# **RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

This article has been published in a revised form in *Animal* [10.1017/S1751731117002117]. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for redistribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © The Animal Consortium 2017.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Effect of a photoperiodic green light programme during incubation on embryo development and hatch process

AUTHORS: Tong, Q; McGonnell, I M; Demmers, T G M; Roulston, N; Bergoug, H; Romanini, C E; Verhelst, R; Guinebretière, M; Eterradossi, N; Berckmans, D; Exadaktylos, V

JOURNAL TITLE: Animal

PUBLICATION DATE: April 2018

PUBLISHER: Cambridge University Press: STM Journals

DOI: 10.1017/S1751731117002117



1	Effect of a photoperiodic green light program during incubation on embryo		
2	development and hatch process		
3	Q Tong <sup>1,2,a</sup> , I. M. McGonnell <sup>2</sup> , T. G. M. Demmers <sup>2</sup> , N. Roulston <sup>3</sup> , H. Bergoug <sup>4</sup> , C. E.		
4	Romanini <sup>5</sup> , R. Verhelst <sup>3</sup> , M. Guinebretière <sup>4</sup> , N. Eterradossi <sup>4</sup> , D. Berckmans <sup>5</sup> , V.		
5	Exadaktylos <sup>5</sup>		
6	<sup>1</sup> Key Lab of Agricultural Engineering in Structure and Environment, China Agricultural		
7	University, Beijing 100083, China		
8	<sup>2</sup> The Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield, AL9 7TA		
9	Hertfordshire, United Kingdom		
10	<sup>3</sup> Research and Development, Petersime N.V., Centrumstraat 125, B-9870 Zulte		
11	(Olsene), Belgium		
12	<sup>4</sup> Anses, Ploufragan-Plouzané Laboratory, Avian and Rabbit Epidemiology and Welfare		
13	Unit,BP 53 Ploufragan, 22440 France		
14	<sup>5</sup> Division M3-BIORES: Measure, Model & Manage Bioresponses, KU Leuven,		
15	Kasteelpark Arenberg 30 - box 2456, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium		
16	Corresponding author: Qin Tong. E-mail: tongqin@cau.edu.cn		
17			
18	Short title: Green light during chicken egg incubation		
19			
20	Abstract: This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of a 12 hours light, 12 hours		
21	dark (12L:12D) photoperiod of green light during day 1 to day 18 of incubation time, on		
22	embryo growth, hormone concentration and the hatch process. In the test group,		
23	monochromatic light was provided by a total of 204 green LEDs (522 nm) mounted in a		
24	frame which was placed above the top tray of eggs to give even spread of illumination.		

No light-dark cycle was used in the control group. Four batches of eggs (n=300 per 25 26 group per batch) from fertile Ross 308 broiler breeders were used in this experiment. The beak length and crown-rump length of embryos incubated under green light were 27 significantly longer than that of control embryos at day 10 and day 12, respectively 28 (P < 0.01). Furthermore, green light exposed embryos had a longer third toe length 29 compared to control embryos at day 10, day 14 and day 17 (P=0.02). At group level 30 (n=4 batches), light stimulation had no effect on chick weight and quality at take-off, the 31 initiation of hatch and hatch window. However, the individual hatching time of the light 32 exposure focal chicks (n=33) was 3.4 h earlier (P=0.49) than the control focal chicks 33 (n=36) probably due to the change in melatonin rhythm of the light group. The results of 34 this study indicate that green light accelerates embryo development and alters hatch 35 related hormones (thyroid and corticosterone) which may result in earlier hatching. 36

37

Key words: broiler incubation, green light, embryo growth, circadian rhythm, hatch
 process

40

#### 41 Implications

Chickens are incubated commercially in darkness throughout the entire 21 days, which is different from nature incubation. Light exposure is important for embryos development and circadian rhythm establishment. Our results recommend that application of green light during the first 18 days of incubation accelerated hatch of individual chick which may be due to the acceleration of embryo development and the alteration of hormone profiles.

48

49 Introduction

Broiler chickens are often incubated commercially in complete darkness. Under natural 50 conditions, however, avian embryos would certainly receive some light stimulation 51 during development. Day-night (light-dark) rhythms, mediated by high concentrations of 52 the hormone melatonin (MT) at night and low levels by day, are a universal feature of 53 living organisms (Schultz and Kay, 2003). Avian embryos, developing outside the 54 55 maternal organism and without direct endocrine signals from the mother, may develop early internal circadian systems to cope with the new life outside the egg. The circadian 56 57 rhythm in birds is indeed established early on in embryonic development and requires 58 external cues (Nichelmann et al., 1999, Zeman et al., 1999). Chicken pinealocytes, the brain cells that release melatonin, were shown to be very sensitive to light with an 59 intensity of as little 10 lux in vitro and showed a circadian activity pattern (Faluhelyi and 60 Csernus, 2007). Melatonin production starts early on in the incubation period: in chicken 61 embryos from day 10, but no regular rhythm is detected until between day 16 and day 62 18 provided an external day/night rhythm is imposed. The amplitude of the rhythm 63 increases considerably during the last 2 days of incubation at the time of internal and 64 external pipping and the beginning of lung respiration of the embryos (Starck and 65 66 Ricklefs, 1998). However, in artificial incubation, eggs are handled under (almost) continuous darkness and a previous study showed that the hormone production of the 67 pineal does not become rhythmic between day 15 and day 18 (high levels of MT release 68 69 were experienced and showed apparently random alterations) (Csernus et al., 2007). This suggests that no circadian rhythm develops during incubation in completely dark 70 conditions, because of the lack of appropriate environmental signals stimulating the 71 72 embryo. Establishment of the embryonic circadian rhythm can have impact on the

functioning of the circadian clock of pre- and peri-natal chick. The circadian rhythm 73 74 established in the embryo determines the timing of hatching and the hatchlings daynight rhythm until at least three days after hatching (Zeman et al., 1999, Zeman and 75 Gwinner, 1993). The rhythm of melatonin levels, which is established in the embryo, can 76 also affect behavioural rhythmicity (Archer et al., 2009). Melatonin has been shown to 77 act as an anti-stress agent. Melatonin can suppress stress-induced increases in rat 78 79 plasma corticosterone concentrations, and animals subjected to stress showed altered circadian patterns in plasma melatonin with elevated corticosterone concentrations 80 81 (Mocchegiani et al., 1999, Barriga et al., 2002). Melatonin is able to modulate stress at 82 both the central and peripheral levels by exerting its inhibitory role in the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis in chicks and by suppressing corticosterone production 83 (Saito et al., 2005). 84

Melatonin rhythms during incubation can affect the behaviour, stress and hatch 85 performance of poultry. However, there has been relatively little systematic work 86 87 assessing the pattern and type of light stimulation needed to produce these effects or the mechanism underlying them. In the wild, an incubating hen generally comes off her 88 nest once a day between 11:00 and 14:00 h, occasionally as early as 9:25 and as late 89 90 as 15:00, presumably when the eggs would be least subject to heat loss and are completely exposed to daylight. The time spent off the nest seems fairly consistent and 91 averages  $38.7 \pm 1.2$  min (Duncan *et al.*, 1978). In addition, when turning the eggs, the 92 hen usually stands up, thereby exposing the eggs to more light and to lower ambient 93 temperature. The temporary exposure to light means that the full spectrum of radiation 94 may potentially reach the surface of the avian eggs. Depending on the nest 95 environment, eggs will experience light from the heating infra-red wavelengths to the 96

potentially mutagenic ultra-violet light. However, base colour pigments of eggshell are 97 likely to control the light that reaches the embryo by blocking light of harmful infrared 98 and UV wavelengths but admitting beneficial wavelengths (Maurer et al., 2011). 99 Previous studies have investigated the impact of light in the incubator environment. 100 101 Exposing eggs to green light (1340-1730 lux) from 5 to 15 days of incubation increased embryo growth and hatchability by 4.8% (Shafey and Al-mohsen, 2002). An overall 102 103 improvement in the embryo/hatchling survival rate was also observed following a nearinfrared (630-1000 nm) light-emitting diode (LED) exposure. There were also increases 104 in mean body weight, crown-rump length, liver weight and decrease in hatchling 105 106 residual yolk weight (as a function of post-hatch survival time and increased nutrient utilisation during development) (Yeager et al., 2005). Continuous green light (1340-1730 107 lux) during the first 18 days of incubation accelerated hatching times by about 24 hours 108 in meat-type breeder eggs (Hybro). Far-red (670nm) LED-exposure once per day from 109 0-20 days of incubation resulted in chickens pipping (breaking the shell) 2.92 hours 110 earlier and there was a 2.91 hours shorter duration between pip and hatch. 111 Incandescent light (12L:12D) accelerates hatching times (P<0.01) without affecting 112 hatchability, weight at hatching, liver or heart growth in turkey eggs (Fairchild and 113 114 Christensen, 2000, Shafey and Al-mohsen, 2002, Yeager et al., 2005). Therefore, light intensity can play an important role in the speed of development of the avian embryo 115 and hatchability, at least in the domestic broiler chicken. Furthermore, light exposure 116 117 and the source of light could prove to be important factors in achieving synchrony or significantly reducing the spread of hatch under artificial rearing conditions. Bird and 118 human perception of colour differs. Human beings have 3 type of cones and birds have 119 four which are responsible for seeing frequency (colours). Therefore, chicks can see 120

much broader spectrum than human (Osorio et al., 1999). A broader range of studies is 121 122 available for the effect of wavelength, but the average wavelength of the most sensitive light for chicken embryos is about 550-560nm (Rogers et al., 1998) and green light 123 seems to be the most effective in stimulating embryonic growth and development, as 124 well as post-hatch growth in chickens (Halevy et al., 2006, Rozenboim et al., 2013). 125 It would appear that light can have significant impact on the developing chick embryo. 126 127 however it is far from clear what the optimal light intensity for embryonic development in the wild is, thus it is difficult to predict which lighting regimes have the most benefit in the 128 incubator setting. Based on the natural incubation behaviour of mother hens, tightly 129 130 controlled light intensities and wavelengths and natural patterns of illumination were applied in this study. The purpose was to examine the effect of green light during 131 incubation upon the hatch process and to determine whether a melatonin rhythm would 132 be established by such light stimulation, and the subsequent impact on thyroid and 133 corticosterone hormone production which potentially regulates the hatching process. 134

135

### 136 Material and methods

#### 137 Eggs and incubation

Animal experiments were performed with the ethics approval from the Royal Veterinary College Animal Ethics Committee. Four incubation trials were conducted. In each trial two incubators swapped between the control and light groups were used and each incubator was able to set 300 eggs in 2 trays (Petersime NV, Zulte, Belgium). In total, four batches of fertile Ross 308 eggs (600 each batch) were obtained from a local supplier (Henry Stewart & Co. Ltd, Lincolnshire, UK). The eggs were incubated using a standard incubation profile and the incubation conditions were continuously monitored and controlled by the incubator controller (BIO-IRIS, Petersime<sup>™</sup>). All incubation conditions
(machine temperature, humidity, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and ventilation rate) were identical in
the two incubators.

148

149 *Light protocol* 

A light-dark cycle (12L:12D) was provided using green light (460-580 nm) in the first 18 150 days of incubation and no light-dark cycle in the last three days. The light cycle consisted 151 of 12 hours darkness followed by 12 hours light period, which was controlled using a 152 mechanical timer. The light period consisted of 4 hours of low intensity (100-130 lux) green 153 154 light, followed by one hour continuous illumination at a high intensity at 1200-1400 lux and the remaining 7 hours at the low intensity (100-130 lux) (Shafey and Al-mohsen, 2002, 155 Shafey et al., 2005). In the light group, to produce an even spread of illumination on the 156 surface of each egg and no extra heat produced by the light source, the monochromatic 157 light was provided by a total of 204 green low power LEDs (0.5W Power PLCC4 SMT, 158 AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES) mounted in a frame which was placed above the eggs. The 159 light intensity at the egg surface was measured using a light meter (Testo luminous 160 intensity measuring instrument 545, GmbH & CO. Germany). The light intensity could be 161 162 guaranteed for the top tray, so only the eggs from top tray were sampled. However, the bottom tray was also set to ensure the other incubation parameters, such as heat 163 production and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration were not negatively affected. No light-dark cycle was 164 165 provided in the control incubator.

166

167 Monitoring of hatch window and hatching time

The onset of hatch (IP) and hatch window (HW), of each incubation, was determined by 168 the incubator controller (Petersime BIO-IRIS<sup>TM</sup>) (Tong *et al.*, 2015). In total, 10 focal eggs 169 in each incubator from the first two experiments and 20 focal eggs of each incubator from 170 the last two experiments were randomly selected, weighted and individually labelled to 171 172 determine the individual hatching time. During transfer to the hatchings baskets, each focal egg was placed in a specially constructed area within the top basket (using 8 x 8 x 173 8 cm<sup>3</sup> metallic mesh grid). The hatching time of each focal egg was determined using 174 eggshell temperature (EST) as previously described by Romanini et al. (2013). The 175 identification of hatching time is based on a registered EST drop (2-5 °C) when the chick 176 177 emerges from the egg.

178

## 179 Hatch performance, embryo and blood parameters assessment

All eggs were candled on 18 days and 12 hours of incubation time and those with evidence 180 of a living embryo were transferred from the turning trays to hatching baskets within half 181 an hour. Both incubators were stopped after 512 hours (21 days and 8 hours) of incubation. 182 Hatchability (the percentage of fertile eggs that hatch), early death (ED) from day 0 to day 183 7, middle death (MD) from day 8 to day 15, late death (LD) from day 16 to day 21, and 184 mortality were determined at the end of incubation based on breakout results. All the 185 hatched chicks from the top basket of each group were scored for quality using a 186 standardised method (Tona et al., 2003) and chicks with full score (100%) were 187 188 considered as first class chicks. Samples of three eggs or chicks were selected randomly from the top tray in each incubator and were collected at eight incubation stages: day 10, 189 day 12, day 14, day 16, day 17, day 18, day 19 (internal pipping, IP), day 20 (external 190 191 pipping, EP) and take-off (focal chick). Embryos or chicks were euthanized and blood was

collected from allantoic vein or the left ventricle, respectively. The chicks and organs (heart,
liver and stomach) were dissected and weighed. The length of the beak and third toe as
well as the crown-rump length (CRL) were measured.

The blood of three embryos or chicks was sampled at every three hours over an 18 hours 195 period (from 18:00 of day 17 to 12:00 of day 18), at internal pipping on day 19, external 196 pipping on day 20 and take-off on day 21. Different eggs or chicks were sacrificed at each 197 time point of sampling. The blood sample was collected into a heparin tube and 198 centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The plasma was stored at -20°C until hormones 199 measurement. The blood samples from embryos or chicks collected at the same time 200 201 point were pooled to measure MT and other hatching related hormones. Plasma hormones levels were measured using a commercial chicken melatonin (MT) ELISA kit. 202 chicken Tri-iodothyronine (T3) ELISA kit, chicken thyroxine (T4) ELISA Kit (CUSABUO 203 BIOTECH CO., Ltd, Wuhan, China) and Corticosterone HS EIA kit (IDS Ltd, Boldon, 204 England). 205

206

207 Statistical analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS (PASW statistics 20) and was presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). A linear mixed model was used to analyse the effect of light treatments (control and light) on hatchability, mortality (ED, MD and LD), HW, chick quality and chick weight:

212  $Y=\mu$  + light treatment +incubator +batch +  $\epsilon$ 

A second linear mixed model was used to analyse the effect of light treatments and incubation stage on embryonic parameters, blood values and plasma hormones concentrations. The model was:

216 Y= $\mu$  + light treatment + incubation stage + interaction (treatment ×incubation stage) 217 +incubator +batch + ε

The  $\mu$  is the overall mean and  $\varepsilon$  is the residual error term. Light treatment, incubation stage, interaction, incubator were fixed effects; batch was a random effect. The interaction was removed from the original model when it was not significant. When the effect was statistically different (P≤0.05), the means were further compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) test or nonparametric statistics (only for chick quality).

223

#### 224 **Results**

### 225 Hatch performance and embryo development

There was no effect of incubator on hatchability and different stages of mortality taking 226 into account the batch effect. However, the hatchability of the control group was 227 significantly higher than the light group because of the combination of mortality from three 228 stages (Figure 1; P=0.03) (78.2 versus 73.7%). No effect of light treatment was found on 229 chick quality and chick weight for four batches. The egg weight at setting for the control 230 and light groups were 59.96±1.7g and 59.58±1.7g, respectively. The light treatment had 231 an effect on the heart weight (P=0.001), beak length (P=0.006), third toe length (P=0.024) 232 and the crown-rump length (P=0.005) at specific stages but not throughout the incubation 233 period. The differences in the heart weight, beak length, third toe length and the crown-234 rump length between the control and light groups at each incubation stage are shown in 235 236 Figure 2.

237

238 Hatch window and hatching time

There was no effect of the light treatment and incubator on the initiation and the length of 239 240 the hatch window of the entire batch (Table 1). Both groups started pipping around 467 hours of incubation time. No difference in the hatching time of the first focal chicks in the 241 control and light groups was observed, which was the same as the IP detected at the 242 group level. However, the majority of focal chicks in the light group hatched earlier than 243 the control focal chicks (P=0.049). The average hatching time of the focal chicks in the 244 245 control and light groups were 487.4±1.2 hours and 484.0±1.1 hours respectively. The focal chicks of the light group hatched 3.4 hours earlier than the focal chicks of the control group. 246

247

### 248 Melatonin and hatch related hormones

Overall the mixed effects models, taking into account batch effect, showed that there were 249 no effects of light treatment and incubator on MT, T3, T4 and the T3/T4 ratio. However, 250 the individual sampling time point had a significant impact on the plasma hormone levels 251 (P<0.01). The plasma MT in the control and light groups from day 17 to day 21 is shown 252 in Figure 3. There was no statistically significant difference in MT between groups at any 253 time point in the 18 hours period between days 17-18, however there were differences in 254 the pattern. In the control (no light group) MT levels increase rapidly in the first 3 hours of 255 the dark period (from 85.32 to 100.68 pg/ml) before levelling off at 6 hours and 256 experiencing a more gradual increase up to a peak at 12 hours (109.07pg/ml), 257 experiencing an overall 1.28-fold change. In contrast, the light group experienced a much 258 259 more gradual increase in the first 6 hours (from 82.42 to 88.82 pg/ml), followed by a rapid increase at 9 hours, which was the peak plasma level (109.23 pg/ml). The total increase 260 was similar at 1.33 times of the base level. The control group showed a rapid decrease in 261 plasma MT levels between 12-15 hours (from 109.07 to 89.14 pg/ml) before returning to 262

a similar level at 0 hour (which was 85.32 pg/ml). In contrast the light exposed embryos 263 264 experienced a gradual drop in MT levels from 9 hours, i.e. from the beginning of the dark period and continuing to drop to 94.55 pg/ml at 18 hours of the light period. This level had 265 not returned to the level seen at 0 hour (which was 82.42 pg/ml) suggesting there would 266 be further gradual decrease in plasma MT during the remainder of the light period. Data 267 obtained during days 19-21 was at a period when both groups of eggs were in dark 268 conditions. At the beginning of IP the levels of plasma MT had increased in both groups, 269 with the control being higher than the light group (149.18 versus 130.34 pg/ml). These 270 levels increased rapidly during the next 24 hours, particularly in the control group (P=0.03), 271 272 however the data did not show any significant differences between groups. At take-off (day 21), plasma MT levels decreased significantly and returned to the levels seen at day 273 274 19 in both groups.

Figure 4 shows the 18 hours pattern of the plasma CORT levels. In the control group, 275 CORT varied between 6 and 7.5 ng/ml throughout the dark and light periods; in the light 276 group CORT decreased slightly in the first 3 hours of the dark period (from 7.61 to 6.10 277 ng/ml), followed by a steady increase until peaking at 18 hours (8.94 ng/ml). Plasma 278 CORT levels of the control chicks were numerically higher than those of the light 279 280 stimulated chicks in the 12 hours dark period. This was reversed in the 12 hours light period, where the light group had significantly higher CORT than the control group in the 281 first 3 hours of illumination (P=0.01). The plasma CORT levels increased in both groups 282 283 from day 18 to EP. The higher trend was kept in the light group at IP and EP, but not statistically different between groups and then returned to the same level (11ng/ml) as at 284 take-off. 285

Plasma T3 followed a similar trend to CORT (Figure 5). There was no significant difference 286 287 between the control and light groups in the dark period. In both groups, T3 levels increased rapidly in the first 6 hours of the dark period, reaching the same levels (0.97 288 ng/ml) before dropping slightly in the next 3 hours of the dark period. The light group 289 increased further in the last 3 hours of the dark period, peaking at 12 hours (1.02 ng/ml), 290 followed by being stable in the light period. The average T3 level in the light embryos was 291 significantly higher than the control embryos (P=0.02) during this light period, indicating 292 that light stimulation had an effect on T3 levels. The T3 levels increased significantly (more 293 than doubled) from day 18 to IP in both groups, followed by another dramatic increase at 294 295 EP which was the peak level (2.90 and 3.76 ng/ml in the control and light groups, respectively). However, the levels at take-off dropped significantly to the similar levels at 296 days 17-18 in both groups. There were no differences in T3 concentrations between the 297 control and light groups at IP and Take-off, but the light stimulated chicks had numerically 298 higher T3 concentration than the control chicks at EP. The plasma T4 concentration is 299 presented in Figure 6 and it showed a similar trend to MT between the control and light 300 groups. The plasma T4 levels of the control embryos had an increase in the first 3 hours 301 of the dark period (from 55.03 to 62.24 ng/ml) before being stable over the next 6 hours 302 303 of the dark period and experiencing a slight increase up to a peak at 12 hours (65.24 ng/ml). In contrast, the light group had a slight increase in the first 6 hours, followed by 304 rapid increase at 9 hours, which was the peak plasma level (70.03 ng/ml), and 305 306 experiencing a large drop at 12 hours. The control group showed a rapid decrease in plasma T4 levels between 12-15 hours (from 65.24 to 52.44 ng/ml) and had an increase 307 at 18 hours before returning to the same level at 0 hour (58.27 ng/ml). However, the light 308 exposed embryos experienced a small increase in T4 levels from the beginning of the light 309

period, followed by a minor drop into the same levels of the control group at 18 hours. 310 311 Plasma T4 increased significantly from days 17-18 to IP in both groups with the control being higher than the light group (92.79 versus 80.00 ng/ml). These levels kept increasing 312 until peaking at EP, particularly in the control group (P<0.01). In contrast to T3, the control 313 314 EP chicks tended to have higher T4 than that of the light stimulated chicks. However, the data did not show any significant differences between groups. At take-off (day 21), plasma 315 T4 levels decreased significantly in the control group (P<0.05) before returning to the 316 same levels of the light group (86 ng/ml). The plasma T3/T4 ratio of the control and light 317 groups for the observed part of the incubation period is shown in Figure 7. The T3/T4 ratio 318 319 during the 18 hours of days 17-18 was stable at 0.02 in both groups. However, this ratio started increasing before the onset of pipping and reached the maximum at EP in light 320 group (0.04), whereas it remained at the same elevated level in the control group (0.03). 321 These ratios at take-off in both groups returned to the same figure observed at days 17-322 18. 323

324

### 325 Discussion

Green light stimulation during incubation has been reported to accelerate chick embryo 326 327 development (Zhang et al., 2014). The results shown in this study also indicated that broilers incubated under a 12L:12D cycle using green light for the first 18 days not only 328 hatch earlier, but grow faster as significant increases in beak length, third-toe length and 329 330 CRL were found at some incubation stages. However, overall body weight did not increase. Poultry are usually incubated commercially in complete darkness due to concerns about 331 potential adverse effects of light stimulation on performance and economics, for instance, 332 decreased hatchability due to secondary heating (Archer et al., 2009). Previous published 333

studies have reported different effects of light stimulation during incubation on hatchability 334 335 due to the spectral characteristics of light and the photoperiod. Archer and Mench (2014) demonstrated that there was no effect on hatchability when different photoperiods were 336 applied using full-spectrum fluorescent light with the intensity of 550 lux throughout 337 incubation. In addition, Zhang et al., (2014) reported no effect of continuous green light of 338 15 lux during incubation on hatchability and hatching. However, in the current study a 339 significant decrease in the hatchability was found in the green light incubation. This may 340 be due to the absence of light-dark cycle from day 19 or the reduced heart weight 341 observed in light exposure embryos. This has not been studied or reported before. 342 343 Another study showed that the heart rate of chicken embryos responded to the injected melatonin (Höchel and Nichelmann, 2001). Therefore, the changed pattern of melatonin 344 in the light incubation may also affect the cardiac function of chicken embryo. It has been 345 reported that the rhythm of melatonin synthesis in embryos can be synchronised by 346 ambient light-dark (LD) cycles and requires a photoperiod longer than 8 hours for its 347 proper functioning (Zeman et al., 1999). Theoretically, the production of melatonin by the 348 pineal gland is elevated by darkness and suppressed by the presence of light, respectively, 349 which establishes the circadian rhythms. In the current study, although there were no 350 351 significant differences, there were still different trends in the patterns of plasma MT levels in the 17-18 days old chicken embryos which were incubated under darkness compared 352 to 12L:12D. The plasma MT levels of 12 hours light exposed embryos experienced an 353 354 increase until 9 hours of darkness when the increase in MT tailed off and subsequently the MT dropped during the light period. This confirms the theory that the light exposure 355 suppressed the MT release during the light period. The significant rhythmic patterns of 356 plasma MT have also been reported on day 19 or day 20 (Zeman et al., 1999, Archer and 357

Mench, 2014) under 12D:12L incubation. Archer and Mench (2014) have demonstrated that the plasma MT levels during the light period at day 19 broilers incubated under 12L:12D were almost identical to dark incubated embryos, but it elevated during the dark period while the control birds still maintained at the same level. Their results confirm that the light period is required to trigger the increase during the dark period. Without this darklight rhythm there is only a somewhat steady MT concentration in the continuous dark at the same level as in the light condition.

The rhythm of melatonin release may also influence the rhythms of other hormones 365 (Starck and Ricklefs, 1998). However, little is known of the ontogeny of circadian patterns 366 of secretion of other hormones in birds. A daily rhythm in plasma CORT has only been 367 reported in adult birds and the peak occurs at the transition of dark to light (Sato and 368 George, 1973). The present study showed that plasma CORT concentrations of 17-18 369 days old embryos decreased during the dark period and increased during light period. 370 This indicated that light stimulated the secretion of CORT in the embryo which is in 371 agreement with previous reports that CORT response to light is opposite that of melatonin 372 in broiler and human (Cutolo et al., 2006, de Jong et al., 2001). The underlying mechanism 373 is the ability of MT is able to inhibit the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and thus 374 375 suppresses the concentrations of CORT and ultimately thus reduces stress, potentially a significant factor for the rest/sleep phase in the dark period. 376

It has been shown that administration of CORT to chicken embryos was followed by an increase of the plasma T3 levels and T3/T4 ratio (Jenkins and Porter, 2004). In this study, both plasma T3 and CORT showed the same increasing trend in light stimulated embryos conforming that CORT may affect T3 or vice versa through light stimulation. This suggested that thyroid hormone concentrations (T3 & T4) were affected by the circadian

rhythms of MT via photoperiod. The different opposed rhythmic patterns of T3 and T4, with plasma T3 concentrations decreasing during the night and increasing during the day and plasma T4 concentrations peaking during the night and lower at day, were also found in chicks during the first week post hatch, and were deemed to be controlled by the feeding pattern (Newcomer, 1974, Klandorf *et al.*, 1978). The same patterns of plasma T3 and T4 levels in chicken embryos were also found in our study when they were exposed to a photoperiod of 12D:12L.

Light stimulation causing the alteration of hormones may in turn affect hatching 389 behaviours. Our results showed that the concentrations of MT, T3, T4 and CORT 390 391 increased significantly from the onset of pipping. It has been reported that the high plasma T3 levels occur when the pulmonary respiration initiates (Decuypere and Bruggeman, 392 2007). Here, the T3 levels of embryos increased significantly from IP to EP in both control 393 and light groups. Moreover, the control embryos had higher plasma MT and T4 levels, 394 whereas the light exposed embryos had higher plasma CORT, T3 levels and T3/T4 ratio 395 at EP. These different patters suggested that the first 18 days light stimulation seems 396 likely to have a consequent impact on hormones levels during hatch. However, all returned 397 to the same levels at take-off. Light exposed chicks hatched about 3.4 h earlier in this 398 study probably due to the elevated levels of CORT, T3 and T3/T4 ratio which are 399 considered important for stimulating a variety of developmental and metabolic process 400 necessary for hatching (Carsia et al., 1987). However, it is still unclear that whether the 401 402 early hatch is directly related to the change in hormones or the strengthened rhythms.

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of a 12 hours light, 12 hours dark photoperiod of green light during incubation on embryo growth, hatch performance and the hatch process. At the group level, light stimulation had no effect on chick weight and

quality at take-off, the initiation of hatch and hatch window, but with a reduced hatchability.
However, the individual hatching time of the light stimulated focal chicks was 3.4 hours
earlier than the control focal chicks. The results of this study indicated that green light
accelerated embryo development and altered plasma MT, CORT, T3 and T4
concentrations. Further work is required to understand if and how such altered hormone
profiles impact upon hatching time.

412

# 413 Acknowledgments

This research is a part of the BioBusiness Project (FP7-PEOPLE-ITN-2008) which is
supported by the EU Commission and Marie Curie Initial Training Network. We are
grateful to Dr. Yumei Chang for statistical advice and the support of Biological Services
Unit at the Royal Veterinary College.

#### 418 **References**

Archer GS and Mench JA 2014. The effects of the duration and onset of light stimulation during
 incubation on the behavior, plasma melatonin levels, and productivity of broiler chickens.

421 Journal of Animal Science 92, 1753-1758.

422 Archer GS, Shivaprasad HL and Mench JA 2009. Effect of providing light during incubation on

423 the health, productivity, and behavior of broiler chickens. Poultry Science 88, 29-37.

424 Barriga C, Marchena JM, Lea RW, Harvey S and Rodriuez AB 2002. Effect of stress and

dexamethasone treatment on circadian rhythms of melatonin and corticosterone in ring
dove (Streptopelia risoria). Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry 232, 27-31.

- Carsia RV, Morin ME, Rosen HD and Weber H 1987. Ontogenic corticosteroidogenesis of the
   domestic fowl: response of isolated adrenocortical cells. Proceedings of the Society for
   Experimental Biology and Medicine, New York, 184, 436-445.
- Csernus VJ, Nagy AD and Faluhelyi N 2007. Development of the rhythmic melatonin secretion in
  the embryonic chicken pineal gland. General and Comparative Endocrinology 152, 148153.
- 433 Cutolo M, Sulli A., Pizzorni C, Secchi ME, Soldano S, Seriolo B, Straub RH, Otsa K and
- 434 Maestroni GJ 2006. Circadian rhythms Glucocorticoids and arthritis. Basic and Clinical

435 Aspects of Neuroendocrine Immunology in Rheumatic Diseases 1069, 289-299.

- de Jong IC, Van Voorst AS, Erkens JHF, Ehlhardt D A and Blokhuis HJ 2001. Determination of
- 437 the circadian rhythm in plasma corticosterone and catecholamine concentrations in
- 438 growing broiler breeders using intravenous cannulation. Physiology & Behavior 74, 299-

439 304.

440 Decuypere E and Bruggeman V 2007. The endocrine interface of environmental and egg factors
441 affecting chick quality. Poultry Science 86, 1037-1042.

442	Duncan IIH, Savory CJ and Wood-Gush DGM 1978. Observations on the reproductive
443	behaviour of domestic fowl in the wild. Applied Animal Ethology 4, 29-42.
444	Fairchild BD and Christensen VL 2000. Photostimulation of turkey eggs accelerates hatching
445	times without affecting hatchability, liver or heart growth, or glycogen content. Poultry
446	Science 79, 1627-1631.
447	Faluhelyi N and Csernus V 2007. The effects of environmental illumination on the in vitro
448	melatonin secretion from the embryonic and adult chicken pineal gland. General and
449	Comparative Endocrinology 152, 154-158.
450	Halevy O, Piestun Y, Rozenboim I and Yablonka-Reuveni Z 2006. In ovo exposure to
451	monochromatic green light promotes skeletal muscle cell proliferation and affects
452	myofiber growth in posthatch chicks. American Journal of Physiology Regulatory,
453	Integrative Comparative Physiology 290, 1062-1070.
454	Höchel J and Nichelmann M 2001. Ontogeny of heart rate responses to exogenous melatonin in
455	Muscovy duck and chicken embryos. Life Science 69, 2295-2309.
456	Jenkins SA and Porter TE 2004. Ontogeny of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in
457	the chicken embryo: a review. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 26, 267-275.
458	Klandorf H, Sharp PJ and Duncan IJH 1978. Variations in Levels of Plasma Thyroxine and
459	Triiodothyronine in Juvenile Female Chickens during 24-Hr and 16-Hr Lighting Cycles.
460	General and Comparative Endocrinology 36, 238-243.
461	Maurer G, Portugal SJ and Cassey P 2011. Review: an embryo's eye view of avian eggshell
462	pigmentation. Journal of Avian Biology 42, 494-504.
463	Mocchegiani E, Perissin L, Santarelli L, Tibaldi A, Zorzet S, Rapozzi V, Giacconi R, Bulian D and
464	Giraldi T 1999. Melatonin administration in tumor-bearing mice (intact and
465	pinealectomized) in relation to stress, zinc, thymulin and IL-2. International
466	Immunopharmacology 21, 27-46.

467 Newcomer WS 1974. Diurnal Rhythms of Thyroid-Function in Chicks. General and Comparative
 468 Endocrinology 24, 65-73.

469 Nichelmann M, Hochel J and Tzschentke B 1999. Biological rhythms in birds--development,

insights and perspectives. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 124, 429-437.

471 Osorio D, Vorobyev M and Jones CD 1999. Colour vision of domestic chicks. The Journal of
 472 Experimental Biology 202, 2951-2959.

Rogers LJ, Andrew RJ and Burne TH 1998. Light exposure of the embryo and development of
behavioural lateralisation in chicks, I: olfactory responses. Behavioural Brain Research
97, 195-200.

476 Romanini CE, Exadaktylos V, Tong Q, McGonnel I, Demmers TG, Bergoug H, Eterradossi N,

- 477 Roulston N, Garain P, Bahr C and Berckmans D 2013. Monitoring the hatch time of
  478 individual chicken embryos. Poultry Science 92, 303-309.
- 479 Rozenboim I, El Halawani ME, Kashash Y, Piestun Y and Halevy O 2013. The effect of

480 monochromatic photostimulation on growth and development of broiler birds. General
481 and Comparative Endocrinology 190, 214-219.

482 Saito S, Tachibana T, Choi YH, Denbow DM and Furuse M 2005. ICV melatonin reduces acute

483 stress responses in neonatal chicks. Behavioural Brain Research 165, 197-203.

484 Sato T and George JC 1973. Diurnal Rhythm of Corticotropin-Releasing Factor Activity in

485 Pigeon Hypothalamus. Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 51, 743-747.

486 Schultz TF and Kay SA 2003. Circadian clocks in daily and seasonal control of development.

487 Science 301, 326-328.

488 Shafey TM, Al-Batshan HA, Ghannam MM and Al-Ayed MS 2005. Effect of intensity of eggshell

489 pigment and illuminated incubation on hatchability of brown eggs. British Poultry Science490 46, 190-198.

- 491 Shafey TM and Al-Mohsen TH 2002. Embryonic growth, hatching time and hatchability
- 492 performance of meat breeder eggs incubated under continuous green light. Asian-

493 Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 15, 1702-1707.

- 494 Starck JM and Ricklefs RE 1998. Avian growth and development : evolution within the altricial 495 precocial spectrum. Oxford University Press, London.
- Tona K, Bamelis F, De Ketelaere B, Bruggeman V, Moraes VM, Buyse J, Onagbesan O and
   Decuypere E 2003. Effects of egg storage time on spread of hatch, chick quality, and
   chick juvenile growth. Poultry Science 82, 736-741.
- 499 Tong Q, Mcgonnell IM, Romanini CE, Bergoug H, Roulston N, Exadaktylos V, Berckmans D,
- 500 Bahr C, Guinebretière M, Eterradossi N, Garain P and Demmers T 2015. Effect of
- species-specific sound stimulation on the development and hatching of broiler chicks.
  British Poultry Science 56, 143-148.
- 503 Yeager R L, Franzosa JA, Millsap DS, Angell-Yeager JL, Heise SS, Wakhungu P, Lim JW,

504 Whelan HT, Eells JT and Henshel DS 2005. Effects of 670-nm phototherapy on

development. Photomedicine and Laser Surgery 23, 268-272.

Zeman M and Gwinner E 1993. Ontogeny of the Rhythmic Melatonin Production in a Precocial

and an Altricial Bird, the Japanese-Quail and the European Starling. Journal of

508 Comparative Physiology a-Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology 172, 333-338.

Zeman M, Gwinner E, Herichova I, Lamosova D and Kost'al L 1999. Perinatal development of

510 circadian melatonin production in domestic chicks. Journal of Pineal Research 26, 28-34.

511 Zhang L, Zhang HJ, Wang J, Wu SG, Qiao X, Yue HY, Yao JH and Qi GH 2014. Stimulation

- 512 with monochromatic green light during incubation alters satellite cell mitotic activity and
- 513 gene expression in relation to embryonic and posthatch muscle growth of broiler

514 chickens. Animal 8, 86-93.

515

516 **Table 1** Mean (± SEM) time of onset of internal pipping (IP) and length of hatch window (HW) of

Group	IP <sup>a</sup>	HW (hour)
Control	467.3±0.7	23.0±1.9
Light	467.3±0.7	22.3±1.9
P-value	1.0	0.78

517 the control group and light group from four batches.

<sup>a</sup> hours of incubation time

519 **Figure captions** 

520

Figure 1 Mean of hatchability and mortality in the control group and the light group over four batches (n=1200 eggs at setting of each group, fertility varied from 82-96%). ED, early death from day 0 to day 7; MD, middle death from day 8 to day 15; LD, late death from day 16 to day 21.
\*Means significantly different between the control and light groups at P<0.05.</p>

525

**Figure 2** The heart weight, beak length, third toe length and crown-rump length of the control and light exposed embryos or chicks from day 10 to day 21 of incubation time. Dashed lines indicate the control group and solid lines indicate the light group. Asterisk indicates significant difference between groups at a given incubation stage (\*P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01). Data are presented as mean ±SEM (12 samples of each group at each incubation stage).

531

Figure 3 Plasma melatonin concentrations over an 18 hours period from day 17 to day 18, 532 533 internal pipping (IP) at day 19, external pipping (EP) at day 20 and take-off at day 21 of broilers incubated under the control and light groups. Solid line indicates the light group with a 534 photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness for the first 18 days of incubation and no light-535 536 dark cycle for the last 3 days of incubation; the horizontal bars indicate the dark (closed) and light (open) periods of the photoperiod in the light group. Dashed line indicates the control group 537 538 where eggs were incubated under darkness throughout the incubation. Data are presented as 539 mean ±SEM of four samples and each was pooled blood from three embryos or chicks at each time point. <sup>ab/xy</sup> Means significant difference among the given time points within a group 540 (P<0.05). 541

542

543 Figure 4 Plasma corticosterone concentrations over an 18 hours period from day 17 to day 18, 544 internal pipping (IP) at day 19, external pipping (EP) at day 20 and take-off at day 21 of broilers 545 incubated under the control and light groups. Solid line indicates the light group with a 546 photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness for the first 18 days of incubation and no lightdark cycle for the last 3 days of incubation; the horizontal bars indicate the dark (closed) and 547 548 light (open) periods of the photoperiod in the light group. Dashed line indicates the control group 549 where eggs were incubated under darkness throughout the incubation. Data are presented as 550 mean ±SEM of four samples and each was pooled blood from three embryos or chicks at each 551 time point. Asterisk indicates significant difference between groups at a given time point (\*\* P<0.01). 552

553

Figure 5 Plasma Triiodothyronine (T3) concentrations over an 18 hours period from day 17 to 554 day 18, internal pipping (IP) at day 19, external pipping (EP) at day 20 and take-off at day 21 of 555 556 broilers incubated under the control and light groups. Solid line indicates the light group with a 557 photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness for the first 18 days of incubation and no lightdark cycle for the last 3 days of incubation; the horizontal bars indicate the dark (closed) and 558 light (open) periods of the photoperiod in the light group. Dashed line indicates the control group 559 560 where eggs were incubated under darkness throughout the incubation. Data are presented as 561 mean ±SEM of four samples and each was pooled blood from three embryos or chicks at each time point. abc/xyz Means significant difference among the given time points within a group 562 (P<0.05). 563

564

Figure 6 Plasma Thyroxine (T4) concentrations over an 18 hours period from day 17 to day 18,
internal pipping (IP) at day 19, external pipping (EP) at day 20 and take-off at day 21 of broilers
incubated under the control and light groups. Solid line indicates the light group with a
photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness for the first 18 days of incubation and no light-

dark cycle for the last 3 days of incubation; the horizontal bars indicate the dark (closed) and light (open) periods of the photoperiod in the light group. Dashed line indicates the control group where eggs were incubated under darkness throughout the incubation. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$ SEM of four samples and each was pooled blood from three embryos or chicks at each time point. <sup>ab</sup> Means significant difference among the given time points within a group (P<0.05).

574

575 Figure 7 Plasma T3/T4 ratio over an 18 hours period from day 17 to day 18, internal pipping (IP) at day 19, external pipping (EP) at day 20 and take-off at day 21 of broilers incubated under the 576 577 control and light groups. Solid line indicates the light group with a photoperiod of 12 h of light 578 and 12 h of darkness for the first 18 days of incubation and no light-dark cycle for the last 3 days 579 of incubation; the horizontal bars indicate the dark (closed) and light (open) periods of the 580 photoperiod in the light group. Dashed line indicates the control group where eggs were 581 incubated under darkness throughout the incubation. Data are presented as mean ±SEM of four 582 samples and each was pooled blood from three embryos or chicks at each time point. ab/xy 583 Means significant difference among the given time points within a group (P<0.05).

585 Figure 1



586 Figure 2











