

144 There were a total of 806,764 starts, of which 548,571 were on turf surfaces. Horses had a median of  
145 9 (interquartile range (IQR) 4 to 20; maximum 231) starts, with a median of 8 (IQR 3 to 18; maximum  
146 230) starts on turf. There were 624 DLF and 379 of these occurred on turf surfaces. Table 1  
147 summarises the anatomical location of DLF on turf and all-weather surfaces. The incidence of DLF was  
148 0.77 per 1000 starts and 0.69 per 1000 turf starts. Of the DLF, 310 (49.7%) had a fatal outcome; 183  
149 (48.0%) of these were on turf surfaces.

150 A summary of the univariable analyses results for the all-starts and turf models is provided in  
151 Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. One hundred starts did not have complete information regarding the  
152 sex of the horse, leaving 806,664 starts in the multivariable all starts model. In total, 11 variables were  
153 retained in the all starts model (Table 2) and nine in the turf model (Table 3). Descriptive statistics of  
154 the random effects and their significance are summarised in Table 4. No significant interaction terms  
155 were identified among the fixed effects. In both models, the odds of DLF increased as the firmness of  
156 the going increased and with increasing race distance. Horses in their first year of racing and horses  
157 from trainers with a high average performance score and high percentage of horse failure to finish  
158 were at a higher odds of fracture. Generally, older horses were at higher odds of DLF although an  
159 increasing number of previous starts decreased the odds of DLF.



160 In the all starts model, the odds of DLF were higher on all-weather courses, in seller or claiming races  
161 (races where horses could be sold at the end of the race [28]), in horses having their first race start, in  
162 colts, stallions and rigs, and with increasing percentage of horse prior placings. The random effect of  
163 horse was significant in the final model ( $P=0.04$ ;  $n=67,510$ ) as was the random effect term for sire  
164 ( $P<0.001$ ;  $n=2,209$ ), indicating significant variation between horses and between sires in the odds of  
165 DLF after adjusting for the fixed effects in the model. The residual values had a median of 0.9983 (IQR  
166 0.9974 to 0.9989) and -0.0002 (IQR -0.0002 to -0.0001) for DLF and non-DLF starts, respectively.

167 In the turf model, the odds of fracture were higher in May-September compared to October-April and  
168 in Group 1, Group 3 or claiming races (where horses can be bought or “claimed” for a specified price  
169 [28]). The random effect of horse was significant in the final model ( $P=0.04$ ;  $n=62,803$ ) as were the  
170 random effects of trainer ( $P=0.01$ ;  $n=1,204$ ) and sire ( $P=0.02$ ;  $n=2,074$ ). When clustering by trainer  
171 was accounted for, the trainer performance with both high average trainer score and high percentage  
172 failures changed by 15% to an odds ratio of 1.73 (95% CI 1.21-2.85;  $P=0.003$ ). The residual values had  
173 a median of 0.9977 (IQR 0.9962 to 0.9987) and -0.0002 (IQR -0.0004 to -0.0001) for DLF and non-DLF  
174 starts, respectively.

## 175 Discussion

176 This study has identified both previously observed and novel factors associated with DLF in  
177 Thoroughbred racehorses. Racing on an all-weather surface, increasing firmness of going, increasing  
178 racing distance, older age and racing intensity were risk factors for race-day DLF, while an increasing  
179 number of starts was a protective factor. These findings are similar to those from previous studies  
180 investigating flat racing fatality [6; 17] and fatal third metacarpal fracture [2; 18]. Newly identified risk  
181 factors for DLF in flat racing horses include race type, season, and measures of horse and trainer  
182 performance.

183 In both models reported here, age was associated with the DLF risk, which is comparable to similar  
184 studies of other outcomes, including fatality, in this population [21; 29]. These results are also similar

185 to those from other studies completed in other populations, although variation in modelling strategies  
186 make comparison across studies difficult. Age has been modelled as age at first start and/or current  
187 age [2; 6; 17; 30] or as career length [22; 31]. Wood *et al.* [6] identified that horses that started racing  
188 younger had a lower risk of racecourse fatality, whilst another study showed that horses in their first  
189 year of racing were at increased risk of fatal DLF [2]. Other studies have identified increasing risk of  
190 fatality [15; 17] and fracture [30] with increasing age or higher risk of superficial digital flexor  
191 tendinopathy (SDFT) with increasing career length [22; 31]. Distal limb fracture in older horses is  
192 suggestive of cumulative microdamage, which then leads to failure. The increased microdamage in  
193 the third metacarpal condyle of older racehorses, compared to younger racehorses has been  
194 described previously [32]. The higher fracture risk in older horses may also partly be due to an age-  
195 related change in the bone's adaptive ability, leading to failure [33]. The higher risk of DLF in the first  
196 year of racing may be indicative of a failure of bone to adapt to increased exercise loads, or the  
197 incorrect timing of a race in relation to bone adaption [12]. It could also be that inexperienced horses  
198 are more likely to be injured when racing, e.g. through lack of concentration, stumbling, and bumping  
199 into other horses.

200 Horses from top performing trainers who also had high proportion of horses failing to finish were  
201 more likely to have a DLF than horses from trainers with poorer average performance. Training  
202 regimens and/or the quality and health of horses trained have previously been hypothesised to play  
203 a role in the association between SDFT injury in National Hunt (jumping) racehorses and trainer  
204 performance [22]. Training regimens may also play a role in explaining the current finding, and it  
205 could be that trainers who employ more intense training strategies are more likely to be successful,  
206 but also have more injuries in their horses. Unfortunately, no training data were available for horses  
207 in the current study, and it would be difficult to collect these from large retrospective cohorts. Future  
208 research will be greatly enhanced by the regular collection of training data, preferably in prospective  
209 studies.

210 Uncastrated male horses were at a higher odds of DLF compared to geldings and females in the all  
211 starts model. Similarly, previous studies have identified male horses to be more likely to sustain a  
212 fracture [30; 34; 35], SDFT [36], die or be euthanized [19] than their female counterparts. Perkins *et*  
213 *al.* [37] suggested that the lower risk to female horses may reflect the breeding potential of these  
214 animals, with fillies and mares being removed from the racing population earlier than males, to protect  
215 them from the occurrence of catastrophic injuries that may preclude them from becoming a  
216 broodmare. However, the odds of DLF for females and geldings was similar in the current study, even  
217 though the racing career of geldings was longer (data not shown). Alternatively, the differing fracture  
218 risk between the sexes may be due to differences in bone metabolism as previously identified in two-  
219 year-old colts and fillies [38]. Whilst further research may be beneficial to further elucidate potential  
220 pathophysiological mechanisms associated with differences in fracture risk between the sexes, this is  
221 a non-modifiable risk factor and as such may be difficult to mitigate.

222 A simple measure of horse performance, based on career placings prior to the current start, was  
223 significant in the all starts model, with increasing horse performance increasing the risk of DLF.  
224 Although a higher percentage of prior placings indicates success relative to other horses competing in  
225 the same races, this measure does not take account of the level of race a horse has been competing  
226 at. In contrast, previous studies have identified that horses with a higher rating were at lower risk of  
227 fatality and SDFT than horses with no official rating [6; 31]. It is however difficult to compare career  
228 placings to official rating, given that the latter is weighted by the quality of the competition. A horse's  
229 rating can change after each start depending on type of race and performance of the horse in that  
230 race relative to the horse's own previous and expected performance and the performance of similar  
231 horses [39; 40]. Rating was not considered as a variable in the current analyses, due to changes in the  
232 way in which these data were recorded in 2008. A variable considered to be correlated with official  
233 rating is horse performance index [23]. Whilst this variable was investigated in the current study, it  
234 was not significant in the final model. It is unclear why a non-weighted performance variable was a  
235 risk factor over weighted variables, except that this simple measure removes any account of the

236 quality of the horse. One possibility is that the effect identified here might be a result of horses that  
237 do well in lower level races being more likely to incur a DLF, and good horses competing in lower-level  
238 races that do not incur a DLF move up into better quality races. In these better quality races, horses  
239 are competing on a more even basis (i.e. they are better “matched” on horse ability). Therefore,  
240 percentage placings does not play as much of a role, instead the higher risk in better quality horses is  
241 represented in the race type variables, with Group 1 and 3 races having a higher risk in the turf model.

242 An indirect measure of horse quality retained in both models was race type. Horses entering seller or  
243 claiming races are for sale at the end of the race [28], while Group 1 races are international-level  
244 championship races and Group 3 races are the highest national-level races. No Group 1 races were  
245 held on all-weather surfaces during the study period. In both models, claiming races were a risk factor  
246 for DLF. In the all starts model, selling races also increased the likelihood of DLF, while in the turf  
247 model selling, Group 1 and Group 3 races increased the likelihood of DLF. It is unclear why these race  
248 types had higher odds of DLF, although it is of note that horses competing in Group races would be  
249 considered as some of the highest performing horses in the racing population, whereas horses in seller  
250 or claiming races would be at the other end of this spectrum. The association between the risk of  
251 injury and starting in a seller or claiming race has previously been noted [22]. The authors theorised  
252 that the possible reason for horses being entered in these race types was previous poor performance,  
253 associated with sub-clinical injury. However, this theory would not support the finding of higher DLF  
254 odds in Group races, which attract top-performing horses. It may be that horses performing in Group  
255 races are more likely to attempt to perform at the limits of their physical capability, resulting in injury,  
256 particularly for horses with no or few prior starts at the Group-level. However, from the data available  
257 in the current study it was not possible to assess horse capability at the level they were competing at.

258 An increasing number of race starts was protective for DLF, a factor that has previously been identified  
259 in studies investigating flat racing fatality [6; 17]. An alternative approach is to determine the number  
260 of starts in specified time periods [22; 31]. More starts in the previous three months was protective

261 for tendon injury in steeplechase horses [31], while having no starts, or eight or more starts in the  
262 previous three months were risk factors for tendon injury in hurdles horses [22]. In the current study,  
263 racing intensity (the time since last start) was also significant in the final model, similar to previous  
264 studies [6]. While the importance of standardised measures of training intensity have previously been  
265 defined [41], these recommendations have not been extended to race-day measures.

266 Although the residual values indicated adequate model prediction of non-DLF starts, because the  
267 incidence of DLF was low, the predictive ability of the model for DLF starts was also low. As such, the  
268 current models are unlikely to be useful for predicting starts that will result in DLF and further work is  
269 needed to enable better identification of individuals at risk of an adverse outcome.

270 In the current analyses, a catch-all definition DLF was used, which did not differentiate specific fracture  
271 types. The categorisation of fractures was based on the clinical signs identified during examination by  
272 race-day veterinarians and, given the limited diagnostic facilities on British racecourses, it is likely that  
273 some fractures were misclassified. Reardon *et al.* [42] identified that when race-day veterinary reports  
274 of DLF were compared to subsequent post mortem examinations, race-day veterinarians correctly  
275 identified the majority of horses with fracture, although the correct reporting of at least one of the  
276 affected bones was less accurate. In some cases, multiple bones were fractured with only one fracture  
277 site reported. In addition, a minority (6%) of horses euthanased with veterinary-reported DLF did not  
278 have a fracture; instead, many of these horses had suspensory ligament rupture or sesamoidean  
279 ligament damage. The current study utilised the same data recording methods as this previous study  
280 [42], so similar misclassifications of fracture types could be expected which may justify a broader case  
281 definition of DLF at the expense of identifying risk factors for specific fracture types.

## 282 Conclusions

283 This study confirmed previously identified risk factors for DLF including going, race distance and  
284 number of previous horse starts. Novel risk factors were related to trainer and horse performance,  
285 and race type. Further, significant variation between horses, between sires and between trainers in

286 the odds of DLF were identified. This study has helped to identify “at risk” groups, which will help  
287 inform interventions to reduce DLF occurrence in flat racing horses, enhancing horse welfare and  
288 safety.

## 289 Funding

290 Funding for this project was received from the Horserace Betting Levy Board.

## 291 Acknowledgement

292 The authors would like to acknowledge the support received from the British Horseracing Authority,  
293 with special thanks to Jenny Hall and Paul Lifton, and their respective teams.

## 294 Conflict of interest

295 The authors report no conflict of interest.

## 296 Ethical animal research

297 Consent to use and store the data included in this study was obtained from the British Horseracing  
298 Authority. The project was ethically reviewed and approved by the Clinical Research Ethical Review  
299 Board of the Royal Veterinary College, approval number URN 2015 1362.

300

## 301 References

302

303 [1] Williams, R.B., Harkins, L.S., Hammond, C.J. and Wood, J.L.N. (2001) Racehorse injuries,  
304 clinical problems and fatalities recorded on British racecourses from flat racing and National  
305 Hunt racing during 1996, 1997 and 1998. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **33**, 478-486.

306

307 [2] Parkin, T.D.H., Clegg, P.D., French, N.P., Proudman, C.J., Riggs, C.M., Singer, E.R., Webbon,  
308 P.M. and Morgan, K.L. (2004) Horse-level risk factors for fatal distal limb fracture in racing  
309 Thoroughbreds in the UK. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **36**, 513-519.

310

311 [3] Johnson, B.J., Stover, S.M., Daft, B.M., Kinde, H., Read, D.H., Barr, B.C., Anderson, M., Moore,  
312 J., Woods, L., Stoltz, J. and Blanchard, P. (1994) Causes of death in racehorses over a 2-year  
313 period. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **26**, 327-330.

314

315 [4] Rosanowski, S.M., Chang, Y.M., Stirk, A.J. and Verheyen, K.L.P. (2016) Descriptive  
316 epidemiology of veterinary events in flat racing Thoroughbreds in Great Britain (2000 to  
317 2013). *Equine Veterinary Journal*.

318

319 [5] Boden, L.A., Anderson, G.A., Charles, J.A., Morgan, K.L., Morton, J.M., Parkin, T.D.H.,  
320 Slocombe, R.F. and Clarke, A.F. (2006) Risk of fatality and causes of death of Thoroughbred  
321 horses associated with racing in Victoria, Australia: 1989-2004. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **38**,  
322 312-318.

323

324 [6] Wood, J.L.N., Eastment, J., Lakhani, K.H., Harkins, L. and Rogers, K. (2001) Modelling a  
325 retrospective study of death on racecourses. *Proceedings - Society for Veterinary*  
326 *Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine*, 115-126.

327

328 [7] Cruz, A.M., Poljak, Z., Filejski, C., Lowerison, M.L., Goldie, K., Martin, S.W. and Hurtig, M.B.  
329 (2007) Epidemiologic characteristics of catastrophic musculoskeletal injuries in  
330 Thoroughbred racehorses. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **68**, 1370-1375.

331

332 [8] Stover, S.M. (2003) The epidemiology of Thoroughbred racehorse injuries. *Clinical*  
333 *Techniques in Equine Practice* **2**, 312-322.

334

335 [9] Taylor, D. (2000) Scaling Effects in the Fatigue Strength of Bones from Different Animals.  
336 *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **206**, 299-306.

337

338 [10] Martig, S., Chen, W., Lee, P.V.S. and Whitton, R.C. (2014) Bone fatigue and its implications  
339 for injuries in racehorses. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **46**, 408-415.

340

341 [11] Firth, E.C., Delahunt, J., Wichtel, J.W., Birch, H.L. and Goodship, A.E. (1999) Galloping  
342 exercise induces regional changes in bone density within the third and radial carpal bones of  
343 Thoroughbred horses. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **31**, 111-115.

- 344  
345 [12] Schaffler, M.B., Radin, E.L. and Burr, D.B. (1990) Long-term fatigue behavior of compact  
346 bone at low strain magnitude and rate. *Bone* **11**, 321-326.
- 347  
348 [13] Verheyen, K., Price, J., Lanyon, L. and Wood, J. (2006) Exercise distance and speed affect the  
349 risk of fracture in racehorses. *Bone* **39**, 1322-1330.
- 350  
351 [14] Parkin, T.D.H. (2008) Epidemiology of Racetrack Injuries in Racehorses. *Veterinary Clinics of*  
352 *North America: Equine Practice* **24**, 1-19.
- 353  
354 [15] Bailey, C.J., Reid, S.W.J., Hodgson, D.R., Bourke, J.M. and Rose, R.J. (1998) Flat, hurdle and  
355 steeple racing: risk factors for musculoskeletal injury. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **30**, 498-503.
- 356  
357 [16] Mohammed, H.O., Hill, T. and Lowe, J. (1991) Risk factors associated with injuries in  
358 Thoroughbred horses. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **23**, 445-448.
- 359  
360 [17] Henley, W.E., Rogers, K., Harkins, L. and Wood, J.L.N. (2006) A comparison of survival models  
361 for assessing risk of racehorse fatality. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **74**, 3-20.
- 362  
363 [18] Parkin, T.D.H., Clegg, P.D., French, N.P., Proudman, C.J., Riggs, C.M., Singer, E.R., Webbon,  
364 P.M. and Morgan, K.L. (2004) Race- and course-level risk factors for fatal distal limb fracture  
365 in racing Thoroughbreds. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **36**, 521-526.
- 366  
367 [19] Boden, L., Anderson, G., Charles, J., Morgan, K., Morton, J., Parkin, T., Clarke, A. and  
368 Slocombe, R. (2007) Risk factors for Thoroughbred racehorse fatality in flat starts in Victoria,  
369 Australia (1989–2004). *Equine veterinary journal* **39**, 430-437.
- 370  
371 [20] Parkin, T.D.H., Clegg, P.D., French, N.P., Proudman, C.J., Riggs, C.M., Singer, E.R., Webbon,  
372 P.M. and Morgan, K.L. (2005) Risk factors for fatal lateral condylar fracture of the third  
373 metacarpus/metatarsus in UK racing. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **37**, 192-199.
- 374  
375 [21] Rosanowski, S.M., Chang, Y.M., Stirk, A.J. and Verheyen, K.L.P. (2017) Risk factors for race-  
376 day fatality, distal limb fracture and epistaxis in Thoroughbreds racing on all-weather  
377 surfaces in Great Britain (2000 to 2013). *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **148**, 58-65.
- 378  
379 [22] Reardon, R.J.M., Boden, L.A., Mellor, D.J., Love, S., Newton, J.R., Stirk, A.J. and Parkin, T.D.H.  
380 (2012) Risk factors for superficial digital flexor tendinopathy in Thoroughbred racehorses in  
381 hurdle starts in the UK (2001–2009). *Equine Veterinary Journal* **44**, 564-569.
- 382  
383 [23] Compston, P.C., Phillips, C.R., Payne, R.J. and Newton, J.R. (2013) Racehorse performance as  
384 an epidemiological outcome measure. In: *Society of Veterinary Epidemiology and Preventive*  
385 *Medicine*.
- 386



- 387 [24] Camp, N.J. and Slattery, M.L. (2002) Classification tree analysis: a statistical tool to  
388 investigate risk factor interactions with an example for colon cancer (United States). *Cancer*  
389 *Causes & Control* **13**, 813-823.
- 390
- 391 [25] R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation  
392 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 393
- 394 [26] Therneau, T.M. and Atkinson, E.J. (1997) An introduction to recursive partitioning using the  
395 RPART routines, Technical Report 61. URL <http://www.mayo.edu/hsr/techrpt/61.pdf>.
- 396
- 397 [27] StataCorp (2013) Stata Statistical Software: Release 13, College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.
- 398
- 399 [28] British Horseracing Authority Limited (2017) The Rules of Racing: Part 4: selling or claiming  
400 races.
- 401
- 402 [29] Rosanowski, S.M., Chang, Y.M., Stirk, A.J. and Verheyen, K.L.P. (2018) Risk factors for race-  
403 day fatality in flat racing Thoroughbreds in Great Britain (2000 to 2013). *Plos One* **13**.
- 404
- 405 [30] Georgopoulos, S.P. and Parkin, T.D.H. (2017) Risk factors for equine fractures in  
406 Thoroughbred flat racing in North America. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **139, Part B**, 99-  
407 104.
- 408
- 409 [31] Reardon, R.J.M., Boden, L.A., Mellor, D.J., Love, S., Newton, J.R., Stirk, A.J. and Parkin, T.D.H.  
410 (2013) Risk factors for superficial digital flexor tendinopathy in Thoroughbred racehorses in  
411 steeplechase starts in the United Kingdom (2001-2009). *Veterinary Journal* **195**, 325-330.
- 412
- 413 [32] Turley, S.M., Thambyah, A., Riggs, C.M., Firth, E.C. and Broom, N.D. (2014) Microstructural  
414 changes in cartilage and bone related to repetitive overloading in an equine athlete model.  
415 *Journal of Anatomy* **224**, 647-658.
- 416
- 417 [33] Nunamaker, D.M., Butterweck, D.M. and Provost, M.T. (1990) Fatigue fractures in  
418 thoroughbred racehorses: Relationships with age, peak bone strain, and training. *Journal of*  
419 *Orthopaedic Research* **8**, 604-611.
- 420
- 421 [34] Wilsher, S., Allen, W.R. and Wood, J.L.N. (2006) Factors associated with failure of  
422 Thoroughbred horses to train and race. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **38**, 113-118.
- 423
- 424 [35] Estberg, L., Stover, S.M., Gardner, I.A., Johnson, B.J., Jack, R.A., Case, J.T., Ardans, A., Read,  
425 D.H., Anderson, M.L., Barr, B.C., Daft, B.M., Kinde, H., Moore, J., Stoltz, J. and Woods, L.  
426 (1998) Relationship between race start characteristics and risk of catastrophic injury in  
427 thoroughbreds: 78 cases (1992). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* **212**, 544-549.
- 428

429 [36] Takahashi, T., Kasashima, Y. and Ueno, Y. (2004) Association between race history and risk of  
430 superficial digital flexor tendon injury in Thoroughbred racehorses. *Javma-Journal of the*  
431 *American Veterinary Medical Association* **225**, 90-93.

432

433 [37] Perkins, N.R., Reid, S.W.J. and Morris, R.S. (2005) Risk factors for injury to the superficial  
434 digital flexor tendon and suspensory apparatus in Thoroughbred racehorses in New Zealand.  
435 *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* **53**, 184-192.

436

437 [38] Jackson, B.F., Lonnell, C., Verheyen, K., Wood, J.L., Pfeiffer, D.U. and Price, J.S. (2003) Gender  
438 differences in bone turnover in 2-year-old Thoroughbreds. *Equine Vet J* **35**, 702-706.

439

440 [39] Anon (2014) A Guide to Handicapping, The British Horseracing Authority.

441

442 [40] Anon (2013) Handicapping Guide Version 3, New Zealand Thoroughbred Racing.

443

444 [41] Parkin, T.D.H. (2007) Havemeyer workshop report - Epidemiology of training and racing  
445 injuries. *Equine Veterinary Journal* **39**, 466-469.

446

447 [42] Reardon, R.J.M., Boden, L., Stirk, A.J. and Parkin, T.D.H. (2014) Accuracy of distal limb  
448 fracture diagnosis at British racecourses 1999-2005. *Veterinary Record* **174**, 477-484.

449

450

451 Tables

452

453 Table 1: Race-day distal limb fractures (DLF) occurring in flat racing Thoroughbreds in Great Britain  
 454 (2000-2013) described by anatomical location and racing surfaces.

Fracture location	All weather (n=245) <sup>a</sup>		Turf (n=379) <sup>a</sup>	
	Number	% of DLF	Number	% of DLF
<b>Third metacarpal</b>	76	31.0	129	34.0
<b>First phalanx</b>	54	22.0	123	32.5
<b>Carpus</b>	59	24.1	57	15.0
<b>Third metatarsal</b>	19	7.8	34	9.0
<b>Proximal sesamoid – both</b>	17	6.9	13	3.4
- lateral	4	1.6	8	2.1
- medial	7	2.9	5	1.3
- unspecified	3	1.2	5	1.3
<b>Second or third phalanx</b>	5	2.0	4	1.1
<b>Tarsus</b>	3	1.2	3	0.8
<b>Second or fourth metacarpal</b>	1	0.4	2	0.5
<b>Second or fourth metatarsal</b>	1	0.4	1	0.3
<b>'Fetlock'<sup>b</sup></b>	0	0	1	0.3

455 <sup>a</sup> Six horses racing on turf and four horses racing on all-weather track had fractures in multiple  
 456 locations in one DLF event

457 <sup>b</sup> Specific bone(s) affected not recorded

Table 2: Multivariable logistic regression results for the risk factors for distal limb fractures in British flat racing Thoroughbreds racing on turf and all-weather surfaces (2000 to 2013). Model includes a random effect for horse (n=67,510, P=0.04)

Variables	Level	No. of Cases	No. of Starts	Incidence per 1000 starts	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (OR)	Wald P-value	Likelihood ratio P-value
<b>Surface</b>	Turf	379	548,498	0.69	1			<0.001
	All-weather	245	258,166	0.95	1.62	1.3, 2.03	<0.001	
<b>Going</b>	Firm, hard or fast	24	29,293	0.82	1.41	0.91, 2.20	0.13	<0.001
	Good to firm or Good to fast	186	220,343	0.84	1.31	1.04, 1.65	0.02	
	Good or Standard	331	394,186	0.84	1			
	Good to soft or Good to slow	45	84,357	0.53	0.78	0.56, 1.09	0.15	
	Soft, heavy or Slow	38	78,483	0.48	0.71	0.50, 1.02	0.07	
<b>Distance per 100 metres</b>					1.05	1.03, 1.06	<0.001	<0.001
<b>Race type</b>	Not a seller or claiming race	543	733,197	0.74	1			<0.001
	Seller race	38	38,332	0.99	1.56	1.11, 2.19	0.01	
	Claiming race	43	35,135	1.22	1.89	1.37, 2.60	<0.001	
<b>Sex</b>	Colt, stallion or rig	157	147,587	1.06	1			0.04
	Filly or mare	197	268,295	0.73	0.77	0.62, 0.96	0.02	
	Gelding	270	390,782	0.69	0.77	0.61, 0.97	0.03	
<b>Current age (years)</b>	2	149	155,365	0.96	1			<0.001
	3	203	254,893	0.80	1.26	0.96, 1.64	0.10	
	4	85	154,070	0.55	1.26	0.87, 1.83	0.23	
	5	75	94,063	0.80	2.18	1.45, 3.27	<0.001	
	6	38	60,647	0.63	2.02	1.25, 3.26	0.004	

	7	39	39,571	0.99	3.56	2.17, 5.85	<0.001	
	8+	35	48,055	0.73	2.94	1.73, 4.99	<0.001	
<b>First year racing</b>	No	369	583,459	0.63	1			<0.001
	Yes	255	223,205	1.14	2.2	1.70, 2.84	<0.001	
<b>Number of days since last start</b>	First start	65	64,524	1.01	1			0.002
	1 to 7 days	37	83,009	0.45	0.62	0.40, 0.96	0.03	
	8 to 93 days	483	580,517	0.83	0.98	0.73, 1.33	0.91	
	94 days plus	39	78,614	0.50	0.62	0.4, 0.95	0.03	
<b>Number of previous horse starts on flat</b>					0.98	0.97, 0.99	<0.001	<0.001
<b>Horse prior place %</b>					1.01	1.002, 1.01	0.001	0.002
<b>Trainer performance</b>	Low average score, low percentage failures	176	296,491	0.59	1			
	Low average score, high percentage failures	226	311,258	0.73	1.25	1.02	1.52	0.03
	High average score, low percentage failures	98	116,873	0.84	1.23	0.95	1.59	0.12
	High average score, high percentage failure	123	82,040	1.5	2.09	1.63	2.68	<0.001

\* For 100 starts, the sex of the horse was unknown (n=806,664)

Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression results for the risk factors for distal limb fractures in British flat racing Thoroughbreds racing on turf surfaces (2000 to 2013). Model includes a random effect for horse (n=62,859, P=0.04)

Variable	Level	No. of Cases	No. of Starts	Incidence per 1000 starts	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (OR)	Wald P-value	Likelihood Ratio P-value
<b>Going</b>	Hard or firm	23	29,002	0.79	1.27	0.8, 2.00	0.31	0.003
	Good to firm	178	217,424	0.82	1.17	0.92, 1.49	0.20	
	Good	106	152,511	0.70	1			
	Good to soft	40	76,665	0.52	0.74	0.52, 1.07	0.11	
	Soft or heavy	32	72,969	0.44	0.64	0.43, 0.95	0.03	
<b>Flat season</b>	Off (October to April)	15	55,576	0.27	1			<0.001
	On (May to September)	364	492,995	0.74	2.31	1.37, 3.90	0.002	
<b>Distance (per 100 meters)</b>					1.06	1.03, 1.06	<0.001	<0.001
<b>Race type</b>	Not a Group or claiming race	339	519,314	0.65	1			<0.001
	Group 1	12	4,605	2.61	3.08	1.68, 5.64	<0.001	
	Group 3	11	7,816	1.41	1.92	1.04, 3.55	0.04	
	Claiming race	17	16,836	1.01	1.84	1.12, 3.02	0.02	
<b>Current age (years)</b>	2	111	119,631	0.93	1			<0.001
	3	135	181,290	0.74	1.12	0.81, 1.56	0.49	
	4	36	99,277	0.36	0.78	0.47, 1.29	0.34	
	5	35	58,347	0.6	1.55	0.91, 2.63	0.11	
	6	21	37,453	0.56	1.7	0.91, 3.17	0.09	
	7	23	24,205	0.95	3.28	1.74, 6.18	<0.001	
	8+	18	28,368	0.63	2.43	1.21, 4.87	0.01	

<b>First year racing</b>	No	200	382,791	0.52	1			<0.001
	Yes	179	165,780	1.08	2.45	1.77, 3.38	<0.001	
<b>Number of previous horse starts on the flat</b>					0.98	0.97, 0.99	0.001	0.001
<b>Trainer performance<sup>a</sup></b>	Low average score, low percentage failures	101	198,784	0.51	1			<0.001
	Low average score, high percentage failures	117	194,124	0.60	1.25	0.95, 1.64	0.11	
	High average score, low percentage failures	76	92,352	0.82	1.37	1.01, 1.88	0.04	
	High average score, high percentage failures	85	63,311	1.34	2.11	1.56, 2.87	<0.001	

<sup>a</sup> When trainer was included as a random effect (instead of horse), the trainer performance with both high average trainer score and high percentage failures changed by 15% to an odds ratio of 1.73 (95% CI 1.21-2.85; P=0.003). No other odds ratios within the model changed more than 5%.

Table 4: Number of clusters, descriptive statistics and significance of random effect terms in the multivariable logistic regression model of risk factors for distal limb fracture (DLF) in all-starts and turf starts in British flat racing Thoroughbreds, 2000 to 2013.

Random effect	Number of clusters	Clusters with DLF cases	Median number of cases (IQR; maximum) <sup>a</sup>	Random effect multivariable P-value
<b>All starts model</b>				
- Horse	67,510	616 (0.9%) <sup>b</sup>	1 (1 – 1; 2)	0.04
- Trainer	1346	271(20.1%)	2 (1 – 2.5; 32)	0.08
- Jockey	2625	215 (8.2%)	2 (1 – 4; 17)	0.39
- Course	37	37 (100%)	11 (8 – 15; 94)	0.44
- Race meeting	11,412	613 (5.4%)	1 (1 – 1; 2)	0.49
- Race	77,336	624 (0.8%)	1 (1 – 1; 1)	0.49
- Sire	2209	338 (15.35%)	1 (1 -2; 15)	<0.001
- Dam	29,299	609 (2.1%)	1 (1 – 1; 2)	0.08
<b>Turf starts model</b>				
- Horse	62,859	371 (0.6%) <sup>b</sup>	1 (1 -1; 2)	0.04
- Trainer	1218	188 (15.4%)	1 (1 -2; 28)	0.01
- Jockey	2400	165 (6.9%)	1 (1 -3; 11)	0.47
- Course	35	35 (100%)	11 (8 – 14.5; 24)	0.44
- Race meeting	7876	375 (4.8%)	1 (1-1; 2)	0.49
- Race	51,574	379 (0.7%)	1 (1-1; 1)	0.49
- Sire	2085	248 (11.9%)	1 (1 -2; 10)	0.02
- Dam	27,825	373 (1.3%)	1 (1-1; 2)	0.14

<sup>a</sup> For clusters with cases of DLF; <sup>b</sup> 8 horses had multiple DLF.