RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the peer-reviewed, manuscript version of an article published in *Veterinary Parasitology.* The version of record is available from the journal site: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2018.03.020.

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Discrimination, molecular characterisation and phylogenetic comparison of porcine Eimeria spp. in India

AUTHORS: Sharma, D., Singh, N. K., Singh, H., Joachim, A., Rath, S. S. and Blake, D. P.

JOURNAL: Veterinary Parasitology

PUBLISHER: Elsevier

PUBLICATION DATE: 22 March 2018 (online)

DOI: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2018.03.020

RVC Royal Veterinary College University of London

1 Discrimination, molecular characterisation and phylogenetic comparison of *Eimeria* spp.
2 which infect pigs
3

4 Devina Sharma[a,b,*], Nirbhay K. Singh[a], Harkirat Singh[a], Anja Joachim[c], Shitanshu S. Rath[a] and
5 Damer P. Blake[b]
6
7 [a]Department of Veterinary Parasitology, College of Veterinary Science, Guru Angad Dev
8 Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana – 141004, India
9 [b]Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, North
10 Mymms, Hertfordshire, University of London, United Kingdom AL97TA.
11 [c]Institute of Parasitology, Department of Pathobiology, University of Veterinary Medicine,
12 Veterinärplatz 1, A-1210 Vienna, Austria.
13
14 *Corresponding author. Email address: devinasharma23@yahoo.co.in (Devina Sharma)
15 Tel: +91 9418452080; Fax: +91 16102400822
16
17

18 Keywords
19 *Eimeria*, pig, 18S rDNA, India, *Sus scrofa domesticus*
20

ABSTRACT

Infections with *Eimeria* spp. are common in pigs worldwide, occasionally affecting animals clinically after weaning or during the fattening period when diarrhoea and weight loss can be observed upon infection with the more pathogenic species. Molecular characterization of pathogens is valuable to accurately delimit species and development novel diagnostics, although sequences which define *Eimeria* species that infect pigs are scarce. Only three of the eight common species are currently represented in GenBank. In this study we describe the occurrence of *Eimeria* species in pigs sampled in Punjab, India; going on to use the samples to generate new species-specific 18S rDNA sequences for all of the previously uncharacterised species. Using these data we report the first phylogenetic analyses to include the eight *Eimeria* species that commonly infect the domestic pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*). Consideration of phylogenetic trees produced using Maximum Likelihood, Neighbour Joining, Maximum Parsimony and Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean methods indicate that the 18S rDNA sequences present lower levels of genetic diversity than *Eimeria* which infect avian species and are insufficient to infer stable phylogenies.

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus *Eimeria* (Coccidia: Eimeriidae) includes numerous species, many of which can cause coccidiosis in birds and animals. In the domestic pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*), 13 species of *Eimeria* have been described, eight of which are common (Pellérdy, 1974; Joachim and Schwarz, 2015). Infections occur worldwide and are usually caused by several species simultaneously (Vetterling, 1965; Löwenstein and Kutzer, 1989; Daugschies et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2012; Gyzy and Oglu, 2016). Although most infections are asymptomatic, cases of diarrhoea, weight loss and even fatalities have been described in weaned pigs (Pellerdy, 1974). The species that have reportedly been involved in clinical eimeriosis are *Eimeria debliecki* (Vítovec and Koudela, 1990), *Eimeria scabra* (Rommel, 1970; Hill et al., 1985), *E. polita* (Rommel, 1970) and *Eimeria spinosa* (Koudela and Vítovec, 1992). Very high doses of *E. scabra* induced diarrhoea in weaned piglets after experimental infections (Pellerdy, 1974).

As pathogenic potential varies between *Eimeria* species, differentiation to the species level is important to determine those which contribute to disease in an outbreak or circulate on a farm. The eight most common porcine-infecting *Eimeria* spp, *E. debliecki, E. neodebliecki, E. perminuta, E. polita, E. porci, E. scabra, E. spinosa*, and *E. suis*, can readily be differentiated by oocyst morphology (Daugschies et al., 1999; Joachim and Schwarz, 2015), and algorithms can be applied to use morphometric analysis (Daugschies et al., 1999, 2004; Plitt et al., 1999). However, this requires careful preparation of the samples and suitable equipment which must be calibrated properly to avoid systemic errors (Oberg et al., 2013). Oocysts must be isolated from faeces and subjected to sporulation before measurement, which makes the processing cumbersome and unsuitable for larger sample numbers. There is thus a need for more rapid, accurate and cost effective identification tools, most notably in livestock other than poultry where the genus has been relatively neglected. Molecular characterisation can be applied to identify markers which are suitable for use in diagnostics and can improve understanding of evolutionary history and taxonomy of protozoan taxa (Ogedengbe et al., 2011). Molecular studies exploring sequence divergence and genetic distance have been useful to compare parasites isolated from different host species or geographic locations (Nadler and De Leon, 2011). Such genetic characterisation has benefitted our understanding of epidemiology, host and geographical ranges and evolutionary relationships. However, few sequences are publically available for *Eimeria* species which infect pigs. Several studies have compared 18S rDNA sequences from *Eimeria* species which infect chickens (Barta et al., 1997), turkeys (Miska et al., 2010), rodents (Zhao and Duszynski, 2001), rabbits (Kvicerová et al., 2008), goats (Nahavandi et al., 2016) and cattle (Kokusawa et al., 2013). Other genetic markers such

as the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) 1 and 2 sequences, and mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (mtCOI) have been sequenced from diverse *Eimeria* species (Ogedengbe et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2016), although fewer sequences are publically available at present. For pigs 18S rDNA sequences were previously only available for three species, *E. polita, E. porci* and *E. scabra* (Ruttkowski et al., 2001). The development of molecular diagnostics for *Eimeria* which infect pigs requires a more comprehensive dataset. Here, we report partial 18S rDNA sequences that represent each of the remaining five *Eimeria* species which commonly infect pigs inferred from a series of mixed species samples collected in Punjab, India, by comparison with oocyst morphology. We also validate those 18S rDNA sequences derived previously from three *Eimeria* species which infect pigs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample collection

During August 2015 to September, 2016, a total of 839 faecal samples were collected from 36 commercial and backyard pig farms covering 18 districts and 5 agro-climatic zones in Punjab, North India (Fig. 1). The samples were collected from either the rectum at the time of defaecation or the ground immediately after defaecation. All samples were transported to the Department of Veterinary Parasitology, GADVASU, Ludhiana. Qualitative microscopic analysis was done for *Eimeria* spp. by standard concentration-flotation technique and the McMaster technique was used for the estimation of mean oocyst per gram (OPG) as per Soulsby (1982). Those samples found to contain coccidial oocysts were fixed in 99.9% (v/v) ethanol (Jebsen & Jessen, Germany) and transported to the Royal Veterinary College, UK under Importation of Animal Pathogens Order (IAPO) permit for morphometric studies and molecular analysis. Oocysts were separated from faecal debris and processed as described by Kumar et al. (2014).

2.2 Ethical review

This study was reviewed and approved by the Dean of Post Graduate studies, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Science University (GADVASU), Ludhiana, Punjab, India. More detailed ethical review was not required since no invasive sampling was undertaken.

2.3 Morphometric studies

Oocyst morphometry was assessed from each sample at 100x/400x using a dry high power objective with a photomicrographic camera (Olympus CX41) attached to a binocular

105 research microscope (Olympus). Micrometric analysis was performed using Image J (Austen
106 et al., 2014) and species identity was assigned using morphological features of the oocysts
107 including shape, size, colour, shape index and micropyle as described elsewhere (Daugschies
108 et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2008).
109
110 2.4 DNA extraction
111 Total genomic DNA was extracted as described previously (Kumar et al., 2014).
112 Briefly, Aliquots of ~0.75ml from samples found to contain more than 500 oocysts/ml were
113 sedimented by centrifugation at 10,000×*g* for 1min and resuspended in 0.5 ml phosphate
114 buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.6). Sedimentation was repeated prior to mechanical disruption using
115 0.4-0.6mm glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) and a Bead Beater at 30,000×
116 oscillations/min for 30s. Total genomic DNA was then extracted using a QIAamp fast DNA
117 Stool Mini kit as described by the manufacturer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Eluted DNA
118 quality and quantity was determined using a Nanodrop 2000/200C spectrophotometer (Thermo
119 Fisher Scientific, USA) prior to storage at −20 °C until use.
120
121 2.5 Polymerase chain reaction, gel electrophoresis and amplicon sequencing
122 Initially, ~1,800 bp fragments of the 18S rDNA were amplified in 50 µl PCR reactions
123 including 4µl (2-20 ng/µl) genomic DNA template, 25 pM of the primers ER1B1 (5'-
124 ACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAG-3') and ER1B10 (5'-CTTCCGCAGGTTCACCTACGG-3')
125 (Schwarz et al., 2009) and 25 µl of 2× MyTaq™ Mix (Bioline, Taunton, USA), made up with
126 DNase/RNase free molecular grade water (ThermoFisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK).
127 PCR amplification was initiated at 95ºC for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of 95ºC for 30sec,
128 56ºC for 30 sec (adjusted to optimise efficiency from 57ºC in Schwarz et al., 2009), 72ºC for
129 2 min and a final elongation step at 72ºC for 10 min. Subsequently, primers Pig18S_F1 (5'-
130 GGATTTCTGTCGTGGTCATC-3') and Pig18S_R1 (5'-CTTTAAGTTTCAGCCTTGCG-
131 3') were designed to amplify ~510-535 bp fragments of the porcine-infecting *Eimeria* 18S
132 rDNA flanking the region of greatest variation for medium depth amplicon sequencing.
133 *Eimeria tenella* genomic DNA and molecular grade water served as template in positive and
134 no template negative controls.
135 PCR amplicons were resolved through 1.0% (w/v) Ultrapure Agarose (Invitrogen,
136 Paisley, UK) in 0.5× TBE (0.89 M Tris base, 0.89 M boric acid, 0.5 M
137 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] buffer; Sigma-Aldrich), including 0.01% (v/v)
138 SafeView Nucleic Acid Stain (Novel Biological Solutions, Huntingdon, UK). 5 µl of each

139 amplicon was mixed with 1 µl of 6× DNA Loading Dye (ThermoFisher Scientific) and then
140 subjected to electrophoresis at 60V/ 30 min. Amplicons of the anticipated size were purified
141 using a QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), as per the manufacturer's instructions.
142 Purified PCR amplicons were cloned using pGEM-T Easy (Promega) in XL1-Blue
143 MRF *Escherichia coli* (Stratagene) as described by the manufacturers, and screened for inserts
144 of the anticipated size by colony PCR using the same primers and conditions as described for
145 the original amplification. Those plasmids with confirmed inserts were purified using a
146 QIAprep® Spin Mini prep kit (Qiagen, Germany) and sequenced using the T7 and SP6 T Easy
147 sequencing primers (GATC Biotech, Konstanz, Germany) as described by the respective
148 manufacturers. Each field sample screened included multiple *Eimeria* species (based upon
149 morphometry). Thus, up to ten clones were sequenced for each sample, assigning sequence
150 identity by comparison of the proportionate occurrence of (i) the dominant *Eimeria* species
151 morphotype and (ii) the most frequent sequence type, as described previously for *Eimeria*
152 which infect cattle (Kawahara et al., 2010).
153
154 2.6 Sequence analysis and phylogenetics
155 Newly generated and existing 18S rDNA sequences downloaded from GenBank were
156 aligned using CLC Main Workbench v.6.9.1 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). The Basic Local
157 Alignment Search Tool (BLAST®; http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to
158 determine similarity with existing sequence resources. The percentage identity/mean genetic
159 distance between sequences was calculated with software MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013),
160 including reference sequences for *E. polita, E. porci* and *E. scabra* (GenBank accession
161 numbers AF279666-8) as well as sequences representing members of the Eimeriidae isolated
162 from mice, chickens, cattle, sheep and turkeys, employing *Toxoplasma gondii* as a taxonomic
163 and functional outgroup (Supplementary Table 1). Phylogenies were inferred using Maximum
164 likelihood (ML), Neighbor Joining (NJ), Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Unweighted Pair
165 Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA) methods with MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013),
166 including 1,000 bootstrap replication. The evolutionary distances were computed using the
167 Kimura 2 parameter. The rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution
168 (shape parameter = 1). The branching order was confirmed by nucleotide substitution model
169 of p distance with the resampling nodal support of 1,000 bootstrap replicates, under the
170 Maximum Parsimony (MP) analysis using the close-neighbour-interchange algorithm. Mean
171 genetic distance was calculated using MEGA 6 with default parameters.
172

173 **3. RESULTS**

174 3.1 Description and frequency of *Eimeria* spp.

175 A panel of 839 faecal samples from 36 commercial and backyard pig farms were
176 collected from Punjab, North India. Farms were selected for sampling using the Progressive
177 Pig Farmers Association of Punjab database following a semi-randomised process, modified
178 for convenience of access. Faecal samples were collected from individual animals. Microscopic
179 examination identified 79/839 (9.4%) samples were positive for coccidia. Out of 36 farms
180 screened, 23 (63.9%) showed the presence of *Eimeria* oocysts with a minimum of two species,
181 a maximum of seven, and most farms having six species (Supplementary Fig. 1). Total oocyst
182 counts ranged from 1,000-62,000 per ml (Supplementary Table 2). From the 79 positive
183 samples 55.7% were collected from piglets, 20.3% from growers 24.1% from adults, indicating
184 that older pigs also contributed to oocyst shedding. The differences between age groups were
185 not statistically significant (Pearson chi square test, p=0.8).. Morphometric analysis identified
186 examples of all eight *Eimeria* species (*E. debliecki, E. neodebliecki*, *E. perminuta*, *E. polita, E.*
187 *porci, E. scabra, E. spinosa* and *E. suis*).

188

189 3.2 Polymerase chain reaction and molecular cloning

190 Initially, 19 samples were selected for DNA extraction based on either high oocyst
191 occurrence or low complexity of infection. Primers ERIB1/ERIB10 were optimised to confirm
192 the successful extraction of sufficient eimerian genomic DNA from each sample, indicating
193 19/19 samples were appropriate for further PCR analysis. Subsequently, custom primers
194 flanking the most variable region of the porcine-infecting *Eimeria* 18S rDNA were designed
195 to amplify ~510-535 bp to optimize cloning efficiency and plasmid-based Sanger sequencing.
196 Samples found by morphometry to contain (i) only two *Eimeria* species or (ii) one dominant
197 species were selected for PCR and cloning to allow unambiguous allocation of genotype to
198 oocyst morphotype. In total 31 new sequences were generated from 13 samples, all of which
199 are available from GenBank under the accession numbers LT962626-56. Subtracting the
200 published 18S rDNA sequences for *E. polita*, *E. porci* and *E. scabra* (AF279666-8), we were
201 able to identify five novel sequences which were related to *E. debliecki*, *E. neodebliecki*, *E.*
202 *perminuta*, *E. spinosa* and *E. suis* (LT962626-30), as well as a second sequence type which
203 was associated with *E. polita* (LT962633, termed *E. polita* sequence type (ST) II). These
204 putative new reference sequences were then used to annotate the remaining sequences.

205

206 3.3 Phylogenetic analysis

207 ML, NJ, MP and UPGMA methods were applied to the reference and new 18S rDNA
208 sequences, with the ML and NJ versions shown here (Fig. 2A & B). Comparable results were
209 obtained using all four methods with consistent topology between *Eimeria* species, although
210 bootstrap values at nodes between *Eimeria* sequences derived from pigs indicated limited
211 support for many. Comparison of BLAST sequence identity and all phylogenetic trees
212 indicated nine clusters, each defined by a single published or new reference sequence. Cluster
213 I contained four sequences and were characterised as *E. debliecki*. Cluster II contained four
214 sequences characterised as *E. perminuta*. Clusters III, V, VI, VIII and IX represented *E.*
215 *neodebliecki* (n=5), *E. porci* (n=3), *E. suis*, *E. spinosa* and *E. scabra* (all n=2), respectively.
216 Clusters IV and VII represented the two *E. polita* sequence types, with four and 11 sequences
217 respectively (ST II and I). A close relationship was indicated for *E. porci* and *E. suis*. Mean
218 genetic diversity within each sequence cluster ranged from 0.000 to 0.015 (Table 2). When
219 analysed in the context of other publically available *Eimeria* sequences, the pig-*Eimeria*
220 specific partial 18S rDNA sequences formed a monophyletic cluster distant to those species
221 which infect chickens, turkeys and ruminants such as cattle and sheep. Mean genetic diversity
222 within the sequences generated from *Eimeria* which infect pigs was lower than calculated for
223 *Eimeria* which infect chickens, turkeys or sheep (Table 2).
224
225 **4. DISCUSSION**
226 Thirteen *Eimeria* species have been described and at least nine are valid. Here, all eight
227 of the most common *Eimeria* species known to infect domestic pigs were detected during
228 analysis of faecal samples collected from pigs in Punjab, North India. These *Eimeria* species
229 are ubiquitous and have been widely reported (Vetterling, 1965; Pellérdy, 1974; Löwenstein
230 and Kutzer, 1989; Chhabra and Mafukidze, 1992; Roepstorff et al., 1998; Lai et al., 2011;
231 Tsunda et al., 2013; Joachim and Schwarz, 2015). Pig age did not significantly influence the
232 occurrence of *Eimeria* infection. The oocyst lifecycle stage of each species is phenotypically
233 distinct, although overlap in features such as length and width, make routine species-level
234 identification beyond the differentiation challenging (Daugschies et al., 1999). Prior to this
235 work just three sequences derived from porcine-infecting *Eimeria* were publicly available,
236 published in a single study (Ruttkowski et al., 2001). The scarcity of sequence resources for
237 *Eimeria* which infect pigs has limited the production of novel molecular diagnostics and
238 precluded epidemiological investigation.
239 Here, we have targeted the 18S rDNA of the genus *Eimeria* (El-Sherry et al., 2013).
240 The 18S rDNA is the only genomic locus to have been sequenced previously for *Eimeria* which

241 infect pigs. In the absence of single-species DNA samples such prior data was invaluable to
242 untangle the complexity presented by the field samples available to the project. Other benefits
243 included the presence of multiple 18S copies in each parasite genome, improving sensitivity,
244 and the presence of highly conserved flanking regions appropriate for universal primers
245 (Kokusawa et al., 2013). Here, we sequenced multiple clones derived from PCR amplicons
246 representative of the least complex field samples. Through comparison with species occurrence
247 in each sample, and by subtraction of known sequences as they became available, a series of
248 nine sequence clusters were identified. Comparison with morphometric data permitted
249 identification of sequences specific for each *Eimeria* species, with two sequence types
250 associated with *E. polita*. Multiple 18S rDNA sequence types have previously been described
251 for other apicomplexans such as *E. mitis* from the chicken (Vrba et al., 2011). Alternatively,
252 the one of the two sequence types might represent another *Eimeria* species. The nine sequence
253 clusters associated with porcine *Eimeria* formed a monophyletic lineage divergent from those
254 which infect chickens, turkeys and ruminants, proving closer to *E. falciformis* which infects
255 mice. The use of a single genetic locus precludes a firm conclusion, but the data does indicate
256 distinct parasite lineages associated with avians, ruminants and monogastrics. Sequence
257 assignment to each species was largely consistent between phylogenetic methods, although
258 bootstrap confidence was consistently low. Thus, delimitation of species on the basis of
259 sequence congruence and phylogeny was difficult in the absence of defined reference
260 specimens appropriate for more extensive sequencing (Ruedas et al., 2000). Miska and
261 colleagues have previously reported similarly challenging analysis using 18S and mtCOI
262 sequences where the allocation of species identity was limited in mixed *Eimeria* samples from
263 turkeys, pheasants and chukar (Miska et al., 2010). Nuclear 18S rDNA sequences have
264 occasionally been unable to resolve the monophyly of *Eimeria* (Morrison et al., 2004) and is
265 perhaps only reliably useful in phylogenetic analyses to the genus level (El-Sherry et al., 2013).
266 Mean genetic distances within and between *Eimeria* species which infect pigs were
267 lower than have been described elsewhere for other *Eimeria* species (Blake et al., 2015; Clark
268 et al., 2016; Clark et al., 2017). This might have been an artefact of the narrow spatial sampling
269 range, although higher levels of diversity have been reported in *Eimeria* which infect chickens
270 in a similar region (Blake et al., 2015). Other possibilities might include limited opportunities
271 for transmission of novel genotypes or cross-fertilization as a consequence of the relatively
272 small pig population in Punjab, although a broader panel of genetic markers will be required
273 to answer such questions.

A major objective of these studies was to develop a panel of PCR-based *Eimeria* species-specific diagnostic tests. However, the level of inter-specific diversity discovered was insufficient. Moreover, comparison of the expanded sequence resources demonstrated that the putative species-specific assays developed previously using monospecific *E. polita*, *E. porci* and *E. scabra* samples (Ruttkowski et al., 2001) are not, in fact, specific. Application of these primers here revealed a considerable number of false positive results (data not shown). Thus, while direct species-specific diagnostics are not yet feasible robust genus-specific tools are realistic with the addition of sequencing required for species identification (Nahavandi et al., 2016). Access to pure isolates of each *Eimeria* species would permit the application of next-generation sequencing technologies to expand molecular resources for these parasites as suggested for coccidia of other host species (Lim et al., 2012; Diaz-Sanchezet al., 2013; Vermeulen et al., 2016). As single cell genomics technologies become more effective such resources may soon become available, with direct relevance to the development of novel diagnostics and innovative control strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like acknowledge Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Science University and the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission for funding through a Split Site PhD Scholarship. The RVC have assigned this manuscript the reference PPS_01741.

REFERENCES

Alynne S. B., Otilio M.P., B., Laís V., D., Mayara P. de, S., Matheus L., C., Luiz Claudio, F., William T., C., Ana Beatriz M., F., Claudia M.A., U., Maria Regina R., A., 2015. Gastrointestinal parasites of swine raised in different management systems in the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil / Parasitos gastrintestinais de suínos criados sob diferentes sistemas de manejo no Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Pesqui. Veterinária Bras. 35-941.

Austen, J.M., Friend, J.A., Yang, R., Ryan, U.M., 2014. Further characterisation of two *Eimeria* species (*Eimeria quokka* and *Eimeria setonicis*) in quokkas (*Setonix brachyurus*). Exp. Parasitol. 138, 48–54.

Barta, J.R., Martin, D.S., Liberator, P.A., Dashkevicz, M., Anderson, J.W., Feighner, S.D., Elbrecht, A., Perkins-Barrow, A., Jenkins, M.C., Danforth, H.D., Ruff, M.D., Profous-Juchelka, H., 1997. Phylogenetic relationships among eight *Eimeria* species infecting domestic fowl inferred using complete small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. J. Parasitol. 83, 262–271.

308 Blake, D.P., Clark, E.L., Macdonald, S.E., Thenmozhi, V., Kundu, K., Garg, R., Jatau, I.D.,
309 Ayoade, S., Kawahara, F., Moftah, A., Reid, A.J., Adebambo, A.O., Álvarez Zapata, R.,
310 Srinivasa Rao, A.S.R., Thangaraj, K., Banerjee, P.S., Dhinakar-Raj, G., Raman, M.,
311 Tomley, F.M., 2015. Population, genetic, and antigenic diversity of the apicomplexan
312 Eimeria tenella and their relevance to vaccine development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112,
313 E5343–E5350.
314 Chhabra, R.C., Mafukidze, R.T., 1992. Prevalence of coccidia in pigs in Zimbabwe. Vet.
315 Parasitol. 41, 1–5.
316 Clark, E.L., Macdonald, S.E., Thenmozhi, V., Kundu, K., Garg, R., Kumar, S., Ayoade, S.,
317 Fornace, K.M., Jatau, I.D., Moftah, A., Nolan, M.J., Sudhakar, N.R., Adebambo, A.O.,
318 Lawal, I.A., lvarez Zapata, R., Awuni, J.A., Chapman, H.D., Karimuribo, E., Mugasa,
319 C.M., Namangala, B., Rushton, J., Suo, X., Thangaraj, K., Srinivasa Rao, A.S.R.,
320 Tewari, A.K., Banerjee, P.S., Dhinakar Raj, G., Raman, M., Tomley, F.M., Blake, D.P.,
321 2016. Cryptic *Eimeria* genotypes are common across the southern but not northern
322 hemisphere. Int. J. Parasitol. 46, 537–544.
323 Clark, E.L., Tomley, F.M., Blake, D.P., 2017. Are Eimeria Genetically Diverse, and Does It
324 Matter? Trends Parasitol. 33, 231–241.
325 Dadas, S., Mishra, S., Jawalagatti, V., Gupta, S., Vinay, T.S., Gudewar, J., 2016. Prevalence
326 of gastrointestinal parasites in pigs (Sus scrofa) of Mumbai region. Int J Sci Envt Tech
327 5, 822–826.
328 Daugschies, A., Imarom, S., Bollwahn, W., 1999. Differentiation of porcine *Eimeria* spp. by
329 morphologic algorithms. Vet. Parasitol. 81, 201–210.
330 Daugschies, A., Imarom, S., Ganter, M., Bollwahn, W.J., 2004. Prevalence of *Eimeria* spp. in
331 sows at piglet-producing farms in Germany. Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health.
332 51,135-139.
333 Diaz-Sanchez, S., Hanning, I., Pendleton, S., D'Souza, D., 2013. Next-generation
334 sequencing: the future of molecular genetics in poultry production and food safety.
335 Poult. Sci. 92, 562–72.
336 El-Sherry, S., Ogedengbe, M.E., Hafeez, M.A., Barta, J.R., 2013. Divergent nuclear 18S
337 rDNA paralogs in a turkey coccidium, Eimeria meleagrimitis, complicate molecular
338 systematics and identification. Int. J. Parasitol. 43, 679–685.
339 Gyzy, H.N.A., Oglu, A.E.I., 2016. Intestinal parasites in domestic pigs (*Sus scrofa
340 domesticus*) in farms of Azerbaijan. J. Entomol Zool Stud. 4, 170-173.
341 Hill, J.E., Lomax, L.G., Lindsay, D.S., Lynn, B.S. 1985. Coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria*

342 *scabra* in a finishing hog. J.Am. Vet.Med. Assoc. 186, 981-983.
343 Joachim A., Schwarz, L. 2015: Coccidia of Swine: Eimeria Species, Cystoisospora (syn.
344 Isospora) suis. In: Mehlhorn H (Ed.): Encyclopedia of Parasitology. Springer, Berlin,
345 Heidelberg.
346 Kawahara, F., Zhang, G., Mingala, C.N., Tamura, Y., Koiwa, M., Onuma, M., Nunoya, T.,
347 2010. Genetic analysis and development of species-specific PCR assays based on ITS-1
348 region of rRNA in bovine *Eimeria* parasites. Vet. Parasitol. 174, 49–57.
349 Kokusawa, T., Ichikagua-Seki, M., Itagaki, T., 2013. Determination of Phylogenetic
350 Relationships among *Eimeria* species, which Parasitize Cattle, on the Basis of Nuclear
351 18S rDNA Sequence. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 75, 1427–1431.
352 Koudela, B., Vítovec, J., 1998. Biology and pathogenicity of *Eimeria neodebliecki*
353 Vetterling, 1965 in experimentally infected pigs. Parasitol. Int. 47, 249-256.
354 Kumar, S., Garg, R., Moftah, A., Clark, E.L., Macdonald, S.E., Chaudhry, A.S., Sparagano,
355 O., Banerjee, P.S., Kundu, K., Tomley, F.M., Blake, D.P., 2014. An optimised protocol
356 for molecular identification of *Eimeria* from chickens. Vet. Parasitol. 199, 24–31.
357 Kvicerová, J., Pakandl, M., Hypsa, V., 2008. Phylogenetic relationships among *Eimeria* spp.
358 (Apicomplexa, Eimeriidae) infecting rabbits: evolutionary significance of biological and
359 morphological features. Parasitol. 135, 443–452.
360 Lai, M., Zhou, R.Q., Huang, H.C., Hu, S.J., 2011. Prevalence and risk factors associated with
361 intestinal parasites in pigs in Chongqing, China. Res. Vet. Sci. 91, 121–124.
362 Löwenstein M., Kutzer, E., 1989. The observation of coccidia in swine. Orig title: Studie zur
363 Kenntnis der Schweinekokzidien. Angew Parasitol. 30, 117-26.
364 Lim, L.S., Tay, Y.L., Alias, H., Wan, K.L., Dear, P.H., 2012. Insights into the genome
365 structure and copy-number variation of *Eimeria tenella*. BMC Genomics. 13, 389.
366 Miska, K.B., Schwarz, R.S., Jenkins, M.C., Rathinam, T., Chapman, H.D., 2010. Molecular
367 characterization and phylogenetic analysis of *Eimeria* from turkeys and gamebirds:
368 implications for evolutionary relationships in Galliform birds. J. Parasitol. 96, 982–986.
369 Morrison, D.A., Bornstein, S., Thebo, P., Wernery, U., Kinne, J., Mattsson, J.G., 2004. The
370 current status of the small subunit rRNA phylogeny of the coccidia (Sporozoa). Int. J.
371 Parasitol. 34, 501–514.
372 Nadler, S.A., De Leon, G.P.P., 2011. Integrating molecular and morphological approaches
373 for characterizing parasite cryptic species: Implications for Parasitology. Parasitol. 138,
374 1688–1709.
375 Nahavandi, K.H., Mahvi, A.H., Mohebali, M., Keshavarz, H., Rezaei, S., Mirjalali, H.,

376 Elikaei, S., Rezaeian, M., 2016. Molecular typing of *Eimeria ahsata* and *E. crandallis*
377 isolated from slaughterhouse wastewater. Jundishapur J. Microbiol. 9, 0–2.
378 Ogedengbe, J.D., Hanner, R.H., Barta, J.R., 2011. DNA barcoding identifies *Eimeria* species
379 and contributes to the phylogenetics of coccidian parasites (Eimeriorina , Apicomplexa ,
380 Alveolata) q. Int. J. Parasitol. 41, 843–850.
381 Oberg, M., Svensson, H., Björk, C., Flodin, J., Wikström, S.O., 2013. A comparison of
382 digital morphometry and clinical measurements of ears. J. Plast. Surg. Hand. Surg. 47,
383 317-319.
384 Pellérdy, L. P. 1974. Coccidia and Coccidiosis, 2nd edn. Paul Parey, Berlin.
385 Plitt, A., Imarom, S., Joachim, A., Daugschies, A., 1999: Interactive classification of porcine
386 *Eimeria* spp.by computer-assisted image analysis. Vet.Parasitol. 86, 105–112.
387 Radostits, O.M., Stockdale, P.H.,1980. A brief review of bovine coccidiosis in Western
388 Canada. Can. Vet. J., 21, 227-230.
389 Ramirez, L., Filho, W. L. T., Flausino, W., Berto, B. P., Almeida, C. R. R.,Wilson, C. G. L.,
390 2008. Contribution on the morphology of the species of genus *Eimeria* (Apicomplexa :
391 Eimeriidae) in swines from from municipality of Rio Claro, State of Rio de Janeiro.
392 Rev. Bras. Med. Vet. 30: 238-42.
393 Roepstorff, A., Nilsson, O., Oksanen, A., Gjerde, B., Richter, S.H., Örtenberg, E.,
394 Christensson, D., Martinsson, K.B., Bartlett, P.C., Nansen, P., Eriksen, L., Helle, O.,
395 Nikander, S., Larsen, K., 1998. Intestinal parasites in swine in the Nordic countries:
396 Prevalence and geographical distribution. Vet. Parasitol. 76, 305–319.
397 Rommel, M., 1970. Course of *Eimeria scabra* and *E. polita* infection in fully susceptible
398 suckling pigs and shoats]. orig title: Verlauf der *Eimeriascabra*- und *E. polita*-Infektion
399 in vollempfanglichen Ferkeln und Laeuferschweinen. Berl Munch TierarztlWochenschr.
400 83, 181-186.
401 Ruedas, L.A., Salazar-Bravo, J., Dragoo, J.W., Yates, T.L., 2000. The importance of being
402 earnest: what, if anything, constitutes a "specimen examined?". Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.
403 17, 129–132.
404 Ruttkowski, B., Joachim, A., Daugschies, A., 2001. PCR-based differentiation of three
405 porcine *Eimeria* species and *Isospora suis*. Vet. Parasitol. 95, 17–23.
406 Schwarz, R.S., Jenkins, M.C., Klopp, S., Miska, K.B., 2009. Genomic analysis of Eimeria
407 spp. populations in relation to performance levels of broiler chicken farms in Arkansas
408 and North Carolina. J. Parasitol. 95, 871–880.
409 Soulsby E J L. 1982. Helminths, Arthropod and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals, 7th edn,

410 Bailliere Tindal, London, pp. 136–346.
411 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: Molecular
412 evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 2725–2729.
413 Tsunda, B.M, Ijasini, S.B., Jamala, G.Y., 2013. Porcine Coccidiosis: Prevalence Study in
414 Ganye Southeasthern Admawa State, Nigeria. IOSR J. Agric. Vet. Sci. 6, 44–46.
415 Vrba, V., Blake, D.P., Poplstein, M., 2010. Quantitative real-time PCR assays for detection
416 and quantification of all seven Eimeria species that infect the chicken. Vet. Parasitol.
417 174, 183–190.
418 Vetterling, J. M., 1965. Coccidia (Protozoa: Eimeriidae) of Swine. J. Parasitol. 51, 897-912
419 Vermeulen, E.T., Lott, M.J., Eldridge, M.D.B., Power, M.L., 2016. Evaluation of next
420 generation sequencing for the analysis of Eimeria communities in wildlife. J. Microbiol.
421 Methods 124, 1–9.
422 Vítovec, J., Koudela, B., 1990. Pathogenicity and ultrastructural pathology of *Eimeria*
423 *debliecki* (Douwes, 1921) in experimentally infected pigs. Folia Parasitol. 37, 193-199.
424 Zhang, W.J.1., Xu, L.H., Liu, Y.Y., Xiong, B.Q., Zhang, Q.L., Li, F.C., Song, Q.Q., Khan,
425 M.K., Zhou, Y.Q., Hu, M., Zhao, J., 2012. Prevalence of coccidian infection in suckling
426 piglets in China. Vet Parasitol. 190, 51-55.
427 Zhao, X., Duszynski, D.W., 2001. Phylogenetic relationships among rodent *Eimeria* species
428 determined by plastid ORF470 and nuclear 18S rDNA sequences. Int. J. Parasitol. 31,
429 715–719.
430
431

432 **TABLES**

433 Table 1. The number and age of pigs sampled in this study, indicating the number found to
434 have been excreting detectable numbers of coccidial oocysts. *No significant difference was
435 found between age groups (Pearson chi square test, p=0.8).

Age	Examined (n)	Positive (%)*
Piglet(<4m)	341	44 (12.9)
Grower(4-8m)	228	16 (7.0)
Adult(>8m)	270	19 (7.0)
Total	839	79 (9.4)

436

437

438 Table 2. Mean genetic distance within (i) each individual *Eimeria* species which infects pigs
439 and (ii) all *Eimeria* species which infect pigs, chickens, sheep or turkeys. ST = sequence type.

Genus/species	Mean genetic distance
E. debliecki	0.0150
E. neodebliecki	0.0064
E. perminuta	0.0081
E. polita (ST I)	0.0044
E. polita (ST II)	0.0040
E. porci	0.0095
E. scabra	0.0144
E. spinosa	0.0000
E. suis	0.0000
Eimeria (All, pig)	0.0130
Eimeria (All, chicken)	0.0375
Eimeria (All, sheep)	0.0276
Eimeria (All, turkey)	0.0291

440

441

442 FIGURE LEGENDS

443 Figure 1. A Maximum Likelihood. B Neighbor Joining.

444



445



446

447

448