RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the author's accepted manuscript of the following article. The final publication is available in *Heredity*: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-017-0024-3</u>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Genome-wide genotyping uncovers genetic profiles and history of the Russian cattle breeds

AUTHORS: Yurchenko, A; Yudin, N; Aitnazarov, R; Plyusnina, A; Brukhin, V; Soloshenko, V; Lhasaranov, B; Popov, R; Paronyan, I A; Plemyashov, K V; **Larkin, D M**

JOURNAL TITLE: Heredity

PUBLICATION DATE: 8 December 2017 (online)

PUBLISHER: Nature Publishing Group

DOI: 10.1038/s41437-017-0024-3



1 Genome-wide genotyping uncovers genetic profiles and history of the

2 **Russian cattle breeds**

- 3
- 4 Andrey Yurchenko^{1,2}, Nikolay Yudin^{1,3}, Ruslan Aitnazarov^{1,3}, Alexandra Plyusnina¹,
- 5 Vladimir Brukhin⁴, Vladimir Soloshenko⁵, Bulat Lhasaranov⁶, Ruslan Popov⁷, Ivan A.
- 6 Paronyan⁸, Kirill V. Plemyashov⁸, Denis M. Larkin^{1,9*}
- 7
- 8 ¹*The Federal Research Center Institute of Cytology and Genetics, The Siberian Branch of the*
- 9 Russian Academy of Sciences (ICG SB RAS), 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia;
- 10 ²Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow,
- 11 *Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK;*
- 12 ³Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia;
- ⁴*Theodosius Dobzhansky Center for Genome Bioinformatics, St. Petersburg State University,*
- 14 St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia;
- ⁵Siberian Research Institute of Animal Husbandry, 630501 Krasnoobsk, Russia;
- ⁶Shuluuma IAPC, Kizhinga, 671450 Buryatia, Russia;
- 17 ⁷Yakutian Research Institute of Agriculture, 677001 Yakutsk, Russia;
- 18 ⁸*Russian Research Institute of Farm Animal Genetics and Breeding, 196601 St. Petersburg,*
- 19 *Russia;*
- 20 ⁹*Royal Veterinary College, University of London, NW01 0TU London, UK*
- 21
- 22 *Corresponding author: Denis M. Larkin, Royal Veterinary College, University of London,
- 23 Royal College Street, NW01 0TU London, UK, Phone: +44(0)7577057645, Fax: +44 (0)20
- 24 73881027, email: dmlarkin@gmail.com
- 25
- 26 Running title: Genetics of the Russian cattle
- 27
- **28** Word count: 6,616

ABSTRACT

31 One of the most economically important areas within the Russian agricultural sector is dairy 32 and beef cattle farming contributing about \$11 billion to the Russian economy annually. Trade connections, selection and breeding have resulted in the establishment of a number of breeds 33 34 that are presumably adapted to local climatic conditions. Little however is known about the 35 ancestry and history of Russian native cattle. To address this question, we genotyped 274 individuals from 18 breeds bred in Russia and compared them to 135 additional breeds from 36 37 around the world that had been genotyped previously. Our results suggest a shared ancestry between most of the Russian cattle and European taurine breeds, apart from a few breeds that 38 shared ancestry with the Asian taurines. The Yakut cattle, belonging to the latter group, was 39 40 found to be the most diverged breed in the whole combined dataset according to structure results. Haplotype sharing further suggests that the Russian cattle can be divided into four 41 major clusters reflecting ancestral relations with other breeds. Herein, we therefore shed light 42 43 on to the history of Russian cattle and identified closely related breeds to those from Russia. 44 Our results will facilitate future research on detecting signatures of selection in cattle genomes 45 and eventually inform future genetics-assisted livestock breeding programs in Russia and in other countries. 46

47

48 Keywords: Russian native cattle breeds, adaptation, breed formation, SNP genotyping,
49 admixture, European cattle, Asian cattle

INTRODUCTION

52 Thousands of years of artificial selection coupled with human-driven migration and adaptation 53 to diverse environmental conditions resulted in ~1000 cattle breeds worldwide, which are tailored to local economic needs, aesthetic demands and possess unique genetic profiles 54 55 (Mason, 1969). During the last two centuries, some cattle populations were further improved 56 resulting in several commercial breeds demonstrating outstanding productivity when properly 57 handled (Boichard and Brochard, 2012). Currently there is a tendency to replace or 'improve' 58 local breeds with the genetic material from superior commercial ones, meaning that genetic diversity, signatures of adaptations to local conditions, and the history of formation encoded in 59 native breed genomes often diminish before being recorded and properly studied (Gaouar et 60 al, 2015). On the other hand, genomes of native breeds could be mined for combinations of the 61 genetic variants invaluable in the development of a new generation of commercial breeds that 62 63 would better fit into a range of environmental conditions (Gao et al, 2017). The first step 64 towards uncovering this information is to understand the origin, structure and admixture events involving the native breed populations and to place them into the context of a wider set of 65 66 world breeds (Beynon et al, 2015; Bovine HapMap Consortium, 2009; Matukumalli et al, 2009). 67

The genetic diversity of domestic cattle stems from the two main sources of domestication of the ancient *Bos* subspecies: *B. taurus* and *B. indicus* originating from the Fertile Crescent and the Indus Valley respectively, and adapted to distinct environments (Loftus *et al*, 1994). Some extant breeds originate from old and/or recent interbreeding between the *B. taurus* and *B. indicus* resulting in a wide geo-climatic adaptation of the hybrids (Larkin and Yudin, 2016).

According to a recent study involving the whole-genome genotyping of 129 bovine breeds (Decker *et al*, 2014), the European cattle breed pool consists mainly of animals of *B*. 76 taurus ancestry without a great deal of contribution from B. indicus genes, with the exception 77 of Turkish breeds. In addition, the Iberian populations of cattle also have a significant genetic component tracing back to the African taurines (Decker et al, 2014). This comprehensive study, 78 79 however, did not include breeds from Russia, despite some of them expressing unique adaptations (e.g. the ability to live above the polar circle expressed by the Yakut cattle). Other 80 81 recent studies of native European cattle did however include a limited number of samples from several Russian native breeds (Iso-Touru et al, 2016; Upadhyay et al, 2017; Zinovieva et al, 82 2016) but did not carry out a comprehensive comparison between the Russian cattle and the 83 84 world breeds. A high divergence of the Yakut cattle (Iso-Touru et al, 2016) was suggested as well as distinct genetic profiles of several Russian breeds placing some of them apart from the 85 European Holstein-Friesian population (Zinovieva et al, 2016). 86

87 Due to Russia's unique geographic position in both Europe and Asia, its large territory, 88 diverse climate conditions and its rich history, it is expected that Russian native cattle will demonstrate a variety of adaptations and are likely to form a link between the European and 89 90 Asian cattle populations. According to historical records, the extant Russian cattle breeds originate from the ancient Eurasian cattle, including the steppe cattle (Li and Kantanen 2010) 91 and later (starting from the early 18th century) were affected by 'uncontrolled' interbreeding 92 93 with multiple European cattle populations (Dmitriev and Ernst, 1989). Currently there are 16 94 native breeds recognised in Russia (Dunin and Dankvert, 2013) with even more being extinct 95 (DAD-IS, 2017). The Russian cattle breeds can be classified as the breeds of Eastern European origin (e.g. Kholmogory and Yaroslavl), crossbred Eastern European breeds (e.g. Istoben, and 96 Kazakh Whiteheaded), and Asian/Siberian/Turano-Mongolian breeds (e.g. Yakut, Buryat) 97 98 (Buchanan and Lenstra, 2015). A comprehensive molecular genetic study of the Russian cattle is missing or limited to the studies based on mitochondrial DNA and a small number of 99

autosomal (Li and Kantanen, 2010), and Y-chromosome microsatellite markers (Edwards *et al*, 2011).

The aim of this study therefore was to analyse of a dataset composed mostly of Russian 102 103 and native breeds from neighbouring countries in the context of the dataset of world breeds. We used the GGP HD150K and Illumina Bovine 50K arrays to genotype individuals from 18 104 105 breeds bred in Russia, combined our data with the dataset containing additional 129 cattle 106 breeds collected from around the world (Decker et al, 2014) and samples from ten breeds from Russia and Europe genotyped previously (Iso-Touru et al, 2016). We aimed at building on 107 108 these established resources to use them as a reference to reveal the genetic structure and history 109 of Russian native cattle and to develop hypotheses about their relationships with breeds 110 worldwide. To reveal the complex history of Russian cattle breeds, multiple complementary 111 methods of population genetics were applied to the datasets, and hypotheses pertaining to the origin and structure of the extant breeds were built based on integration of the results. 112

MATERIALS AND METHODS

113 114

115 Sample collection

116 We used breed society and herdbook information to locate the herds of nine native cattle breeds bred in Russia and the Siberian population of Herefords. Collection of blood (maximum 117 118 volume = 10 ml) was carried out by superficial venepuncture using sterile 10-ml BDK2EDTA Vacutainers® (Wellkang Ltd, London, UK). In addition, sperm samples from bulls of seven 119 120 breeds were purchased from breeding companies, and sperm samples from six breeds were 121 obtained from Russian Research Institute of Farm Animal Genetics and Breeding (St. Petersburg, Russia). Additional DNA samples for three breeds were identified from the Russian 122 123 Cattle Genomic Diversity Panel v.1.0 (Yudin et al, 2015). Where pedigree details were 124 available, we attempted to avoid sampling of individuals known to be closely related (e.g. siblings, parent and offspring). Additionally, a balanced combination of the same breed 125 samples from different sources/locations was selected for genotyping (Table 1), however for 126 127 seven breeds the number of samples collected was <10 with as few as two for the Red Pied cattle suggesting that sampling may not account completely for the breed's genetics. Whole 128 129 blood and sperm were both stored at -80°C until further use.

130 DNA extraction and genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

DNA from blood samples was extracted using cell lysation followed by phenol-chloroform
extraction (Sambrook *et al*, 2006). The semen samples were pretreated with guanidinium
thiocyanate (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) and DNA was extracted using a salting out
method (Miller *et al*, 1988). DNA quality and quantity were determined using a NanoDrop
2000c (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). High quality samples (i.e. having DNA
concentrations of at least 50 ng/µl and A260/280 ratios of ca. 1.8) were then subjected to array
genotyping. When the number of DNA samples from purebred unrelated animals of the same

138 or different source/location (Table 1) exceeded ten for a breed, genotyping was performed on the GeneSeek Genomic Profiler High-Density (GGP HD150K) array containing ~139,000 SNP 139 markers with plans to include this dataset into the follow-up studies on detecting signatures of 140 141 selection in bovine genomes which would benefit from a higher number/density of SNPs being genotyped. Otherwise, samples were genotyped on the BovineSNP50 Analysis BeadChip 142 143 (BovineSNP50K) array containing ~54,000 SNP markers compatible with many previously published datasets, which is a number sufficient for the present study. Each genotyping set 144 145 contained several duplicated DNA samples (three for GGP HD150K and two for 146 BovineSNP50K) to control for the quality of genotyping and to identify potentially problematic SNP markers. 147

Genotypes were called using the GenomeStudio 2 software (Illumina, San Diego, USA), and samples with call rates of < 95% were excluded from the further analyses. A pedigree (.ped) file containing the genotype calls, sample and family identifiers and a map (.map) file containing the chromosomal location and identifier for each SNP were generated using GenomeStudio 2 and imported into the PLINK whole genome analysis toolkit (Purcell *et al*, 2007) for further processing.

154 Data merging and filtering

155 To identify relationships between the Russian cattle breeds and worldwide breed collections 156 our GGP HD150K and BovineSNP50K genotyping sets were combined with a set of 48 157 samples originating from the Ukrainian Grey cattle (Boussaha et al, 2015) applying the PLINK --merge command and a common set of ~43,000 SNP markers shared between the GGP 158 HD150K and BovineSNP50K arrays. To the merged set we added the genotyping sets 159 160 generated by Decker et al. (2014) (128 additional breeds) and Eurasian breeds from Iso-Touru et al. (2016) (10 breeds). The latter two datasets contained a total of 1,836 individuals. The 161 datasets were combined with the PLINK --merge command using only SNP with unique IDs 162

and chromosomal positions as identified by the SNPchiMp v.3 software (Nicolazzi et al, 2015)
and custom Python scripts. The combined dataset was further filtered to exclude duplicate
samples, poorly genotyped individuals (< 95% of SNPs), loci genotyped in < 99% of
individuals and rare alleles (MAF < 0.001) in PLINK: *--geno 0.01 --mind 0.05 --maf 0.001*resulting in a subset of 26,740 SNP that were used for the analyses described below.

168 **Population structure and phylogenetic analyses**

Population structure was characterised using: 1) individual distance-based phylogenetic 169 170 analysis, 2) model-based clustering and 3) assumption-free Principal Component Analysis 171 (PCA). To ensure that analyses would not be distorted by the presence of SNPs in a strong linkage disequilibrium (LD), the --indep command in PLINK was used to prune the SNPs that 172 173 passed the initial filtering step. This was achieved by removing one locus from each pair for which LD (r^2) exceeded 0.1 within 50 SNP blocks resulting in 16,645 remaining SNPs. To 174 estimate and test the phylogenetic relationship of different breeds we constructed a neighbour-175 176 joining (NJ) tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987) based on individual genotypes in FastNJ software (Li, 177 2015). Tree topology was tested with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Nodes with < 70% support were collapsed and the resulting tree was visualised using FigTree software (Rambaut and 178 Drummond, 2012). To evaluate the fractions of putative ancient populations in the modern 179 180 genetic pool we used the fastSTRUCTURE (v1.0) clustering and stratification program (Raj et 181 al, 2014). The program runs were carried out assuming between one and 40 groups (K) for 182 both global set and Russian breed (including also closely related world breeds with more than five sampled individuals identified from the global NJ tree) sets. The cluster membership 183 matrixes of the fastSTRUCTURE outputs were visualised using PONG software (Behr et al, 184 2016). We used model complexity that maximises marginal likelihood to infer the putative 185 optimal number of genetic clusters. As an assumption-free illustration of the differentiation 186

between breeds, PCA, was performed using the SNPrelate Bioconductor package (Zheng *et al*,
2012).

Single nucleotide polymorphism diversity, linkage disequilibrium and haplotype sharing An estimate of expected heterozygosity (H_e) at each locus was calculated using the *--hardy* command in PLINK and the mean value was calculated for each breed. The proportion of polymorphic loci (P_n) in each breed and the mean inbreeding coefficient (F) values were calculated using the PLINK commands *--freq* and *--het*, respectively. To calculate pairwise differentiation (F_{ST}) between different breeds we used smartpca software from the Eigensoft package (v 6.1.4) (Patterson *et al*, 2006).

196 Runs of homozygosity (ROH) represent long stretches of haplotypes identical by 197 descent (IBD) and provide valuable information about past and recent demographic events 198 which accompanied the history of populations. To calculate ROH we used the methodology of 199 (Purfield *et al*, 2012) with stringent settings suitable for low-density genotype samples: ≥ 1 SNP per 80 Kbp region, > 30 SNPs per region, with no more than one heterozygous SNP 200 201 (PLINK commands: --homozyg-density 80 --homozyg-snp 30 --homozyg-het 1). To investigate the relationship and to infer signatures of recent gene flows between pairs of populations we 202 used the method based on the detection of IBD-shared haplotypes according to (Ralph and 203 204 Coop, 2013). Briefly, the genotypes of the global dataset were split by chromosome and phased 205 using SHAPEIT 2 software (Delaneau *et al*, 2013) with 400 conditioning states (--*states 400*) 206 and the effective population size (N_e) equal 15,000 as a safe provisional estimate for our diverse 207 dataset. We used a high-density genetic map of the cattle genome (Ma et al, 2015) to correct 208 for local variations in recombination rate during the haplotype inference. The haplotype sharing analysis was conducted using BEAGLE 4.1 software (Browning and Browning, 2013) based 209 on phased haplotypes with LOD score ≥ 2.5 (*ibdlod*=2.5), the length of shared haplotypes \geq 210 100 Kbp (ibdcm=0.01) and the number of markers trimmed from the end of the shared 211

haplotypes when testing for IBD equalled three (*ibdtrim=3*). The inferred shared haplotypes
were binned into three categories according to the size (< 3 Mbp, 3-5 Mbp, > 7 Mbp) and
plotted using the R libraries *igraph* and *ggcorrplot* (R Development Core Team, 2008).

215 To further reveal traces of genetic admixtures and their directions between the Russian 216 and closely related breeds, and between the Russian and breeds of *B. indicus* origin, we applied 217 the maximum-likelihood algorithm implemented in the Treemix software (Pickrell and Pritchard, 2012) which models migration events on the phylogenetic tree. Two datasets 218 219 analysed separately were: 1) Russian and closely related world breeds as defined from the NJ 220 analysis, 2) Russian breeds and breeds of known B. indicus and B. javanicus origin from Decker et al. 2014 with at least five sampled individuals per breed. The Treemix analysis was 221 222 performed with 1 SNP per block for estimation of the covariance matrix (k=1) and gradual 223 addition from one to 15 migration events with the step equal to one for the first dataset and 224 from three to 18 migration events with the step equal to three for the second dataset. We rooted 225 the trees on the Yakut cattle and *B. javanicus* for the first and second datasets, respectively. 226 The optimal number of migration events was determined after examining the difference between the likelihoods of the tree after each migration step being added and the tree's previous 227 228 step likelihood (Δ Likelihood).

To estimate the historical and recent effective population sizes (N_e) in Russian breeds 229 we applied a method based on the relationship between the extent of LD, N_e and the 230 231 recombination rate within the populations implemented in the SNeP software (Barbato et al, 232 2015). The calculations were performed on SNPs with MAF ≥ 0.05 , with sample size correction (-samplesize), and with minimum and maximum distances equal to 5,000 bp and 233 2,000,000 bp respectively. The recombination correction was applied according to (Sved and 234 Feldman, 1973). LD values for size bins in the range from 28 Kbp to 600 Kbp were extracted 235 from the SNeP output and plotted to estimate the LD decay for Russian cattle breeds. 236

RESULTS

238

Single nucleotide polymorphism, diversity, inbreeding and linkage disequilibrium withinthe Russian breeds

Both the GGP HD150K and BovineSNP50K SNP arrays were found highly informative for 241 the Russian cattle breeds (Suppl. Table 1). The proportion of loci polymorphic (P_n) in at least 242 one breed for the overlapping set of 26,701 SNPs shared between the arrays varied from 0.650 243 244 for the Red Pied to 0.977 for the Black Pied breeds with a mean of 0.891 (Table 1). The mean 245 MAF was found highly consistent among the breeds ranging from 0.205 (Yakut) to 0.269 246 (Black Pied and Kalmyk). Similarly, the expected heterozygosity (H_e) was relatively high in all Russian breeds (range 0.271- 0.352, mean 0.324) with the lowest values observed in the 247 Red Pied (0.271) and Yakut (0.273) and the highest in the Black Pied (0.352) and Tagil (0.350). 248 249 The inbreeding coefficient (F) demonstrated negative values for all the breeds, but the largest 250 deviations from zero (>0.1) should be taken with caution because they were observed for the 251 breeds with the lowest number of samples analysed (i.e. Istoben, Red Pied, Red Steppe, and Yurino; Table 1) suggesting that the genetic composition was likely not covered in full for 252 253 these breeds.

We estimated the recent and past effective population (N_e) sizes for the native breeds and plotted the results (Suppl. Figure 1). All of the Russian breeds demonstrated a highly similar pattern of N_e decay with an increased rate starting ~200 generations ago (Suppl. Figure 1b) likely being caused by bottle necks associated with contemporary breed formation. The highest historical N_e sizes were observed for the Buryat and Kalmyk while the lowest N_e for the Yakut (Suppl. Figure 1a,b). The LD decay plot (Figure 1) suggested the presence of long haplotypes usually associated with low N_e size (e.g. Yakut, Kostroma and Kholmogory); the 261 most pronounced effect was observed for the Yakut cattle. The Buryat and Kalmyk cattle 262 demonstrated a rapid LD decay consistent with the historically larger N_e sizes of these breeds. Consistent with the expectation of high inbreeding within Yakut, Kostroma, Kazakh 263 264 Whiteheaded and Ukrainian Gray breeds, the presence of the longest and most frequent ROHs (>500 Kbp/>4 per animal) were observed within these breeds (Suppl. Figure 2). Tagil and 265 Buryat demonstrated the shortest and the least frequent average number of ROHs in their 266 genomes suggesting that these breeds could have been managed effectively to avoid excessive 267 268 inbreeding. All other breeds expressed an intermediate level of ROHs consistent with the 269 higher $N_{\rm e}$ and expected moderate level of inbreeding.

270 Ancestry of Russian cattle breeds

271 To identify ancestral relationships between native breeds from Russia and the cattle breeds 272 distributed worldwide, we analysed our datasets with that of world breeds (Decker et al, 2014) 273 and additional Eurasian breeds (Iso-Touru et al, 2016). As expected, the first two components 274 of PCA differentiated the main clusters of breeds from Africa, Asia, and Europe representing 275 mainly African taurine, cattle of Eurasian taurine origin and cattle of Asian indicine origin, with breeds expressing various levels of hybridisation found in between (Suppl. Figure 3). 276 Breeds from the Americas clustered with the European and Asian breeds. The majority of 277 Russian breeds followed the European taurine breed cluster with additional breeds found in the 278 279 cluster of taurine Asian breeds (Suppl. Figure 3). These results were highly consistent with the 280 fastSTRUCTURE analysis which suggested a close relationship between the breeds from Russia and other taurine breeds of European and several of Asian origin (Figure 2). However, 281 at K=4 a separate cluster was formed by the Yakut cattle. The first other breed that formed a 282 283 separate cluster was the British Shorthorn (K=5).

The collapsed NJ tree grouped samples into the well-supported breed-specific nodes confirming the expected phylogenetic relationships within the breed populations (Figure 3).

286 The three major well-resolved branches of the tree separated the breeds of Asian, African and 287 European/American origins consistent with the PCA and fastSTRUCTURE results. The majority of the breeds from Russia (N = 17) were distributed along the branch of the 288 289 European/American taurine breeds with some of them forming well-supported clusters with other breeds indicating close relationships. The Yakut cattle was found in the same cluster with 290 Hanwoo and Wagyu cattle from Korea and Japan respectively, near the Buryat cattle node. 291 292 Other two well-resolved clusters involving Russian breeds and world breeds have grouped 293 together the Kazakh Whiteheaded breed from Russia with Hereford samples from Russia and 294 Wales; and the Ala-Tau and Kostroma with two breeds of European origin (Braunveih and Brown Swiss). Ukrainian Whiteheaded, Gorbatov Red and Istoben formed a separate cluster 295 296 on the branch of the European breeds. Yurino formed a cluster with the Pinzgauer cattle from 297 Austria. Kholmogoy, Black Pied, Tagil, Red Steppe formed a large cluster with the Holstein-298 Friesian, French Red Pied and Lithuanian Light Grey breeds.

299 The pairwise analysis of shared haplotypes between the Russian cattle breeds and 300 taurine breeds of European and Asian origins has identified 39 breeds with a significant level of haplotype sharing with at least one breed from Russia (LOD>2.5; Figure 4, Suppl. Figure 301 4). The top 10 world breeds that shared haplotypes at all three levels of the haplotype analysis 302 were: Brown Swiss, Hereford, Holstein, Braunvieh, Senepol, French Red Pied, Beef Shorthorn, 303 304 Maine Anjou, Norwegian Red, and Jersey (Figure 4, Suppl. Figure 4). This analysis has 305 provided evidence for additional breed relations to the previously described results, and allowed us to distinguish between older and more recent relationships. Sharing of the short 306 haplotypes (0-3 Mbp; presumably indicative of older relationships between populations) has 307 308 formed two clear large clusters and two smaller clusters of breeds (Figure 4a). The largest cluster revealed the ancestral relationships between the Northern French, British, and Finnish 309 breeds with the Yaroslavl, Bestuzhev, Black Pied, Tagil, and Kazakh Whiteheaded breeds from 310

our set. The second large cluster suggested further ancestral relationships between the SouthEuropean breeds from South-East France, Italy, Switzerland with Kostroma and Ala-Tau
breeds from our dataset. Ukrainian Grey cattle samples both from Russia and Serbia shared
short haplotypes with Podolian cattle (Serbia) and Romagnola (Italy) breeds. The Yakut and
Buryat breeds formed a separate small cluster with Japanese Wagyu cattle whereas the Kalmyk
cattle had significant haplotype sharing only with the Beef Shorthorn from England.

The longest shared haplotypes (>7 Mbp; likely indicative of recent introgression and 317 318 admixture events, Figure 4b) revealed the recent admixture between the Ukrainian Grey 319 sampled in Russia (Boussaha et al, 2015) and the Yakut cattle. The Ukrainian Grey breed sampled in Serbia (Iso-Touru et al, 2016) did not demonstrate this pattern. Both the Kostroma 320 321 and Ala-Tau breeds had extensive haplotype sharing with the Brown Swiss and Braunvieh. 322 Multiple Russian breeds (i.e. Bestuzhev, Black-Pied, Tagil, Yaroslavl, Kholmogory) shared 323 haplotypes with Holstein-Friesian, Senepol, French Red-Pied Lowland and Normande breeds. 324 To investigate more closely the genetic ancestry of the sampled Turano-Mongolian 325 breeds (Yakut, Buryat, Kalmyk) we plotted the extent of pairwise haplotype sharing for each 326 breed from highest to lowest value (Figure 5 and Suppl. Figure 5) for shortest haplotype 327 segments (0-3 Mbp) including both taurine and indicine world breeds. The closest breeds (>1.5 Mbp average total haplotype length shared per animal) to Yakut cattle were Hanwoo, Buryat, 328 329 Wagyu, Qinchan, Mongolian cattle and Morucha demonstrating a pronounced signal on the 330 plot. The Buryat breed was mostly related to Wagyu, Hanwoo, Yakut, Qinchuan, Ala-Tau and 331 Mongolian cattle breeds. Thus, Yakut and Buryat breeds showed a close relationship with taurine Asian breeds (and with each other) confirming their shared ancestry. Our samples of 332 the Kalmyk cattle demonstrated mostly low values of haplotype sharing with the strongest 333 relationship to Beef Shorthorn and a much weaker sharing with Wagyu and Welsh Black 334 breeds. Interestingly, another sampling of the Kalmyk breed (Iso-Touru et al, 2016) showed 335

some higher signal values, although it confirmed a relationship between the Kalmyk cattle and
both taurine Asian (Hanwoo) and European (Simmental, Beef Shorthorn, Groningen
Whitehead) breeds (Suppl. Figure 5). In our analysis breeds of known indicine origin did not
demonstrate high degree of haplotype sharing with Turano-Mongolian breeds with signal
values always much lower than the values observed for the top taurine breeds (Figure 5 and
Suppl. Figure 5).

The relationships between the cattle breeds from Russia and the closely related world breeds.

346 To reveal the fine-structure relationship between the Russian cattle breeds and the set of closely related world breeds we performed a separate PCA and a fine-scale admixture analysis of the 347 348 breeds from Russia and eight world breeds that formed well supported clusters with the Russian 349 breeds on the collapsed NJ tree (see Figure 3). The first two components of PCA revealed four 350 major clusters of the breeds (Suppl. Figure 6a). The largest cluster contained the Holstein-351 Friesian cattle with the Black Pied and other European and Russian breeds that likely had been 352 influenced by European dairy cattle genetics during their formation; the second cluster combined the Buryat, Kalmyk, Ukrainian Gray and Asian taurine breeds (Hanwoo and 353 354 Wagyu). Another cluster combined the Kazakh Whiteheaded, Hereford cattle and the last one 355 - the Yakut cattle. The third principal component of PCA separated the Ukrainian Gray cattle 356 from the cluster of the taurine breeds of Asian origin and revealed a separate cluster formed by 357 the Kostroma, Brown Swiss, Ala-Tau and Braunvieh breeds (Suppl. Figure 6b). The most likely number of populations according to the maximum likelihood estimation was equal to 11 358 (Suppl. Figure 7). The fineSTRUCTURE results (Figure 6) suggest that the most distant breeds 359 within this set were the Yakut and Kholmogory, separated from the other breeds at K=2, 360 followed by the Hereford and Kazakh Whitehead group at (K=3). The next cluster was formed 361 362 by Kostroma and Brown Swiss (K=4) followed by the Ukrainian Grey cattle (K=5). At K=6 it

³⁴²

363 becomes apparent that the Asian taurine breeds (Hanwoo and Wagyu) cluster with the Kalmyk 364 and Buryat cattle; the genetic material represented by the Hanwoo had a larger contribution on the Kalmyk and Buryat breeds than on Wagyu. At K=7 a central cluster of mostly composite 365 366 breeds with the influence of Holstein-Friesian/Black Pied genetic material becomes apparent with the Yaroslavl separating from this cluster at K=10. We cannot exclude the possibility that 367 the number of optimal genetic clusters in our analysis has been influenced by the unequal breed 368 sample size and, in particular, by a small number of individuals collected for the Yurino, Red 369 Steppe, Red Pied, Gorbatov Red and Istoben breeds. A larger number of samples would be 370 371 needed to confirm the genetic composition of these breeds.

The Treemix results for the Russian cattle and most related other breeds (Suppl. Figure 8) demonstrated the highest Δ likelihood increase for two migration events: the first one from the Yakut to the Ukrainian Gray breed collected in Russia and the second one from the Holstein to Tagil breed. Both results were in agreement with the observations made based on the haplotype sharing. For the Russian breeds combined with known *B. indicus* breed set (Suppl. Figure 9), the highest gain in likelihood was received for nine migration events without any of them suggesting migration links between the Russian and indicine cattle populations.

The analysis of the F_{ST} distances between the Russian breeds and those breeds closely 379 related to them (Suppl. Table 2) revealed a low level of genetic differentiation with the mean 380 381 value equal to 0.096 and a range from 0.003 to 0.235. The strongest differentiation involving 382 a Russian breed was observed between the Podolian and Yakut cattle breeds while the lowest values were observed between the Red Pied and Finnish Ayrshire ($F_{ST} = 0.003$). The Red Pied 383 breed had a very low number of samples in our dataset (N=2, Table 1) and these results should 384 385 be taken with caution. Apart from this, the lowest F_{ST} values were found between the samples of the Yaroslavl breed collected by us and by (Iso-Touru et al, 2016). Surprisingly, the 386 differentiation between the Black Pied and Holsten breeds ($F_{ST} = 0.020$) was lower than the 387

 F_{ST} observed between the Hereford samples from Russia and Wales ($F_{ST} = 0.029$). The Yakut cattle has consistently demonstrated higher F_{ST} values with other breeds, with the lowest differentiation observed with the Buryat cattle followed by the Kalmyk and Hanwoo breeds. Interestingly, the Wagyu breed, which had a high fraction of haplotypes shared with the Yakut cattle and was found next to it on the phylogenetic tree, had one of the highest degrees of differentiation with it ($F_{ST} = 0.20$), following the Hereford and Podolian cattle.

DISCUSSION

The advent of cost-efficient genotyping SNP arrays has made it possible to reveal the genetic 396 profiles of various breeds of domesticated species, develop informed strategies of their 397 398 improvement on one hand, and learn about the genetic processes accompanying domestication 399 and breed formation on the other. While most efforts are dedicated to studying popular 400 commercial breeds, e.g. Texel in sheep (Mucha et al, 2015) and Holstein-Friesian in cattle (van Binsbergen et al, 2015), there is a growing interest in the genetics of smaller local breeds 401 402 because of the unique adaptations found in their genomes and their potential to contribute to 403 solving problems in agriculture related to environmental change (e.g. global warming) and 404 local pathogen resistance (Beynon et al, 2015). To this end we performed genotyping of 18 cattle breeds bred in Russia selected on the basis of a likely historical contribution of local 405 406 cattle populations onto their contemporary genomes and compared them to commercial and native breeds previously collected from around the world (Decker et al, 2014; Iso-Touru et al, 407 408 2016). Along with the highly popular abundant Russian breeds (e.g. Black Pied or 409 Kholmogory) we included highly specialised breeds that demonstrate extensive adaptations to specific environments (e.g. Yakut) and/or were almost extinct (e.g. Buryat). Therefore, our 410 411 current dataset represents the largest and most complete set of the cattle breeds from Russia 412 available for population genetic studies so far.

In agreement with the geographical position of Russia and its historical and trade links, the majority of the Russian cattle breeds demonstrated extensive common ancestry with the taurine cattle breeds from Europe. As expected to result from the 'uncontrolled' and/or complex breeding strategies started as early as in the 18th century (Dmitriev and Ernst, 1989), for most of the Russian breeds we could not clearly identify their sister foreign breeds on the phylogenetic tree, except for the European and the Russian cattle being found on the same wide polygenetic node. However, there were several examples when our data has confirmed the 420 known historical relationships among the Russian cattle and some foreign breeds 421 demonstrating robustness of our results. The most profound of these links is between the 422 Kazakh Whiteheaded and Hereford breeds from both Russia and Europe, well supported by the 423 known recent breeding history of the Kazakh Whiteheaded. The breed was formed between 424 1930 and 1950, by crossing of the Turano-Mongolian Kazakh and Kalmyk cattle with Hereford in the Kazakh Republic of the USSR (Dmitriev and Ernst, 1989). Another example of known 425 426 relations and historical breed formation (Dmitriev and Ernst, 1989) was confirmed by 427 clustering of Kostroma, Brown Swiss, Braunveih and Ala Tau consistently supported by the 428 structure, phylogenetic, haplotype analysis and population differentiation levels (F_{ST} ranges 0.032-0.069). A separate node on our phylogenetic tree formed by the Kholmogory, Holstein-429 Friesian, Black Pied and several related European breeds further supported by haplotype 430 sharing likely reflects the historical relations that trace back to the 17th century when the 431 Kholmogory breed was formed and later interbred with "Dutch cattle" (Dmitriev and Ernst, 432 433 1989). However, the structure analysis indicates that the genetic component of the 434 contemporary Holstein-Friesian breed in Kholmogory is relatively small and that Kholmogory 435 should be considered genetically distinct, supporting previous observations (Zinovieva et al, 436 2016). On the other hand, our samples of the Russian Black Pied breed demonstrate a very low differentiation from the Holstein-Friesian ($F_{ST} = 0.02$, e.g. lower than between the two sets of 437 Hereford samples in our analysis) suggesting that the use of imported Holstein-Friesian 438 439 sires/semen in Russia could have significantly affected the Black Pied's genetics. Haplotype 440 sharing analysis that was based on short haplotype blocks (presumably reflecting ancestral 441 relationships) has further confirmed a complex history of the Russian cattle breeds of European 442 origin but allowed to assign them to three major clusters based on predominantly shared 443 haplotypes. While the largest cluster mostly contained Russian breeds with historical influence 444 from highly commercial European breeds (e.g. Holstein-Friesian and Angus) and other breeds

that could also have been influenced by these multinational breeds, the second one was built
around the related Kostroma, Brown Swiss, Braunveih and Ala Tau breeds with the addition
of several other breeds from France, Italy and Germany. The Ukrainian Gray cattle formed the
last separate cluster shared only with the Podolian and Romadnola breeds confirming the
Ukrainian Gray's position within the primitive Podolian group of cattle breeds (Kushnir and
Glazko, 2009).

451 In addition to extensive links to cattle of European ancestry, PCA suggested that there 452 are breeds in Russia that have shared ancestry with cattle from Asia. In agreement with this, 453 the Yakut, Buryat, and Kalmyk cattle clustered with the Turano-Mongolian and other Asian 454 taurine breeds on the phylogenetic tree and structure plots. While on the structure global dataset the Yakut breed formed the first breed-specific cluster after the observed divergence of B. 455 indicus and African taurines, on the phylogenetic tree, it was found on the same node with 456 Buryat cattle and other taurine Asian breeds. The exact reason for the Yakut cattle being so 457 458 divergent based on structure results is not currently clear but could be related to a combination of its low historical $N_{\rm e}$ combined with long isolation from other breeds. A closer relation of the 459 460 Yakut cattle with other divergent Asian Turano-Mongolian breeds may imply their early 461 separation from the rest of the taurine gene pool or even independent domestication in Asia (Mannen et al, 2004). Haplotype sharing results further confirm these relationships within the 462 463 Turano-Mongolian breed set placing the Yakut cattle on the same cluster with Buryat and Wagyu and indicating links with Hanwoo and the Mongolian cattle. The Buryat cattle was 464 considered to be extinct until quite recently when a herd had been discovered in Mongolia and 465 466 imported back to Russia to start recovering the breed. Our results indeed demonstrated that the 467 Buryat shares more haplotypes with Yakut and Wagyu cattle than with the breeds from 468 Mongolia suggesting its separate origin from Mongolian cattle. Interestingly, the placement of 469 the third Turano-Mongolian breed on our list, the Kalmyk remains unclear. While it formed a 470 separate cluster within the European cattle on the phylogenetic tree, structure results suggested 471 a common ancestry with Buryat and Hanwoo breeds. Haplotype sharing showed a strong recent 472 admixture with the Beef Shorthorn. The latter can be explained by the known use of Shorthorn 473 to 'improve' the Kalmyk cattle in the USSR (Dmitriev et al, 1989). This likely had an impact 474 on the genetics of this breed and affected its position of the phylogenetic tree masking the 475 expected ancestral relationships that were picked up only by the structure analysis. Another 476 example of a likely effect of a recent admixture on the genetics of a breed was observed during 477 comparison of the Ukrainian Gray cattle samples originating from Serbia (Iso-Touru et al, 478 2016) and from Russia (Boussaha et al, 2015). While these sample sets cluster together 479 suggesting that they indeed belong to the same breed, the sample set from Russia demonstrated a clear evidence of a recent admixture with the Yakut cattle based on the haplotype sharing and 480 481 confirmed by TreeMix analysis. The samples from Serbia had no traces of this event.

482 Interestingly, we did not identify any significant evidence of admixture between any of 483 the Russian breeds and the indicine cattle neither in haplotype sharing nor the Treemix 484 analyses. However, the structure global plot (K=3) suggested some level of indicine ancestry in the Turano-Mongolian breeds. This observation may imply a very ancient and probably 485 486 weak admixture event not detected by other methods. It is also possible that the 487 BovineSNP50K array SNP loci (and, as a result, the set of SNPs used in the present work) bias 488 to taurine and ancient SNPs shared by taurine and indicine populations (McKay et al, 2008) 489 has affected our results to some extent and masked admixture with B. indicus. Both scenarios 490 suggest that more detailed studies involving the whole-genome resequencing of Russian cattle 491 genomes and their comparison to both the taurine and indicine genome references would be 492 needed to resolve this issue and shed additional light on the reasons for observed divergence 493 of the Yakut cattle.

494 When analysed individually or in the context of only the most related world breeds, the 495 Russian cattle breeds demonstrated a modest level of genetic diversity and comparable 496 estimates of effective population sizes with other Eurasian breeds (Iso-Touru et al, 2016). The 497 Kholmogory and Yakut breeds are further confirmed as the most genetically distinct within the 498 set of the breeds from Russia and related Eurasian breeds on the structure results, supported by high F_{st}. Strong influence of Holstein-Friesian genetics became apparent in a separate cluster 499 500 of breeds. Yaroslavl breed separated from this cluster at K=10 being the last Russian cattle 501 breed that demonstrated unique genetics while other breeds including the Black Pied, Tagil, 502 Bestuzhev, Istoben, Yurino, and Ukrainian Whiteheaded demonstrated different levels of 503 Holstein-Friesian contribution to their genetics suggesting that these breeds might have left 504 with a relatively small fraction of alleles from native populations. This was supported by a 505 relatively low level of population differentiation within this group (F_{ST} range 0.020-0.094). 506 However, we cannot exclude that both the SNP loci bias to a small number of taurine breeds 507 and small sampling sizes for some breeds in our list could have influenced these results.

The presence of long runs of homozygosity in the Yakut, Kostroma and Ukrainian Gray breeds might indicate either a high level of adaptation and specialisation or effects of inbreeding and low effective population size. Regardless of the reason, this information should be considered during the development of breeding programs for these populations. The genetic uniqueness of the highly adapted to harsh climatic conditions Yakut breed should stimulate and guide its recovery program.

Herein we provide the first detailed view on the population genetics of a comprehensive list of the cattle breeds bred in Russia that potentially have arisen from local cattle populations and/or could be adapted to harsh environments and climate. Our results demonstrate that some of the breeds studied have distinct genetic profiles (e.g. Kholmogory, Yakut, Yaroslavl) making them priority targets for deeper studies to reveal signatures of selection and adaptations

519	related to local environments and for conservation purposes. We also observed that a large
520	group of breeds had both old and recent influence from commercial European breeds (e.g.
521	Kostroma, Kazakh Whiteheaded, Istoben) meaning that their genomes could potentially
522	contain only a small fraction of ancestral alleles, but these could be important for surviving
523	local conditions and can be used for admixture mapping programs aiming at economically
524	important traits (Kassahun et al, 2015). The links between the Russian breeds and breeds from
525	other countries presented in this study form a basis for future work on contrasting their genomes
526	to reveal causative alleles or haplotypes using a right set of related and outgroup populations
527	for the comparison to avoid 'signal dilution' or false positive signals. The uniqueness of the
528	Yakut breed shown in this study makes it a priority for further detailed studies on one hand,
529	but makes it difficult to identify the right breeds to contrast it to on the other; implying that
530	additional, more detailed studies of Russian native cattle breeds of Asian origin in the context
531	of a larger set of Asian taurine and indicine breeds might be required to fully benefit from their
532	unique genetics.
533	
534	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
535	The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant (RSF, 16-14-0090).
536	
537	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
538	The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA ARCHIVING

542 The data will be submitted to Dryad before the paper publication.

543	REFERENCES
544 545 546 547	Barbato M, Orozco-terWengel P, Tapio M, Bruford MW (2015). SNeP: a tool to estimate trends in recent effective population size trajectories using genome-wide SNP data. <i>Front Genet</i> 6 : 109.
548 549 550	Behr AA, Liu KZ, Liu-Fang G, Nakka P, Ramachandran S (2016). pong: fast analysis and visualization of latent clusters in population genetic data. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 32 (18): 2817-2823.
551 552 553	Beynon SE, Slavov GT, Farre M, Sunduimijid B, Waddams K, Davies B et al (2015). Population structure and history of the Welsh sheep breeds determined by whole genome genotyping. <i>BMC Genet</i> 16: 65.
555 556 557	Boichard D, Brochard M (2012). New phenotypes for new breeding goals in dairy cattle. <i>Animal</i> 6 (4): 544-550.
558 559 560 561	Boussaha M, Letaief R, Yudin N, Voevoda M, Rocha D (2015). The extent of linkage disequilibrium in the ancient Ukrainian grey cattle breed <i>Global Journal of Animal Breeding</i> and Genetics 3 (4): 159-163.
562 563 564	Bovine HapMap Consortium (2009). Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. <i>Science</i> 324 (5926): 528-532.
565 566 567	Browning BL, Browning SR (2013). Improving the accuracy and efficiency of identity-by- descent detection in population data. <i>Genetics</i> 194 (2): 459-471.
568 569	Buchanan DS, Lenstra JA (2015). Breeds of Cattle. Genetics of Cattle, 2nd Edition: 33-66.
570 571	DAD-IS. (2017). Vol. 2017. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.
572 573 574 575	Decker JE, McKay SD, Rolf MM, Kim J, Molina Alcala A, Sonstegard TS <i>et al</i> (2014). Worldwide patterns of ancestry, divergence, and admixture in domesticated cattle. <i>PLoS genetics</i> 10 (3): e1004254.
575 576 577	Delaneau O, Zagury JF, Marchini J (2013). Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. <i>Nat Methods</i> 10 (1): 5-6.
578 579 580 581	Dmitriev NG, Ernst LK (1989). Animal Genetics Resources of the USSR <i>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</i> : Rome, Italy.
582 583 584 585	Dmitriev NG, Ernst LK, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (1989). <i>Animal genetic resources of the USSR</i> . Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome.
586 587	Dunin IM, Dankvert AG (2013). Breeds and types of farm animals in the Russian Federation: Moscow, Russian Federation
589 590 591 592	Edwards CJ, Ginja C, Kantanen J, Perez-Pardal L, Tresset A, Stock F <i>et al</i> (2011). Dual origins of dairy cattle farmingevidence from a comprehensive survey of European Y-chromosomal variation. <i>PLoS One</i> $6(1)$: e15922.

- 593 Gao Y, Wu H, Wang Y, Liu X, Chen L, Li Q *et al* (2017). Single Cas9 nickase induced 594 generation of NRAMP1 knockin cattle with reduced off-target effects. *Genome Biol* **18**(1): 13.
- 595
- Gaouar SB, Da Silva A, Ciani E, Kdidi S, Aouissat M, Dhimi L *et al* (2015). Admixture and
 local breed marginalization threaten Algerian sheep diversity. *PLoS One* 10(4): e0122667.
- 598
- Iso-Touru T, Tapio M, Vilkki J, Kiseleva T, Ammosov I, Ivanova Z *et al* (2016). Genetic
 diversity and genomic signatures of selection among cattle breeds from Siberia, eastern and
 northern Europe. *Anim Genet* 47(6): 647-657.
- 602

- Kassahun Y, Mattiangeli V, Ameni G, Hailu E, Aseffa A, Young DB *et al* (2015). Admixture
 mapping of tuberculosis and pigmentation-related traits in an African-European hybrid cattle
 population. *Front Genet* 6: 210.
- Kushnir AV, Glazko VI (2009). Gray Ukrainian cattle and their closely related forms.
 Contemporary Problems of Ecology 2(3): 288–295.
- Larkin DM, Yudin N (2016). The genomes and history of domestic animals. *Molecular Genetics, Microbiology and Virology* 31(4): 197-202.
- 612
 613 Li JF (2015). A fast neighbor joining method. *Genet Mol Res* 14(3): 8733-8743.
- Li MH, Kantanen J (2010). Genetic structure of Eurasian cattle (Bos taurus) based on
 microsatellites: clarification for their breed classification. *Anim Genet* 41(2): 150-158.
- 617
 618 Loftus RT, MacHugh DE, Bradley DG, Sharp PM, Cunningham P (1994). Evidence for two
 619 independent domestications of cattle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91(7): 2757-2761.
- Ma L, O'Connell JR, VanRaden PM, Shen B, Padhi A, Sun C *et al* (2015). Cattle sex-specific
 recombination and genetic control from a large pedigree analysis. *PLoS genetics* 11(11):
 e1005387.
- Mannen H, Kohno M, Nagata Y, Tsuji S, Bradley DG, Yeo JS *et al* (2004). Independent
 mitochondrial origin and historical genetic differentiation in North Eastern Asian cattle. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 32(2): 539-544.
- 628
- Mason IL (1969). A World Dictionary of Livestock Breed Types and Varieties *Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux*. Farnham Royal: UK.
- 631
- Matukumalli LK, Lawley CT, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Allan MF, Heaton MP *et al* (2009).
 Development and characterization of a high density SNP genotyping assay for cattle. *PLoS One* 4(4): e5350.
- 635
- McKay SD, Schnabel RD, Murdoch BM, Matukumalli LK, Aerts J, Coppieters W *et al* (2008)
 An assessment of population structure in eight breeds of cattle using a whole genome SNP
 panel. *BMC Genet.* 20(9): 37.
- 640 Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA
- from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **16**(3): 1215.
- 642

- Mucha S, Bunger L, Conington J (2015). Genome-wide association study of footrot in Texel
 sheep. *Genet Sel Evol* 47: 35.
- 645
- Nicolazzi EL, Caprera A, Nazzicari N, Cozzi P, Strozzi F, Lawley C *et al* (2015). SNPchiMp
 v.3: integrating and standardizing single nucleotide polymorphism data for livestock species. *BMC Genomics* 16: 283.
- 649
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D (2006). Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS genetics*2(12): e190.
- 652
- Pickrell, J. K., & Pritchard, J. K. (2012). Inference of population splits and mixtures from
 genome-wide allele frequency data. PLoS genetics, 8(11): e1002967.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D *et al* (2007). PLINK: a
 tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*81(3): 559-575.
- 659
- Purfield DC, Berry DP, McParland S, Bradley DG (2012). Runs of homozygosity and
 population history in cattle. *BMC Genet* 13: 70.
- Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2014). fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of population
 structure in large SNP data sets. *Genetics* 197(2): 573-589.
- Ralph P, Coop G (2013). The geography of recent genetic ancestry across Europe. *PLoS Biol*11(5): e1001555.
- 668
 669 Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. (2012). FigTree. Version 1.4.0.
 670 <u>http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree</u>
- 671

R Development Core Team (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
 <u>http://www.R-project.org.</u>

- Saitou N, Nei M (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing
 phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4(4): 406-425.
- 678
 679 Sambrook J, Russell DW, Sambrook J (2006). *The condensed protocols from Molecular*680 *cloning : a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor,
 681 N.Y.
- 682
- 683 Sved JA, Feldman MW (1973). Correlation and probability methods for one and two loci.
 684 *Theor Popul Biol* 4(1): 129-132.
- 685
- Upadhyay MR, Chen W, Lenstra JA, Goderie CR, MacHugh DE, Park SD *et al* (2017). Genetic
 origin, admixture and population history of aurochs (*Bos primigenius*) and primitive European
 cattle. *Heredity (Edinb)* 118(2): 169-176.
- 690 van Binsbergen R, Calus MP, Bink MC, van Eeuwijk FA, Schrooten C, Veerkamp RF (2015).
- 691 Genomic prediction using imputed whole-genome sequence data in Holstein-Friesian cattle.
- **692** *Genet Sel Evol* **47:** 71.

697

Yudin NS, Vasil'eva LA, Belyavskaya VA, Aitnazarov RB, Smirnov PN, Heaton M *et al*(2015). Design of a DNA panel for genomic studies in Russian cattle breeds. *Russian Journal of Genetics: Applied Research* 5(1): 21-25.

Zheng X, Levine D, Shen J, Gogarten SM, Laurie C, Weir BS (2012). A high-performance
computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* 28(24): 3326-3328.

701

702 Zinovieva NA, Dotsev AV, Sermyagin AA, Wimmers K, Reyer H, Sölkner J et al (2016).

703 Study of genetic diversity and population structure of five Russian cattle breeds using whole-

704 genome SNP analysis. *Sel'skokhozyaistvennaya Biologiya* **51**(6): 788-800.















Figure 3.



747 Figure 4.







751 Figure 6.

TITLES AND LEGENDS TO FIGURES

754	Figure 1. LD	decay plo	t of the	mean r^2	² values	for	Russian	breeds	with	>10	sampled
755	individuals.										

Figure 2. fastSTRUCTURE results for global cattle diversity and Russian breeds (YY – Yakut
cattle).

Figure 3. Individual-based neighbor-joining tree of global cattle diversity and Russian breeds.
The nodes with less than 70% bootstrap support were collapsed. Yellow – Asian cattle
(predominately *B. indicus*), green – African cattle (predominantly, taurines) blue – American
cattle, brown – European cattle, red – Russian cattle. The names of Russian and their sister
breeds from other regions are shown. In bold are names of the Russian cattle breeds shown on
the images.

Figure 4. Haplotype-sharing between the Russian and other taurine breeds for short (A, <3
Mbp) and long (B, >7 Mbp) segments.

Figure 5. Haplotype sharing between the Turano-Mongolian and all other studied breeds for short segments (<3 Mbp). Vertical lines indicate positons of *B. indicus* breeds. Sharing with the Ukrainian Grey was removed from the Yakut breed pairwise comparison due to pronounced signature of a very recent introgression from the Yakut breed, not identified for the Ukrainian Gray samples collected in Serbia. Breed names are shown for the largest number of shared haplotypes (>1.5 Mbp).

Figure 6. fastSTRUCTURE results for Russian breeds and a set of closely related world
breeds: Hereford, Braunvieh, Brown Swiss, Holstein, Red Pied Lowland, Pinzgauer, Waguy,
Hanwoo.

TABLES

775 776

Table 1. Single nucleotide polymorphism, diversity, inbreeding and effective population sizes 777

778 within the Russian cattle breeds

						No.
						sampling
Breed	No. ^a	H_e	F	P_n	MAF	locations
Ala Tau	14	0.338	-0.014	0.937	0.258	2
Bestuzhev	19	0.346	-0.048	0.955	0.265	1
Black Pied	24	0.352	-0.026	0.977	0.269	1
Buryat	24	0.340	-0.026	0.952	0.260	1
Ukrainian Grey ^b	48	0.322	-0.034	0.935	0.244	1
Hereford	9	0.313	-0.070	0.867	0.238	1
Istoben	5	0.304	-0.165	0.812	0.232	1
Kalmyk	23	0.351	-0.027	0.972	0.269	2
Kazakh Whiteheaded	20	0.339	-0.038	0.948	0.259	2
Kholmogory	34	0.343	-0.011	0.965	0.262	2
Kostroma	18	0.315	-0.040	0.900	0.238	2
Gorbatov Red	5	0.322	-0.093	0.855	0.246	2
Red Pied	2	0.271	-0.331	0.650	0.218	1
Red Steppe	4	0.323	-0.148	0.842	0.249	2
Tagil	19	0.350	-0.060	0.967	0.268	1
Ukrainian						
Whiteheaded	7	0.329	-0.066	0.889	0.252	1
Yurino	3	0.292	-0.212	0.744	0.227	1
Yakut	25	0.273	-0.039	0.818	0.205	1
Yaroslavl	19	0.336	-0.047	0.949	0.256	2
Total/Average	322	0.324	-0.079	0.891	0.248	1.42

^aNo. - number of samples, H_e - expected heterozygosity, F - mean inbreeding coefficient, P_n -779 proportion of polymorphic loci, *MAF* - minor allele frequency. 780

^bgenotypes obtained from (Boussaha et al, 2015) 781