RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the author's accepted manuscript. The final publication is available at Springer via <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s1125</u>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Practices of traditional beef farmers in their production and marketing of cattle in Zambia

AUTHORS: Mumba, C; Haesler, B; Muma, J B; Munyeme, M; Sitali, D C; Skjerve, E; Rich, K M

JOURNAL TITLE: TROPICAL ANIMAL HEALTH AND PRODUCTION

PUBLICATION DATE: 25 September 2017 (online)

PUBLISHER: Springer Verlag

DOI: 10.1007/s1125



1	Practices of Traditional Beef Farmers in their Production and Marketing of Cattle in
2	Zambia
3	
4	Chisoni Mumba ^{1, 3*} , Barbara Häsler ² , John B. Muma ¹ , Musso Munyeme ¹ , Doreen
5	Chilolo Sitali ¹ , Eystein Skjerve ³ , and Karl M. Rich ⁴
6	
7	¹ The University of Zambia, School of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Disease Control,
8	P.O. Box 32379, Lusaka, Zambia;
9	² The Royal Veterinary College, Department of Production and Population Health,
10	Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, United Kingdom:
11	³ Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology,
12	P.O. Box 8146, Oslo, Norway;
13	⁴ International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), East and Southeast Asia Regional Office,
14	Room 301-302, B1 Building, Van Phuc Diplomatic Compound, 298 Kim Ma Street, Ba Dinh
15	District, Hanoi, Viet Nam.
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Chisoni Mumba, University of Zambia, School of Veterinary
19	Medicine, Department of Disease Control, P.O. Box 32379, Lusaka, Zambia. PhD student at
20	Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology,
21	P.O. Box 8146, Oslo, Norway. Email; sulemumba@yahoo.com, Phone: +260977717258

23 ABSTRACT

24 Understanding the practices of traditional cattle farmers in developing countries is an important 25 factor in the development of appropriate, pro-poor disease control policies and in formulating 26 regional-specific production incentives that can improve productivity. This paper describes the 27 production, husbandry practices, economics, and constraints of traditional cattle farming in 28 Zambia. A cross-sectional study design was used to obtain data from traditional cattle farmers 29 (n=699) using a structured questionnaire. Data analyses were carried out using SPSS and 30 STATA statistical packages. The results revealed that the majority [65% (95% CI: 59.3-71.1)] 31 of farmers practised a transhumant cattle herding system under communal grazing. In these 32 transhumant herding systems, animal husbandry and management systems were found to be of poor quality, in terms of supplementary feeding, vaccination coverage, deworming, uptake of 33 34 veterinary services, usage of artificial insemination, and dip tanks all being low or absent. East Coast Fever was the most common disease, affecting 60% (95% CI: 56.4-63.7) of farmers. 35 36 Cattle sales were low as farmers only sold a median of two cattle per household per year. Crop farming is the main source of farm income (47%) in agro-pastoralist communities, followed by 37 cattle farming (28%) and other sources (25%). The median cost of production in the surveyed 38 39 provinces was reported at US\$316, while that of revenue from cattle and cattle products sales 40 was estimated at US\$885 per herd per year. This translates to an estimated gross margin of 41 US\$569, representing 64.3% of revenue.

There is considerable diversity in disease distribution, animal husbandry practices, economics, and challenges in traditional cattle production in different locations of Zambia. Therefore, to improve the productivity of the traditional cattle sub-sector, policy makers and stakeholders in the beef value chain must develop fit-for-purpose policies and interventions that consider these variations.

47

48 Keywords: Beef value chain; cattle keeping practices; traditional cattle farmers; Zambia.

49

50 INTRODUCTION

Zambia's beef sector encompasses both traditional and commercial sub-sectors. Traditional beef farmers are defined as farmers who mostly keep local breeds of cattle integrated with crop farming on approximately five hectares of land (World Bank 2011). The traditional sector maintains approximately 84% of the cattle population. Commercial beef farmers are defined as farmers who own large herds of mostly exotic breeds of cattle and contribute the remaining 16% (Sinkala et al. 2014). Commercial beef farms are mostly situated along rail lines on large pieces of titled land, while traditional beef farmers are scattered in rural areas, often practising
communal grazing on land held in trust by traditional leaders (Muma et al. 2011; Muuka et al.

59 2012).

60 The national beef supply relies on the willingness of traditional farmers to sell animals. 61 However, such offtakes typically only take place when financial needs arise and are 62 predominately comprised of cattle that are old or sick, or cows with reproductive problems 63 (Lubungu et al. 2015). FAO data report a significant growth in both cattle numbers (from 2.6 million head in 2005 to 4.1 million in 2014) and beef production (from just over 59,000 tons 64 65 in 2005 to over 233,000 tons in 2014), fuelled largely by economic growth linked to population growth, urbanisation, and an increase in the middle class (Steinfeld et al. 2006; World Bank, 66 67 2011). The rise in beef production and local demand have led to a decline in net imports (based on UN Comtrade data downloaded from 2007-2015, 68

69 see https://comtrade.un.org/data/), although neither exports nor imports have been particularly 70 large historically. However, much of this growth in beef production has come from the 71 commercial sector, with traditional cattle farmers at least partially excluded from these positive developments for a number of reasons (World Bank 2011). In particular, the traditional sector 72 is beset by low average slaughter weights (90-120kg), poor animal husbandry practices, and a 73 74 lack of knowledge of husbandry, animal management, and marketing systems (Muma et al. 75 2009; World Bank 2011; Lubungu et al. 2012). Most animals in the traditional sector reach 76 market weights after four to five years instead of the standard two to three years (Du Plessis 77 and Hoffman 2004). A high mortality rate, with a calf mortality rate of 20-30% and an adult 78 mortality rate of 9% further contributes to the low levels of animal productivity among 79 smallholder farmers (World Bank 2011). Regionally, neighbouring Namibia and Botswana 80 have higher productivity levels than Zambia (World Bank 2011). These countries are more 81 competitive and have even accessed high-value EU markets (Naziri et al. 2015).

82 Despite the underdevelopment of the sector, there is great potential for traditional cattle farmers to improve their production due to the wide natural resource base in Zambia (World Bank 83 2011). The Government of Zambia has targeted livestock as a critical future sustainable source 84 85 of revenue and as a major component of its export diversification agenda away from copper and towards agriculture (Anonymous 2017). However, addressing these constraints and 86 assisting the government in pushing its diversification agenda, requires an understanding of 87 88 current production, husbandry practices, animal management systems and economics of the traditional beef sub-sector in Zambia. Specifically, what are the factors that limit traditional 89

beef production? What are the production and marketing practices of traditional farmers? Are
there regional differences in practices and disease distribution that might influence and
compromise improvements in this sector?

93 Consequently, the objective of this research was to describe traditional beef production, 94 husbandry practices, economics, and constraints in Zambia. Understanding these drivers will 95 play a key role in helping the Government of Zambia and developing countries in Africa to 96 develop pro-poor animal health policies and disease control plans that take into account these 97 issues as an avenue for improving production and productivity of traditional cattle farmers.

98

99 MATERIALS AND METHODS

100 Study sites and design

The study was a cross-sectional survey with data collection carried out between September
2015 and March 2016 in four of the ten provinces of Zambia namely Southern, Western,
Central, and Eastern provinces (Figure 1). These provinces were chosen because they constitute
the main cattle producing areas of Zambia (Sinkala et al. 2014; Anonymous 2015).

105

106 Sample size

Epitools (http://epitools.ausvet.com.au/) was used to calculate the sample size. Given a total 107 108 population of 300,000 traditional cattle farmers (SNV 2012), a confidence level of 95%, estimated proportion of 50%, and desired precision of 5%, the necessary sample size was 109 110 calculated at 385 respondents, assuming random sampling. Given a design effect of two for the 111 four clusters to adjust for non-random sampling due to the geographical setup of the study area 112 (Salganik 2006), this resulted in a sample size of 770 traditional cattle farmers. Each sampling unit received an equal number of questionnaires, but provinces, where more districts were 113 purposively selected due to their larger number of cattle farmers, had more sampling units 114 115 hence recording more respondents than others.

116

117 Sampling techniques

In each province, districts with the highest number of cattle farmers were purposively selected from the provinces based on the Department of Veterinary Services' annual report (Anonymous 2015) (Table 1). In each district, the District Veterinary Office (DVO) was approached to provide a list of veterinary camps, which were accessible by road and had a large number of cattle. Veterinary camps are the smallest administrative offices in the district and 123 are manned by veterinary assistants who all report to the District Veterinary Officer (Sitali et al. 2017). These veterinary camps formed a sampling unit for the study. In each veterinary 124 125 camp, traditional cattle farmers were systematically selected (fixed periodic intervals) from the 126 different veterinary camps and structured questionnaires administered in face-to-face 127 interviews. Farmers were interviewed at abattoirs, cattle markets, district veterinary offices, 128 livestock service centres, and the floodplains where they grazed their cattle (pastoralists). This 129 was done because households are far from each other, which made it practically impossible to visit the farmers at their homes. Systematic random sampling was employed by picking every 130 131 third farmer (to meet the target number) who brought their cattle to the abattoir, livestock service centre, cattle market, and veterinary office. In the floodplain of Maala veterinary camp 132 133 where animals graze, there were only a few farmers herding large numbers of cattle, and all of 134 those present (n=15) were interviewed.

135

136 Data collection techniques

A structured questionnaire was developed to capture data on a wide array of variables related 137 to demographics, production, cost structures, and marketing. The questionnaire was pre-tested 138 139 in the Namwala district (which has the largest cattle population in Zambia) to assess the clarity, 140 strengths, and weaknesses of the questionnaire and to test whether it would obtain the intended 141 responses. The pilot-testing revealed that some items in the questionnaire were repetitive, and 142 the questionnaire was revised to improve clarity, remove repetitive questions, and reduce ambiguity, after which it was administered. The inclusion criteria for respondents were adult 143 144 male and female, above 18 years of age, with a minimum of one animal of any age group.

To ensure high-quality data collection, the veterinary assistants in all 30 selected veterinary 145 146 camps were trained as enumerators by the lead researcher and were observed interviewing at 147 least five farmers in the presence of the lead researcher before they were allowed to conduct 148 interviews on their own. Each questionnaire took an average time of 35 minutes per respondent. 149 Each enumerator was given 30 hard copies of the questionnaires and instructed to interview a maximum of five traditional cattle farmers per day to avoid rushing over the questionnaire and 150 151 disturbing their routine work schedule. Informed verbal consent consistent with Norwegian University of Life Science's policy was obtained from all respondents before interviewing 152 153 them. The interviews were carried out in English and to those who could not communicate in 154 English; the enumerators translated it to respective local languages, which included Tumbuka, 155 Lozi, Tonga, and Lenje.

157 Data management and analysis

The questionnaire data were coded and entered manually by the lead researcher into Microsoft 158 159 Excel® 2007 to standardise them and to make them amenable for further handling and analysis. 160 Questionnaires which were incomplete were removed before data entry. Incomplete 161 questionnaires were those where respondents abandoned halfway through the interview due to 162 other commitments, i.e. where \geq 50% of answers were missing. After an initial data cleanup 163 (checking the codes and respective cells for missing variables and wrong codes) in Microsoft Excel® through the use of the filter function, data were exported to SPSS (version 20, IBM 164 Analytics, Armonk, NY) and STATA (version 12, College Station, TX) for further cleanup, 165 166 removal of redundant variables and preliminary analysis using descriptive statistics. 167 Preliminary analysis revealed some errors and missing data in some cells. This necessitated a 168 further cleanup (wrong codes and transposed figures) until the data set was clean and fit for 169 further analyses.

170

171 Statistical analysis

Tabular and graphical analyses of the data were the starting point for data analyses. Frequency 172 173 tables of ordinal and nominal variables and descriptive statistical tables for scale variables were 174 generated. In all the descriptive statistics, the province was kept as a strata and demographics, 175 production, cost structures, and the market as subsets. Proportions for categorical and Kruskal-176 Wallis tests for continuous variables were used to test for statistical differences across the strata using non-overlapping of 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-values ($p \le 0.05$), respectively. 177 178 All continuous variables underwent a normality test using histograms. The median was used in place of the mean values of continuous variables that were not normally distributed. The US 179 180 dollar (US\$) was used in calculations of costs and benefits in monetary terms at the exchange 181 rate of US\$1=ZMK10, provided by the Bank of Zambia (www.boz.zm), and consistent with 182 the time period of the survey. Cost structures were tabulated using gross margin analysis and price sensitivity measured using the price elasticity of supply, which is a ratio of percentage 183 change in quantity of cattle sold to a given percentage change in price (Gallet, 2010). The price 184 185 elasticity of supply was calculated using equation 1.

186

187

188

Equation 1: Formula for calculating the price elasticity of supply.

189 Where Q1=initial quantity, Q2=final quantity, P1=initial price and P2=final price

190

191 Ethical Clearance

192 Ethical clearance consistent with Norwegian University of Life Sciences policy was obtained

193 from Excellence in Research Ethics and Science (ERES) Converge, reference number "2016-

- 194 Nov-006"
- 195

196 **RESULTS**

197 Socio-demographic characteristics

198 Table 2 summarises the sociodemographic characteristics of the traditional cattle farmers 199 interviewed. The majority of the sample comprised of males with an average age of 48 years 200 (95% CI: 46.7-48.7). The average household size was 9.51 (95% CI: 9.1-9.9). The median cattle herd size of 24 per household structured in a median of 9 males and 15 females (which 201 202 we refer to as per herd per year throughout the text). However, herd size varied across provinces with Southern and Western recording larger herd sizes than Central and Eastern provinces. 203 204 Major statistical differences across provinces were noticed in marital status, the level of 205 education, and breed of cattle (non-overlap of CI) (Table 2).

206

207 Animal Production and Management systems

208 *Cattle herding systems*

Table 3 compares cattle husbandry practices in each study region. All traditional cattle farmers in Eastern Province practised village resident (permanently in or near the village) cattle herding while the other three provinces practised both village resident cattle herding and transhumance practices (moving between the village and floodplains) cattle herding systems. A greater prevalence of transhumance practices [65.2%, (95% CI: 59.3-71.1)] was observed in Southern and Western provinces, while in the Central province, village resident herding systems prevailed [90%, (95% CI: 84.1-95.9)].

216 217

218 Supplementary feeding

Only 12.7% (95% CI: 10.3-15.2) of respondents across all provinces practiced supplementary feeding, out of which 23.3% (CI: 15.5-31.1) supplemented with hay, mostly twice a week during the dry hot season (Sept-Nov), at a median cost of US\$50 (n=25, range 8-500) per herd per year, while 65% (95% CI: 56.3-73.7) used concentrates mainly in the form of plain maize

- bran, twice a week during hot, dry season, costing a median of US\$86 (n=78, range 4-600) per
- herd per year (Table 3).
- Reasons given by traditional cattle farmers for not supplementing their cattle with feed included
- the following: 79.4% (95% CI: 76.3-83) reported that feed supplements were unaffordable,
- 227 26% (95% CI: 22.4-29) felt that the supplements were inaccessible, 12.1% (95% CI 9.4-14.8)
- did not see the advantage that comes with extra feeding, and 3.5% (95% CI 1.4-5.4) reported
- that they have enough grazing land (Table 3).
- 230

231 *Cattle breeding systems and purpose of keeping cattle*

Natural breeding was the main method of breeding across the study regions. Only Southern
Province, with 0.8% (95% CI: 0-1.1) of traditional cattle farmers, used artificial insemination
(Table 3). The median cost of natural breeding (cost of maintaining bulls) per bull per year was

US\$30 (n=396, range 1-500). The median cost of artificial insemination was reported at US\$80

- (n=3, range 50-86) per herd per year.
- The ranking through the use of median values revealed that only Southern Province reported the source of income as the main purpose of keeping cattle. However, across the three provinces the main purpose was draught power for use in cultivation of crops (1), followed by cattle being the source of income (2), source of transport in form of ox-carts (3), source of milk as the main source of protein (4), symbol of status in the village (5), source of meat (6), manure for fertilizing crop farms (7), and use of cattle as payment of dowry during marriages (8) (Table 3).

244

245 Diseases frequently affecting cattle and treatments used

Table 4 summarises a comparison of diseases reported to be frequently affecting traditional cattle farmers across study regions. The reported disease estimates were based on clinical symptoms by herdsmen. East Coast Fever (ECF) was reported to frequently occur in all provinces apart from Western. It was the most frequently occurring disease overall [60% (95% CI: 56.4-63.7)] in three provinces, reported throughout the year. Its prevalence was significantly lower in Southern Province. However, there was no significant difference between Central and Eastern provinces.

Parvaquone, Buparvaquone, and Oxytetracycline were the drugs used by traditional cattle
farmers to attempt treatment for ECF. The median cost of ECF treatment was US\$20 (n=394,

- range 2-1,000) per herd per year. The traditional cattle farmers lost a median of one animal
 (n=196, range 1-32) per year from ECF valued at US\$300 (n=193, range 40-8,000).
- Own diagnosis based on clinical symptoms was the main [65.2% (95% CI: 61.6-68.8)] method used by traditional cattle farmers to diagnose cattle diseases. This was followed by veterinary assistants [58.7% (95% CI: 55-62.4)], fellow farmers [14.4% (95% CI: 11.8-17.1), and community animal health workers (CAHW) [8.6% (95% CI: 6.4-10.7)].
- 261

262 Vaccination practices

263 Table 5 provides a summary of the comparison of vaccination practices across study regions. Countrywide, farmers were most likely to have vaccinated against Hemorrhagic Septicaemia 264 [46.2 (95% CI: 42.4-50)] and Black Quarter [64.2 (95% CI: 60.6-67.8)], although annual and 265 twice-annual vaccination rates were noticeably below reported vaccination rates. Significant 266 267 regional variations were found in vaccination coverage of different diseases. For instance, no farmers in Western or Central provinces reported vaccinating animals against ECF, while 268 269 majorities of farmers in Southern and Eastern provinces had vaccinated at least once. Likewise, 270 only farmers in Western province vaccinated against CBPP, while no farmers reported 271 vaccinating in the other three provinces also due to the absence of the disease. Other than for ECF and BQ, farmers in Eastern Province rarely if ever vaccinated for major diseases; 272 273 vaccination rates in Central Province, other than for Black Quarter (BQ), were likewise low for 274 most diseases.

- Reasons given for the general poor vaccination coverage were that: some farmer's cattle did
 not suffer from diseases that require vaccination [48.6 (95% CI: 40.1-57)]; vaccines were too
 expensive [30.1 (95% CI: 22.3-40)]; reliance on the government assuming that once the
 government vaccinated the animals against FMD, CBPP or ECF, then the vaccine covered
 cattle against all diseases [5.2 (95% CI: 3.7-6.8)]; lack of knowledge on the benefits of
- vaccination [4.8 (95% CI:3.2-6.3)]; vaccines were inaccessible in some areas [11 (95% CI: 5.7-
- 16.4)]; and some farmers believed that vaccines do not work [5.8 (95% CI: 1.8-9.7)].
- Among those who vaccinated, the median costs per herd per year of ECF was US\$12 (n=330,
- 283 range 1.5-1,200), BQ was US\$9 (n=397, range 0.6-500), HS was US\$9 (n=298, range 0.4-500),
- Anthrax US\$7.2 (n=73, range 0.8-300) and Brucellosis was US\$22.5 (n=8, range 4-50). The
- 285 Government all freely provided FMD and CBPP vaccines.
- 286

287 Helminth management

288 The majority of traditional cattle farmers [85.5 (95% CI: 82.9-88.1)] practised deworming of cattle in all four provinces. Albendazole was the most used dewormer [74.5 (95% CI: 71-78)] 289 290 mostly done twice a year [43 (95% CI: 38.8-48)] and some using it once per year [38.6 (95% 291 CI: 34.1-43.2)] in conjunction with Ivermectin [22.2 (95% CI: 19-25.7)] under a twice per year 292 deworming schedule. Other dewormers used included; Closantel [11.3 (95% CI: 8.7-13.8)], 293 Levamisole [5.8 (95% CI: 4.1-7.9)], Triclabendazole [3.6 (95% CI: 2.1-5.1)], Oxyclozanide 294 [0.7 (95% CI: 0-1.7)], Niclosamide [0.6 (95% CI: 0-1.3)], and Oxfendazole [0.7 (95% CI: 0-295 1.7)]. Among those who dewormed their cattle, the median cost per herd per year of 296 Albendazole was US\$10 (n=445, range 0.2-280), Levamisole US\$15 (n=35, range 1-650), Ivermectin US\$20 (n=135, range 1.5-400), Closantel US\$65 (n=68, range 5-900), Niclosamide 297 298 US\$8.75 (n=4, range 5-80), Triclabendazole US\$57.5 (n=22, range 3.5-6,000), Oxfendazole 299 US14 (n=4, range 09.5-50) and Nilzan US\$17 (n=12, range 5-405).

300

301 Tick management

Figure 2 summarises a comparison of tick management practices across study regions. Farmers 302 303 in Southern and Central provinces practice more dipping than Western and Eastern provinces. 304 Amitraz [81.6 (95% CI: 79.8-85.4)] was the most used acaricide across all study regions apart 305 from Eastern Province where farmers used more of Cypermethrin [18.9 (95% CI: 17.7-22.1)] 306 to control ticks. Dipping/spraying was done weekly during the rainy season [58.6 (95% CI: 307 54.1-63.1)], and monthly in the dry season [39.4 (95% CI: 22.6-40.8)]. Among those who 308 dipped/sprayed their cattle, the median cost of dipping using Amitraz was US\$40 (n=461, range 309 1-3,000) and that of Cypermethrin was US\$17.5 (n=107, range 2.5-360) per herd per year.

310

311 Farm labour and wages

Less than half of the traditional cattle farmers [42.2 (95% CI: 38.5-45.8)] employed workers to herd cattle with a median of one worker and one family member. The workers earned an average of US\$300 (n=159, range 30-4800) per year. Payment was mostly in the form of cattle [48.3 (95% CI: 42.5-54.1)] and cash [49.3 (95% CI: 43.5-55.1)] with one fully-grown animal per year in Southern and after four years in Eastern province. Therefore, in total, a worker earned US\$300 per year and one animal either per year or every four years.

318

319 *Constraints to cattle production*

When asked about constraints to cattle production, respondents mentioned high disease burden
[77.8 (95% CI: 74.9-81.1)], lack of improved breeds [58.3 (95% CI: 54.7-62)], long distances

to water points [51.5 (95% CI: 47.8-55.3)], lack of access to affordable finance [49.6 (95% CI: 45.6-53.4)], low farm gate prices [43.6 (95% CI: 40-47.4)], inadequate veterinary and
extension services [19 (95% CI: 16.1-22)], lack of quality pastures [4.4 (95% CI: 3.1-5.7)] and
stock theft [5.1 (95% CI: 3.8-6.4)].

326

327 Cattle marketing

The majority of traditional cattle farmers [91.1 (95% CI: 89-93.2)] sold cattle during the year, with a median of two cattle being sold per farmer per year in the ratio of two males to zero females, at a median price of US\$243 (n=629, range 75-520) per adult animal (Table 6). However, there were more cattle sales in Southern than other provinces.

The reasons for selling cattle were basic home needs [64.5 (95% CI: 60.7-68.2)], school fees

333 [59.4 (95% CI: 55.5-63.2)], investment into other business ventures [19.9 (95% CI: 16.7-23)],

culling due to old age and disease [4.7 (95% CI: 3.4-6)], and procurement of farm inputs for

335 crop production [2.2 (95% CI: 1.2-3.4)].

Fluctuations in supply were described with the highest numbers of cattle sold in January,
December, April, and August (Figure 3). Cattle were mostly sold to middlemen [51.5 (95% CI:
47.6-55.4)] and abattoirs [54.9 (95% CI: 51-58.8)].

339 Almost all [99.5 (95% CI: 98.9-100)] transactions received payment on a cash basis, with [79.8 340 (95% CI: 76.7-83)] of respondents receiving payment immediately, 19.7 (95% CI: 16.6-22.8) after a few days, and 4.8 (95% CI: 0-10.2) on long-term credit. About [54.7 (95% CI: 50.8-341 58.6)] of the transactions were farm gate sales, and 43.2 (95% CI: 39.3-47.7) of the farmers 342 reported trekking long distances to the market (abattoirs). More than half [69.3 (95% CI: 65.6-343 72.9)] of traditional cattle farmers felt that intermediaries pay better prices than abattoirs, while 344 30.7 (95% CI: 27.1-34.4) think that abattoirs pay better prices than intermediaries. 345 Transactional costs in cattle marketing included transport, with a median cost of US\$10 346 347 (n=283, range 1-30) per animal, police permit US\$2 (n=302, range 0.5-12) per form regardless of the number of cattle, Veterinary livestock movement permit US\$0.35 (n=239, range 0.2-5) 348 per animal, village levy US\$1 (n=112, range 0.2-8) per animal, and Council levy at US\$1 349 (n=274, range 0.5-8) per animal. The overall median transactional costs were recorded at 350 351 US\$5.49 per sale per year (Table 6).

Constraints to cattle marketing included low farm gate prices [62.9 (95% CI: 59.2-66.6)], too many levies (transactional costs) to pay [46.3 (95% CI: 42.5-50.1)], lack of access to cattle 354 markets [36.6 (95% CI: 32.9-40.3)] (34.6%), high cost of transportation to markets [39.6 (95%

355 CI: 35.9-43.4)], and poor road infrastructure [22.5 (95% CI: 19.3-25.7)].

Traditional cattle farmers selling behaviour was also not very sensitive to small price changes, 356 357 although larger price changes would incur more price elastic marketing behaviour. At 25% price increment, all of them apart from those sampled from Eastern Province said they would 358 not sell an extra animal. Given a 50% price increase, it was found that a median of one extra 359 360 animal from those sampled in Western province and two extra cattle in Eastern Province would 361 be sold. Given a 75% price increase, only a median of one extra animal from those sampled in 362 Southern Province, two in Western, and four extra cattle Eastern Province would be sold (Table 363 6). Thus, only traditional cattle farmers in Eastern and Western provinces showed some level of price sensitivity (i.e., a price elasticity over 1) and only with large hypothetical changes in 364 365 prices.

366

367 Market governance

368 None of the traditional cattle farmers belonged to a beef association or cooperative dealing in 369 beef production and marketing because they were none existent in all four provinces. About 370 half of the traditional cattle farmers [53.6 (95% CI: 50-57.4)] felt that they had the power to bargain with intermediaries based on body size and condition of cattle. Information 371 372 dissemination on new developments in the cattle market was reported to occur mostly through middlemen [58.3 (95% CI: 54.6-62.1)], processors [18.5 (95% CI: 15.6-21.4)], fellow 373 374 traditional cattle farmers [13.6 (95% CI: 11-16.2)], and veterinary offices [3.3 (95% CI: 1.9-375 4.6)]. Lack of beef cooperatives [79.5 (95% CI: 76-88.2)] and lack of government support [75.8 376 (95% CI: 72.5-79)] were major constraints to information dissemination.

377

378 Traditional cattle farmer production costs, revenue and sources of income

Table 7 summarises the cost structure for traditional cattle farmers. Cattle enterprises were 379 more profitable in Southern than the other provinces. The median cost of production for all the 380 provinces was reported at US\$316 (denominator for all the costs), while that of revenue from 381 cattle and cattle products sales was estimated at US\$885 per herd per year. This translates to 382 383 the estimated gross margin of US\$569, representing 64.3% of the revenue. However, the main source of income for traditional cattle farmers across all provinces was crop farming (47%), 384 385 followed by cattle farming (28%) and 25% from other sources which included rent, shop for groceries, piece work, small livestock, fishing, timber trading and small businesses such as 386

selling charcoal and bricks (Table 6). The average monthly income from all sources wasreported at US\$211.

389

390 DISCUSSION

Assessing the state of the Zambian traditional cattle industry, husbandry practices, economics and limitations will identify key policy leverage points on which to develop more effective interventions for the traditional cattle sector. This study highlighted the significant variation in cattle herding systems, husbandry practices, animal management, and marketing systems in different study regions in Zambia. This diversity influences the types of policies that are suitable in different regions and suggests that a "one-size-fits-all" approach would be inappropriate to improve the sector.

The importance of cattle production among traditional cattle farmers varied in all the study 398 399 regions. Apart from Southern Province, rain-fed crop farming is the predominant economic 400 activity in all agro-pastoralist communities. Therefore, draught power is the most important 401 reason for keeping cattle in these communities so that they can produce more crops. Overall, 402 cattle farming was the second source of income for traditional cattle farmers. This is consistent 403 with the finding of Grace et al. (2009) who observed that animal traction was the main purpose of keeping cattle in the cotton zone of West Africa. This finding has an implication on 404 405 improving the productivity of the traditional beef sub-sector. For instance, farmers from the 406 regions where cattle keeping is the most important activity (Southern Province) were found to 407 be more likely to invest in animal health and practice good animal husbandry practices 408 compared to those where it is not. This could also be the reason why traditional cattle farmers 409 seem to be less concerned with productivity and so thus would be willing to keep low productive cattle in their herds. Moll (2005) argues that there seems to be a divergent 410 411 perspective between traditional cattle farmers and policy makers, where the latter are interested in improving productivity, and the former are not. This hampers the development of effective 412 413 livestock policies. From these findings, we argue that a solution to effective policies should 414 consider the variation in mindsets associated with keeping cattle in different agro-economic 415 zones and provide suitable investment opportunities that we have suggested in the concluding 416 remarks.

That cattle take secondary importance in income generation is also a major challenge in developing pro-poor disease control approaches (reducing vulnerability) without changing the perception and mindset that farmers can make more money if they engaged in beef enterprise. 420 Survey results revealed that traditional farmers reported high disease burden as one of the major 421 hindrances to cattle production. Two key diseases of National Economic Importance, FMD and 422 CBPP (Hamoonga et al. 2014; Sinkala et al. 2014) and two management diseases, Anthrax and 423 ECF (Muuka et al. 2014; Sitali et al. 2017) were all reported in this study with significant 424 regional variation. Currently, the government only provides ECF immunisation in Southern 425 and Eastern provinces where the disease is endemic (Mubamba et al. 2011). However, these 426 results demonstrate that the prevalence in Central Province where the disease was initially 427 absent (Makungu and Mwacalimba 2014) is equally high. Therefore, our findings imply that similar immunisation programs must be initiated in Central Province. However, the findings 428 demonstrated low uptake of vaccination practices in study regions. This could be due to lack 429 of incentives to vaccinate cattle. To improve uptake of husbandry practices, 430 431 veterinary/extension services, etc., improving the institutional environment characterised by 432 functioning, accessible markets for products, production factors, finance, and insurance is 433 needed.

434 Despite increasing numbers of ECF cases, there is little effort by the Government because ECF 435 is listed as a management disease (occurring due to poor management), and thus the 436 responsibility of the farmer to manage it. Despite the importance of ECF cited by farmers, 437 government efforts have focused more on diseases of national economic importance, with FMD 438 topping the list due to the overall impact on trade. However, farmers do not recognise FMD to 439 be an important disease because it does not have much impact on production as the disease 440 comes periodically with high morbidity but low mortalities (Hamoonga et al. 2014). Some 441 authors argue that FMD control is not pro-poor as it benefits commercial interests that are 442 involved in international trade (Perry and Grace 2009). Thus, concentrating more on FMD while neglecting ECF control in a developing country with limited potential for export in the 443 444 near future is not necessarily a good strategy for improving cattle productivity (Perry and Rich 2007). 445

Our study found that own disease diagnosis based on symptoms was the most common method of diagnosing disease across the study regions, and thus traditional cattle farmers would attempt treatment using antibiotics regardless of the diagnosis. This signifies the low uptake of veterinary services by traditional cattle farmers. This is in agreement with Chilonda and Van Huylenbroeck (2001) who highlighted low uptake of veterinary services to be one of the major challenges to disease control. A study by Grace et al. (2009) in West Africa also found similar results, which shows that the practice is common not only in Zambia but Africa in general. 453 This is probably due to lack of accessibility and availability of veterinary and extension 454 services in rural areas, or where veterinary services are accessible, lack of transport, or indeed 455 the prohibitive cost of veterinary farm visits (World Bank 2011). This finding also relates back 456 to the lack of commercial orientation towards cattle production in different regions where even 457 if veterinary/extension services were available, farmers would still opt for cheaper options 458 (own diagnosis). We believe that this practice promotes spread of infectious diseases because, 459 by the time the relevant authorities establish a correct diagnosis, the disease would have already 460 spread to other farmers in communal grazing areas. This is particularly problematic for diseases 461 of epidemic characteristics and compromises disease control efforts at a national level.

462 Lack of access to disease diagnostic facilities is a big challenge for traditional cattle farmers in remote areas of Zambia and other low-income countries hence depending on own diagnosis 463 464 based on clinical symptoms which may not be accurate (McNerney 2015). Even veterinary assistants in these rural areas do not have access to diagnostic services and simply depend on 465 466 clinical symptoms to diagnose disease. Diagnostic laboratories in Zambia are poorly resourced 467 and sparsely distributed. To improve access to diagnostics, further research must investigate 468 the possibility of using tests that do not require laboratory support, including rapid tests for use 469 at the point-of-care in rural areas where traditional cattle producers are found.

470 There was also variation in the economics of the traditional cattle subsector across study 471 regions. There were more cattle sales in Southern than the other provinces even though crop 472 sales contributed equally to annual household income. The major source of income for 473 traditional cattle farmers in Western Province was neither cattle nor crops sales, but other 474 sources. This is because the Zambezi floodplain, where most of the cattle in Western Province 475 are kept, is marginally suitable for crop production (Moll 2005). However, crop and cattle sales 476 together contribute half of the annual household income in Western province. More than half 477 of the farmers reported low farm gate prices to be one of the constraints to cattle marketing, 478 but the study findings for price sensitivity analysis revealed that cattle price increase alone (as 479 an incentive) does not currently drive the desire to sell more cattle. Only traditional cattle 480 farmers in Eastern Province were relatively responsive to cattle price changes, and mainly to 481 large changes in price. Interestingly, farmers in Southern Province are not as price responsive 482 as farmers in other regions despite their greater market orientation. This is because culturally, 483 keeping large cattle herds is more important for social status in Southern compared to Eastern 484 province in Zambia (Randolph et al. 2007). These findings on marketing behaviour could be 485 due to a lack of investment opportunities and a lack of a culture of banking and investment.

However, with regards to the poor culture of banking among traditional cattle farmers, Molle et al. (2007) argues that in unstable economies of developing countries, the value of money is quickly lost through inflation as opposed keeping money through cattle. However, this does not hold under poor husbandry practices where the risk of animal death from disease and undernutrition is high. Thus, cattle are a source of savings, but an imperfect one.

491 The most common source of study bias in low-income countries is geographical sampling bias 492 due to poor road infrastructure in rural areas where the target population resides (Syfert et al. 493 2013). In the study, the sample population was for the entire country, but sampling was only 494 conducted in four regions. As stated earlier, this was because the four study regions hold 90% 495 of the traditional cattle farmers in Zambia (Sinkala et al. 2014), which meant that the study was 496 representative of the country even though excluding the 10% from other regions could be a 497 source of bias. There were some traditional cattle farmers who could not be accessible by road. 498 This could also be the source of bias due to the geographical distribution of farmers. Furthermore, a significant number of questionnaires (n=55) were removed due to 499 500 incompleteness which could have probably led to the skewness of data. The study attempted to 501 reduce biases through systematic random sampling and to double the sample size (design 502 effect). A simple systematic random sampling together with doubling the sample size 503 effectively reduces geographical sampling biases even though it is costly (Salganik 2006; 504 Fourcade et al. 2014).

A major limitation of the study was that estimates of disease prevalence were based on the clinical symptoms by traditional cattle farmers, which we did not compare with veterinary diagnoses. However, the estimates gave us an idea of the current situation and provided a basis for comparison in the study regions. Further research studies must make this comparison to come up with accurate disease prevalence in respective regions.

In conclusion, our results reveal considerable diversity in disease distribution, animal 510 511 husbandry practices, economics, and challenges in cattle production and marketing in different 512 locations of Zambia. This variation suggests that policy makers need to develop cost effective, 513 fit-for-purpose interventions that are grounded within their regional context. For instance, in 514 Southern and to some extent Eastern Province where cattle play a major role in income 515 generation, traditional cattle farmers can be more easily organised in groups to improve market 516 coordination with other downstream actors, increasing farm income but also raising incentives 517 to control disease and use better animal husbandry practices. Group sales via cooperatives 518 could also facilitate linkages with formal financial institutions that will allow farmers to

519 save/insure/grow their wealth in a less risky fashion. Due to the proximity to high-value markets in Lusaka and Copperbelt provinces, cattle marketing is less of a problem in Central 520 521 province, but such areas have a high prevalence of ECF. Thus, to improve productivity in Central Province, vaccination against ECF must be initiated as in Eastern and Southern 522 523 provinces, with greater attention given to its national control. Western province has a 524 comparative advantage in livestock due to the vast Zambezi floodplain, but other businesses 525 like timber trading and fishing seem to take preference in income generation. Improved 526 awareness, veterinary/ extension services, and market access could all help in seeing this region 527 achieve its potential.

528

529 Acknowledgements

530 This work was supported by Capacity Building in Zoonotic Diseases Management using the

531 Integrated Approach to Ecosystems Health at Human-Livestock-Wildlife Interface in Eastern

and Southern Africa (NORHED CAPAZOMANINTECO, Grant Number UGA/13003/1)

533

534 Conflict of Interest

535 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

537 **REFERENCES**

- Anonymous, 2015. Government Republic of Zambia. Department of Veterinary Services
 Annual Report. Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock., (Lusaka, Zambia)
- Anonymous, 2017. Government Republic of Zambia. Seventh National Development Plan
 2017-2021. Ministry of National Development Planning, (Lusaka, Zambia)
- 542 Chilonda, P. and Van Huylenbroeck, G., 2001. Attitude towards and Uptake of Veterinary
 543 Services by Small-Scale Cattle Farmers in Eastern Province, Zambia. Outlook on
 544 Agriculture., 30, 213–218
- Du Plessis, I. and Hoffman, L.C., 2004. Effect of chronological age of beef steers of different
 maturity types on their growth and carcass characteristics when finished on natural
 pastures in the arid sub-tropics of South Africa. South African Journal of Animal Sciences,
 34, 1–12
- Fourcade, Y., Engler, J.O., Rödder, D., Secondi, J. and Brooks, T., 2014. Mapping Species
 Distributions with MAXENT Using a Geographically Biased Sample of Presence Data:
 A Performance Assessment of Methods for Correcting Sampling Bias. PLoS ONE, 9,
 e97122
- Gallet, C.A., 2010. Meat meets meta: A quantitative review of the price elasticity of meat.
 American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 92, 258–272
- Grace, D., Randolph, T., Affognon, H., Dramane, D., Diall, O. and Clausen, P.-H., 2009.
 Characterisation and validation of farmers' knowledge and practice of cattle
 trypanosomosis management in the cotton zone of West Africa. Acta Tropica, 111, 137–
 143
- Hamoonga, R., Stevenson, M.A., Allepuz, A., Carpenter, T.E. and Sinkala, Y., 2014. Risk
 factors for foot-and-mouth disease in Zambia, 1981-2012. Preventive Veterinary
 Medicine, 114, 64–71
- Lubungu, M., Chapoto, A. and Tembo, G., 2012. Smallholder Farmers Participation in
 Livestock Markets: The Case of Zambian Farmers.,
- Lubungu, M., Sitko, N.J. and Hichaambwa, M., 2015. Analysis of Beef Value Chain in
 Zambia : Challenges and Opportunities of Linking Smallholders to Markets., (Lusaka,
 Zambia)

- Makungu, C. and Mwacalimba, K.K., 2014. A quantitative risk assessment of bovine
 theileriosis entering Luapula Province from Central Province in Zambia via live cattle
 imports from traditional and commercial production sectors. Preventive Veterinary
 Medicine, 116, 63–74
- 571 McNerney, R., 2015. Diagnostics for Developing Countries. Diagnostics, 5, 200–209
- Moll, H.A.J., 2005. Costs and benefits of livestock systems and the role of market and
 nonmarket relationships. Agricultural Economics, 32, 181–193
- Moll, H.A.J., Staal, S.J. and Ibrahim, M.N.M., 2007. Smallholder dairy production and
 markets: A comparison of production systems in Zambia, Kenya and Sri Lanka.
 Agricultural Systems, 94, 593–603
- Mubamba, C., Sitali, J. and Gummow, B., 2011. Trends of selected cattle diseases in eastern
 Zambia between 1988 and 2008. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 101, 163–172
- Muma, J.B., Munyeme, M., Samui, K.L., Siamudaala, V., Oloya, J., Mwacalimba, K. and
 Skjerve, E., 2009. Mortality and commercial off-take rates in adult traditional cattle of
 Zambia. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 41, 783–789
- Muma, J.B., Pandey, G.S., Munyeme, M., Mumba, C., Mkandawire, E. and Chimana, H.M.,
 2011. Brucellosis among smallholder cattle farmers in Zambia. Tropical Animal Health
 and Production, 44, 915–920
- Muuka, G., Songolo, N., Kabilika, S., Hang'ombe, B.M., Nalubamba, K.S. and Muma, J.B.,
 2012. Challenges of controlling contagious bovine pleuropneumonia in sub-Saharan
 Africa: a Zambian perspective. Tropical animal health and production, 45, 9–15
- Muuka, G.M., Chikampa, W., Mundia, C., Bounavoglia, D., Pini, A. and Scacchia, M., 2014.
 Recent observations on site reactions in cattle to vaccination against contagious bovine
 pleuropneumonia (CBPP) using T1/44 vaccine in Zambia. Tropical Animal Health and
 Production, 46, 481–483
- Naziri, D., Rich, K.M. and Bennett, B., 2015. Would a Commodity-based Trade Approach
 Improve Market Access for Africa? A Case Study of the Potential of Beef Exports from
 Communal Areas of Namibia. Development Policy Review, 33, 195–219
- Perry, B. and Grace, D., 2009. The impacts of livestock diseases and their control on growth
 and development processes that are pro-poor. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
 Society B: Biological Sciences, 364, 2643–2655

- Perry, B.D. and Rich, K.M., 2007. Poverty impacts of foot-and-mouth disease and the poverty
 reduction implications of its control. The Veterinary record, 160, 238–241
- Randolph, T.F., Schelling, E., Grace, D., Nicholson, C.F., Leroy, J.L., Cole, D.C., Demment,
 M.W., Omore, A., Zinsstag, J. and Ruel, M., 2007. Invited review: Role of livestock in
 human nutrition and health for poverty reduction in developing countries. Journal of
 animal science, 85, 2788–800
- Salganik, M.J., 2006. Variance Estimation, Design Effects, and Sample Size Calculations for
 Respondent-Driven Sampling. Journal of Urban Health, 83, 98–112
- Sinkala, Y., Simuunza, M., Pfeiffer, D.U., Munang, H.M., Mulumba, M., Kasanga, C.J.,
 Muma, J.B. and Mweene, A.S., 2014. Challenges and Economic Implications in the
 Control of Foot and Mouth Disease in Sub-Saharan Africa : Lessons from the Zambian
 Experience. Veterinary Medicine International, 2014, 12
- Sitali, D.C., Mumba, C., Skjerve, E., Mweemba, O., Kabonesa, C., Mwinyi, M.O.,
 Nyakarahuka, L. and Muma, J.B., 2017. Awareness and attitudes towards anthrax and
 meat consumption practices among affected communities in Zambia: A mixed methods
 approach. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 11, e0005580
- SNV, 2012. Assessment of Opportunities for Improving Dairy Production, Marketing, and
 Adoption of Good Animal Husbandry Practices within Traditional Cattle Keeping areas
 of Zambia, (Lusaka, Zambia)
- 617 Steinfeld, H., Wassenaar, T. and Jutzi, S., 2006. Livestock production systems in developing
 618 countries: status, drivers, trends. Scientific and Technical Review of the Office
 619 International des Epizooties, 25, 505–516
- Syfert, M.M., Smith, M.J., Coomes, D.A., Meagher, T. and Roberts, D., 2013. The Effects of
 Sampling Bias and Model Complexity on the Predictive Performance of MaxEnt Species
 Distribution Models. PLoS ONE, 8, e55158
- World Bank, 2011. What Would it Take for Zambia's Beef and Dairy Industries to achievetheir Potential ? Finance and Private Sector Development Unit, Africa Region,
- 625