1 The effects of artificial rearing and fostering on the growth, carcass and meat quality of

- 2 lambs
- 3

4 Samantha J. Ward ^{a1}, Marimar Campo^b and Guiomar Liste^{a2}

5 ^a Animal Welfare & Management, Moulton College, West Street, Moulton, Northampton NN3 7RR,

6 UK.

^b Department of Animal Production and Food Science, University of Zaragoza, Miguel Servet 177,
50013, Zaragoza, Spain.

9 ¹ Present address: Animal, Rural & Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University,
 10 Brackenhurst Campus, NG1 4BU, UK.

² Present address: Animal Behaviour, Welfare and Ethics Group, Royal Veterinary College,
 Hawkshead Campus, Hatfield, AL9 7TA, UK.

13 Corresponding author: Dr. Guiomar Liste. glisteruiz@rvc.ac.uk

14

15 Abstract

16 Lamb rearing is a key point to ensure good quality at the end of the production cycle. Fostering and 17 artificial rearing are techniques commonly used when natural rearing is compromised. However, 18 there is a lack of research investigating their impact on the product's quality, especially when 19 lambs are slaughtered late, around 6 months of age. The current study investigated the effects of 20 artificially reared and fostered lambs on growth, carcass and meat quality. The three foster 21 methods under study were birth fluids, cervical stimulation combined with birth fluids and restraint. 22 Animals were weaned at 3 months of age, and processed at 6 months of age. Artificially reared 23 lambs presented lower weight gains than ewe reared ones at young ages. They also presented 24 worse conformation scores at the processing plant. No differences could be found for growth rates, 25 carcass or meat quality among the foster methods tested.

27 Keywords

28 Lamb; Fostering; Artificial rearing; Carcass quality; Meat quality.

29

30 1. Introduction

31 In 2013, the world's production of sheep meat surpassed 8.589 million tonnes, with Europe 32 producing around 1.130 million tonnes (FAOSTATS, 2016). In the specific case of the English lamb 33 industry, it has been estimated that only 54% of lambs reach acceptable market requirements 34 (EBLEX 2007). Subsequently, if the UK produces around 289,000 tonnes of sheep meat per year 35 (FAOSTATS, 2016) this could theoretically indicate that a large amount of meat could be 36 potentially wasted because of lambs not reaching acceptable market requirements. An important 37 aspect to ensure that lambs reach an acceptable market standard is the proper care from birth and 38 appropriate use of husbandry techniques (Diaz et al., 2002; Chestnutt, 1994). A key step during 39 lamb rearing is weaning, and its effects (early vs. late) on carcass and meat quality have been 40 widely studied (Cañeque et al., 2000; Napolitano et al., 2002; Norouzian and Valizadeh, 2011; Ekiz 41 et al., 2012). In general, late weaning is associated with better growth rates and conformation 42 scores but regarding meat quality no significant effects have been usually reported. These effects 43 on growth and carcass quality are traditionally linked to the physiological changes needed to switch 44 from a liquid to a solid food source and its repercussions in overall intake (Cañeque et al., 2000). 45 There is also extensive literature on the effects that artificial vs. maternal rearing has on lambs at 46 weaning (Napolitano et al., 2006; Norouzian and Valizadeh, 2011). Most studies conclude 47 suggesting that initial weight differences at weaning can be found, but with no lasting effects on 48 carcass characteristics at time of slaughter. Some other studies though (Lanza et al., 2006; Vicenti 49 et al., 2004) have reported significant differences on carcass weight, meat colour and fat contents 50 with lambs fed on ewe milk being heavier, with lighter meat colour and lower fat content. 51 Conversely, Napolitano et al., (2002) reported that the artificially-reared lambs produced better 52 quality meat than lambs receiving ewe milk (significantly higher carcass yield percentage, second 53 grade cut percentage and significantly less leg fat percentage). Fostering is a rearing technique

54 commonly used within the lamb industry worldwide. However, there is a lack of research 55 investigating its impact on the quality of the resulting products, especially in production systems 56 where lambs are slaughtered late, around 140-180 days old, such as the UK industry.

57 The current study investigated the differences between artificially reared (AR) and fostered lambs 58 on growth and live morphometric indices, carcass quality and meat quality. The three foster 59 methods under study were birth fluids (BF), cervical stimulation combined with birth fluids (CSBF) 60 and restraint (R). The present paper was part of a larger study investigating the effects of different 61 foster methods on the welfare of ewes, where R ewes presented higher cortisol levels and lowered 62 maternal behaviours representing compromised welfare (Ward et al. in preparation). These rearing 63 treatments were compared to control ewes raising their own twins in a commercial setting where 64 lambs were weaned at 3 months but kept grazing until slaughter at 6 months of age. If differences 65 were still present among treatments at the time of slaughter this could mean long-term implications 66 of distress early in life, implications that could not be resolved by a long compensatory post-67 weaning period. If foster methods such as R, detrimental to ewe welfare as mentioned above, were 68 to affect lamb performance as well, they should be considered not suitable and their use 69 discouraged.

70 2. Materials and Methods

71 2.1. Animals

Moulton College Sheep Farm (Northampton, UK) is home to approximately 1000 North Country mule ewes. 84 ewes in total were monitored during two lambing seasons (spring 2009 and 2010) for the current experiment. Experimental ewes were multiparous (n = 48) or primiparous (n = 36). A total of 180 North Country mule lambs, of both genders (97 females and 83 males), classified as natal (raised by their own mother), alien (fostered) or AR (bottle fed) were included in the current experiment.

78 2.2. Housing and husbandry

Ewes were naturally mated and grazed outdoors until approximately four weeks prior to lambing.
They were then housed together in large covered sheds according to their pregnancy scan results,
creating three groups: singles, twins or triplets. At lambing, ewes were left on their own unless

82 difficulties arose, in which case an experienced shepherd aided delivery. Each ewe and its lamb/s 83 were relocated to an individual pen (1.52m x 1.14m) within four hours from delivery time, where 84 interference from other ewes was avoided and maternal bonds could be better established. After 85 four hours in the individual pen, the lambs were routinely checked and treated (ear tagging and 86 treatment of the navels and watery mouth). The fostered and AR lambs were selected from ewes 87 giving birth to triplets. A triplet was allocated a foster dam from the group giving birth to single 88 lambs and housed in an individual pen; the fostering method was then applied choosing among the 89 three treatments to be tested (see Table 1). For the R treatment, special restraint pens measuring 90 1.20mx1.10m were used.

91 2.3. Treatments

92 Foster was performed immediately after the single-bearing ewe delivered her lamb, if any recent 93 triplets were available. Weights at birth and lamb gender were allocated to try and create the most 94 homogeneous treatment groups possible, but as the work was carried out in commercial facilities 95 these confounding effects could not be completely balanced. The treatment groups were classified 96 as birth fluids foster (BF, N=48 lambs); cervical stimulation combined with birth fluids foster (CSBF, 97 N=24 lambs), restrained foster (R, N=48 lambs), AR lambs (N=12 lambs) and the control group 98 (N=48 lambs). Only successful fosters were included in the study, as the purpose was to 99 investigate the long term implications of different fostering techniques. BF treatment (from 12 100 primiparous and 12 multiparous ewes, 48 lambs in total) consisted in coating the alien lamb with 101 the birth fluids from the single lamb-bearing fostering ewe. CSBF treatment (from 12 multiparous 102 ewes only, 24 lambs in total) involved the shepherd gently pushing his hand into the cervix of the 103 single-lamb bearing fostering ewe and simulating contractions by opening and closing the hand at 104 ten seconds intervals. Then the shepherd coated the alien lamb using the foster ewe' birth fluids. 105 Due to the fragile nature of primiparous ewes CSBF was not performed on them. R treatment (from 106 12 primiparous and 12 multiparous ewes, 48 lambs in total), was conducted in specific pens where 107 the ewes heads were locked outside by the neck between vertical bars. The lambs were then 108 placed inside the pen, behind the ewe's head. The restraint pens enable the ewes to lie down, 109 stand and have access to food and water. However they inhibit its ability to look and sniff at the 110 lambs. The ewes were left in the restraint pens for a maximum of 5 days, depending on their level 111 of acceptance of the lamb and the lambs' ability to successfully feed. These three fostering 112 methods were selected for the experiment because it was previously found that they were the most 113 commonly used by UK farmers (Ward et al., 2011). A random sample of 24 ewes (12 primiparous 114 and 12 multiparous, 48 lambs in total) were selected from the group of ewes scanned as twins and 115 used as controls. AR lambs (n=12) were placed in a lambing pen provided with a heat lamp and 116 were initially force fed natural cow colostrum sourced from the Moulton College dairy unit using a 117 stomach tube. Subsequent feedings took place every three hours with warm artificial milk powder 118 (Lamb Force ewe milk replacer, Downland®; Carlisle, UK) mixed at 20g of milk powder for 250ml 119 of warm water. Each lamb was fed from individual bottles at a rate of 50ml per kg of body weight. 120 This milk powder contained 23% oils, 23% protein and 8% ash, and it was enriched with vitamins 121 A, D₃, E and Selenium. Bottle feeding occurred individually, with three persons (two stockpersons 122 and one researcher) taking turns to bottle feed the 12 AR lambs every three hours for their first 2 123 weeks of life (during the lambing season). The authors acknowledge that this technique is 124 potentially less adequate to feed AR lambs than ad libitum feeding through automatic equipment, 125 but it is still a common occurrence in small to medium UK farms and thus valid to be studied. 126 These lambs were weaned (all milk supplements removed) at 3 months of age as the other 127 experimental lambs.

128 After three days, experimental ewes and lambs were identified with spray markers and relocated 129 into group mothering pens of approximately 400m². These pens were also covered areas within 130 the lambing sheds which housed up to 10 ewes and their lambs. When lambs were at least one 131 week old, ewes and lambs were taken to the surrounding fields remaining in outdoor grass 132 pastures with supplementary feeding of lamb creep pellets. At around three months of age, all 133 experimental lambs were weaned, and kept grazing in the same fields without their dams until 134 approximately six months of age when they were sent to slaughter. The trial was approved by the 135 ethical committee at Moulton College and the University of Northampton and followed the ARRIVE 136 guidelines where necessary. As the animals were not subjected to stressful manipulations other 137 than those included in routine farm rearing, no other licenses or permits were needed for the study.

139 Pre-Slaughter data

140 All lambs were weighed and measured on their date of birth (day 0) and then on days 7, 30, 90 and 141 180. These days were chosen as coincident with relevant husbandry procedures. Day 7 referred to 142 the weight/measures before the lambs were put out to pasture. Day 30 coincided with the routine 143 medication for the lambs (fly-strike and prophylactic endoparasitic treatment). Day 90 represented 144 weight/measures at weaning. Finally, day 180 corresponded to weight/measures of the finished 145 lambs, on the day prior to slaughter. Weights were taken using a digital spring balance (Portable 146 Electronic Scale, OCS-1, London, UK) with the lambs placed in a bucket until they reached 20kg 147 (30 days of age). Salter Brecknell® LS300 (Brecknell®, West Midlands, UK) weighting scales were 148 used for 90 and 180 day weights. Daily weight gain was calculated for the first week of life (period 149 1), between day 8 and 30 (period 2), between day 31 and 90 (period 3) and from day 91 to 180 150 days, when lambs were slaughtered (period 4). Average daily gain for the whole experiment was 151 also calculated. Additional morphometric measurements were taken including external body length 152 (BL; base of neck to beginning of tail), torso length (TL; shoulder to ischium), height at shoulder 153 (HS; floor to shoulder), rump length (RL; Ilium to ischium), rump width (RW; left ilium to right ilium), 154 chest depth (CD; largest depth of ribs at shoulder) and chest circumference (C). BL, TL, HS, RL 155 and C were taken using a measuring tape and a calliper was used for RW and CD. Several indices 156 were calculated from the measures taken: relative torso depth (RTD = (CD/HS) x 100), pelvic index 157 (PEI = (RW/RL) x 100), transversal pelvic index (TPI = (RW/HS) x 100), longitudinal pelvic index 158 (LPI = (RL/HS) x 100), body index (BI = (TL/C) x 100), relative shortness index (RSI = (TL/HS) x 159 100), compactness index (CI = (weight/BL) x 100), relative weight index (RWI = (weight/HS) x 100) 160 and proportionality index (PRI =(TL/HS) x 100). All indices were calculated for each 161 weighing/measuring day (day 0, 7, 30, 90 and 180).

162 Post-Slaughter data

Joseph Morris abattoir and butchery (EU approved; South Kilworth, Leicestershire, UK) was selected to minimise travelling distance. The average journey length was 35 minutes for all experimental lambs. On the day of slaughter, animals were loaded at 05.00 am into an lfor Williams® DP120 (model: 10'x6' H/R) livestock trailer. On arrival to the abattoir, the animals were unloaded into the lairage area which consisted of concrete flooring with solid metal separation gates between pens of approximately 8.6 m². Animals were housed in their travelling groups and remained in this area for 30 minutes. The lambs were slaughtered using electrical stunning then bled out immediately. Carcasses were kept in the abattoir's cold storage room at 4°C for 24h after processing.

172 On the day of slaughter, conformation and fatness scores were recorded using the EUROP system 173 on the experimental carcasses at the end of the processing line. Conformation was graded as E, 174 U, R, O or P, where E was classified as excellent and P classified as poor. Fatness was graded as 175 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 where a grade 1 was very lean and grade 5 was very fat. An additional set of carcass 176 measurements were taken to assess carcass quality, including chest width (Wr; widest carcass 177 measurement at the ribs), chest depth (Th; maximum distance between the sternum and back of 178 the carcass at the sixth thoracic vertebra), buttock length (G; widest buttock measurement in a 179 horizontal plane), leg length (F; length from perineum to distal edge of the tarsus) and internal 180 carcass length (L; length from cranial edge of the pelvic symphysis to the cranial edge of the first 181 rib). Th, Wr and G were measured using a calliper and a measuring tape was used for L and F. 182 Two carcass conformation indices were also calculated: chest roundness index (Wr/Th x 100) and 183 buttock/leg index (G/F). Ultimate pH was assessed 24h post-mortem at the Longissimus dorsi 184 lumborum level using a 507 spear tip electrode with portable pH meter (Crison®, Barcelona, 185 Spain). Cold carcass weights (CCW) were also recorded at this point and carcass compactness 186 (CCW/L x 100) and commercial dressing indices (CCW/slaughter weight x 100) were calculated.

187 The lambs were then butchered and the left loin (Longisimus dorsi thoracis et lumborum) was 188 removed and taken to the laboratory at Moulton College without breaking the cold chain (by placing 189 samples inside Styrofoam boxes and covering them with ice packs). Once at the laboratory, a slice 190 of the loin, approximately 3cm wide, was separated for the colour measures while a second piece, 191 of a minimum of 30g, was also separated for the water holding capacity (WHC) assessment. Both 192 pieces were placed into polystyrene boxes, covered with O2 permeable film and stored in the fridge 193 at 4°C for a further 24 hours. Colour readings were measured at 36 hours post-mortem (including 194 24h of blooming) with a MINOLTA® colorimeter (model: CR-200b) to measure lightness (L*), 195 redness (a*) and yellowness (b*) of the meat samples in the CIEL*a*b* space, with an Illuminant

196 D65 and a 10° observer. Each sample was measured three times and an average score was 197 calculated for each parameter. WHC was also measured 36 hours *post-mortem* and was 198 expressed as the percentage (%) of expelled juice after compression, using the Grau and Hamm 199 Method as outlined by Beriain et al., (2000).

200 2.5. Statistical analysis

201 SPSS[®] version 17 was used for the statistical analysis of all data, which proved to be normally 202 distributed. Mean daily weight gains for the ewe-reared lambs were analysed using general linear 203 models with lamb origin (natal/alien), foster method (BF or R) and ewe experience (primiparous or 204 multiparous) as independent variables. Rearing type (ewe-reared, fostered or artificially-reared, 205 compared to controls) was analysed using Kruskal Wallis tests due to the unequal group sizes 206 between the AR, controls and pooled ewe-reared data (for the three foster treatments). 207 Investigations were performed separately for each time period. Conformation and fatness scores 208 were converted into numerical data for statistical analysis (assigning numbers from 1, for P-, up to 209 15, for E+, regarding conformation scores, and again numbers from 1, for 1-, up to 15, for 5+, 210 regarding fatness scores). Carcass and meat quality parameters including CCW, conformation, 211 fatness, commercial dressing, chest roundness index, buttock/leg index, carcass compactness 212 index, ultimate pH, WHC and colour (L*, a*, b*), were also analysed using a general linear model 213 with the foster method, ewes' experience and lamb origin as independent variables. Rearing type 214 was again analysed using a Kruskal Wallis test with the inclusion of data for artificially reared 215 lambs, fostered lambs and controls.

216

217 **3. Results**

218 3.1. Pre-Slaughter data

Given the lack of statistically relevant differences among foster methods for any of the variables analyzed, results are presented grouped as one general foster treatment. There was a significant rearing effect on daily weight gain on period 1, period 2 and period 3 with lambs reared by ewes gaining significantly more weight than AR lambs (P<0.001 for periods 1 and 2, and P<0.05 for period 3). However, period 4 did not show any significant differences between ewe and AR lambs

224 (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows the results for the live morphometric measurements and indices when 225 comparing ewe-reared (foster and control) vs. AR lambs. No significant differences were found in 226 any of the indices at 180 days as expected, most likely due to the compensatory growth occurring 227 after weaning within the commercial long-rearing system. However, significant differences were 228 found in all indices at some stage within the rearing period with ewe-reared lambs showing 229 significantly better indices. When considering the effect of fostering, there were no differences in 230 daily weight gain or live morphometric measurements among the different foster methods. Weights 231 at day 0 and day 7 showed that natal lambs were significantly heavier than alien lambs (P<0.05) as 232 expected, however at days 30, 90 and 180 the differences had disappeared. There were no 233 significant differences found between the average daily weight gain of the natal compared to the 234 alien lambs for any of the time periods analysed. Results suggest that ewe experience affected 235 weight gain, with lambs reared by multiparous ewes, gaining significantly more weight during 236 periods 1, 3 and 4 (P<0.001 in all cases, see Table 2).

237 3.2. Post-slaughter data

238 When comparing the carcass quality of lambs subjected to different rearing conditions, results 239 suggest that ewe-reared lambs had significantly better conformation scores and chest roundness 240 indices than AR lambs (P<0.01 and P<0.05 respectively; Table 3). Other parameters were not found to be affected by rearing condition including slaughter weight. There were no significant 241 242 differences for any of the carcass or meat quality parameters among foster methods. This was also 243 the case for the comparison between alien and natal lambs. The ewes' experience had a 244 significant impact on the live slaughter weight and cold carcass weight (P<0.05 in both cases, see 245 Table 2) with the multiparous ewes rearing significantly heavier lambs compared to the primiparous 246 ewes. The ultimate pH was also significantly affected by the ewes' experience with the multiparous 247 ewe lambs showing significantly lower pH values (P<0.05, see Table 2). The remaining carcass 248 and meat quality parameters showed no significant differences between multiparous and 249 primiparous ewes.

251 4. Discussion

252 Results showed that for at least the initial three months of life, before weaning, the artificial rearing 253 of lambs under the conditions of the current study significantly reduced the lambs' daily weight 254 gain. The use of any fostering method was beneficial when compared to AR, and fostered animals 255 followed a similar growth rate to the control lambs. These results coincide with work by Oztabak 256 and Ozpinar (2006) and Napolitano et al., (2006) that showed that average daily weight gain for 257 ewe-reared lambs was significantly higher than for AR lambs, from birth up until 21 days. However, 258 Napolitano et al., (2002) and Sevi et al., (2003) found no differences between the AR and ewe-259 reared lambs average growth rates. The contradictory results are most likely due to the ad libitum 260 basis feeding of the previous studies, therefore having access to milk at all times. Due to the 261 setting of the current study, this feeding apparatus was not available and the AR lambs had 262 feeding sessions every three hours which was similar to the setup from Oztabak and Ozpinar 263 (2006). In general, morphometric indices were found to evolve normally with age. Those indices 264 directly linking height/length measures with weight (compactness and relative weight index) 265 showed a steady increase up until weaning and a drop afterwards, as it was expected according to 266 age variations on bone growth rate and muscle and body fat deposition (Riva et al. 2004). 267 Morphometric indices at varying points between 0 and 90 days of age were found to be 268 significantly better for the ewe-reared lambs compared to the AR lambs, which suggests that AR 269 lambs were not growing at the same rate as ewe-reared lambs, up until weaning. Previous studies 270 have found that there are strong correlations between body weight and live morphometric 271 measurements (Alderson, 1999; Arthur and Ahunu, 1989; Ribeiro et al., 2004) with different body 272 features being more prominent at different ages in growing lambs, and results from the current 273 study agree. The daily weight gain and the morphometric indices showed no differences between 274 the rearing treatments between 91 and 180 days (period 4). This period of time corresponded to 275 the after-weaning rearing and all animals were fed on grass with access to the same pastures; it 276 seems that, as expected. AR lambs were able to compensate their weight gain during this period of 277 equal resource availability. Norouzian and Valizadeh (2011) also found that after weaning the 278 growth rates were not affected by the rearing treatment. Additionally to the results from the current

experiment, artificial rearing has been associated with a reduction in animal welfare probably due to the impact of the physiological and psychological stressors caused by the early separation of the lamb and ewe (Cockram et al., 1993; Napolitano et al., 1995; Napolitano et al., 2002)

282 Regarding the potential separate effects of each fostering technique, neither the average daily gain 283 nor the morphometric indices varied among the three foster methods studied, for any of the time 284 periods analysed. These daily gains and indices did not differ from those of control twins either. 285 This was due to the fact that experimental lambs came from successful fosters only. However, 286 alien lambs were significantly lighter than the natal lambs at 0 and 7 days of age, which is due to 287 the fact that alien lambs were born as triplets and natal lambs as singletons. These weight 288 differences have been already observed in previous studies (Hernandez et al., 2009, Snowder and 289 Knight, 1995). Although the lamb weights were different for the initial week of life, there were no 290 significant differences in the daily weight gain between alien and natal lambs, which suggest that 291 both were able to feed as needed. Weights and average daily gain for the alien and natal lambs at 292 30, 90 and 180 days of age did not show significant differences between them. This seems to 293 indicate that successful fosters, even if including a lighter and potentially weaker lamb, can 294 produce similar results to lambs being reared by their own dam. It was found that multiparous ewes 295 raised heavier lambs, with significantly higher weight gains, than primiparous ewes during periods 296 1, 3 and 4. No previous research has compared the rearing ability of North Country mules with 297 respect to growth rates. However, these results could be linked to the better ability of multiparous 298 ewes to stimulate the lambs to suckle and encourage them to feed (Dwyer and Lawrence, 2000) 299 and also due to the fact that primiparous ewes generally produce lighter offspring, which has been 300 linked to their lower bodyweight and age at lambing (Dwyer, 2003). These results suggest that 301 when selecting ewes for fostering, an experienced ewe would be more beneficial to ensure a high 302 daily weight gain for each lamb compared to a primiparous ewe. Behavioural and cortisol results 303 from these same experimental ewes (presented and discussed in Ward et al. in preparation) 304 showed that primiparous ewes spent less time tending to their lambs and had significantly higher 305 cortisol levels compared to multiparous ewes. This suggests that, not only do primiparous ewes 306 produce lighter offspring, but also perform different behaviours than experienced dams and are 307 more influenced at the physiological level by parturition and fostering.

308 Rearing was found to have a significant effect on conformation scores and chest roundness index 309 with ewe-reared lambs achieving higher scores than AR lambs. Further research in this area would 310 be advantageous to investigate effects of rearing on carcass quality, as some farmers are paid 311 according to carcass conformation in addition to weight. Although carcass conformation is a 312 common quality parameter used at the abattoir level, it is a subjective measure and caution is 313 needed if using it alone. The majority of carcass quality parameters assessed on the current study 314 were not found to be different between the rearing treatments due to the late slaughter age (6 315 months of age) and the long post-weaning period (90 days) spent grazing under similar conditions, 316 which provided enough time for any potential differences from the nursing period to even out. 317 These results were also expected, but it is difficult to draw comparisons from them to the available 318 bibliography because most literature has been conducted with lambs slaughtered at an earlier age. 319 Regarding the potential separate effects of each fostering technique, carcass and meat quality 320 parameters did not differ among foster methods. No differences were either found for the 321 comparison between lambs' origin (alien vs. natal). It has been suggested that fostering and AR 322 cause ewe-lamb bonds to be broken which can be distressing, and that distress during the initial 323 stages of life could have detrimental effects on the lambs' behaviour, immune and endocrine status 324 (Napolitano, 2003). Early life distress and its consequences could also ultimately influence carcass 325 quality (Gregory, 1998). However, the current results imply that any potential distress caused by 326 fostering or AR did not have long-term implications on the carcass or instrumental meat quality at a 327 slaughter age of 180 days.

328 Multiparous ewes were able to rear lambs with significantly higher live slaughter weight and cold 329 carcass weights than primiparous ewes. This could be linked again to the increased experience of 330 multiparous ewes and their ability to produce more milk and to better attend lambs. The ultimate 331 pH was also significantly lower in lambs reared by multiparous compared to primiparous ewes. The 332 pH of the lambs reared by primiparous ewes fell slightly out of the normal range for this type of 333 meat (5.4 - 5.8). A high ultimate pH can cause undesirable odours and flavours, affect palatability 334 and reduce storage time compared to meat with a lower pH (Pethick and Jacob, 2000). High pH 335 levels have been linked to many forms of acute distress including heat, transportation, dehydration, 336 hunger, injury and fear (Ferguson and Warner, 2008). But it is difficult to find an explanation to this 337 result because only data indicative of distress in the current study was taken at time of birth

338 (behaviour, cortisol and heart rate frequencies, Ward et al. in preparation). Early life distress could 339 be having an effect on the lambs' temperament, and it has been shown that nervous temperament 340 associates with stronger fear reactions (Bickell et al., 2011) which could have had an effect under 341 slaughter conditions on meat quality indicators. However, more research is needed in this area to 342 clarify these results and hypotheses.

343 The current study investigated growth rates, carcass and meat quality parameters for lambs within 344 a commercial farming system using a 6 months slaughter age. Differences were noted between AR 345 and ewe-reared lambs' average daily gain at young ages, as seen in previous studies. However, 346 due to the extended post-weaning period where all lambs were grazing together, any differences 347 were compensated and AR lambs produced similar meat quality results as ewe-reared lambs. AR 348 lambs however did show significantly lower conformation scores and chest roundness indices, 349 suggesting that ewe-rearing, and therefore fostering, could offer some advantages even when 350 working at late slaughter ages. A lack of differences between growth rates, carcass and meat 351 quality characteristics among the different foster methods, and also between alien and natal lambs, 352 showed that once a foster of any type was successfully established, the alien lamb was enabled to 353 feed similarly to the natal lamb and gain weight at the same rate as the natal lamb. Multiparous 354 ewes were capable of rearing heavier lambs with higher average daily gains than primiparous 355 ewes therefore suggesting that they would be better suited for fostering. Choosing foster methods 356 should then be based on success rates and implications for the ewes' welfare (Ward et al. in 357 preparation), as implications for the lambs' performance seem to be negligible. In conclusion, ewe-358 rearing and successful fostering seem to offer some proven advantages over AR under the 359 conditions of the current study, even at late slaughter ages, and multiparous ewes seem to be 360 better candidates as fosterers than primiparous ewes.

361

362 Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Thomas Harrison Trust and by Moulton College, providing facilities and assistance during the experimental phase. Authors would like to thank Peter Smith, the hard working shepherd, and Joseph Morris abattoir for supporting the study.

S	C	C
J	σ	O

367	References
368	Alderson, 1999. Alderson, G.L.H.
369 370	The development of a system of linear measurements to provide an assessment of type and function of beef cattle.
371	Animal Genetic Resources Information, 25 (1999), pp. 45-55
372	Arthur and Ahunu, 1989. Arthur, P. F., Ahunu, B. K.
373	Changes in size and shape with time of crossbred West African hair sheep raised
374	under tree-crop plantations.
375	Livest. Prod. Sci., 21 (1989), pp. 235-249
376	Beriain et al., 2000. Beriain, M., Horcada, A., Purroy, A., Lizaso, G., Chasco, J., Mendizabal, J.
377	Characteristics of Lacha and Rasa Aragonesa lambs slaughtered at three live
378	weights.
379	J. Anim. Sci., 78 (2000), pp. 3070-3077
380	Bickell et al., 2011. Bickell, S., Nowak, R., Poindron, P., Chadwick, A., Ferguson, D. M., Blanche,
381	D.
382	Challenge by a novel object does not impair the capacity of ewes and lambs
383	selected for a nervous temperament to display early preference for each other.
384	Anim. Prod. Sci., 51 (2011), pp. 575-581
385	Cañeque et al., 2000. Cañeque, V., Velasco, S., Díaz, M., Pérez, C., Huidobro, F., Lauzurica, S.,
386	Manzanares, C., González, J.
387	Effect of weaning age and slaughter weight on carcass and meat quality of
388	Talaverana breed lambs raised at pasture.
389	Anim. Sci., 73 (2000), pp. 85-95

- 390 Chestnutt, 1994. Chestnutt, D.
- 391 Effect of lamb growing rate and growth pattern on carcass fat levels.
- 392 Anim. Prod., 58 (1994), pp. 77-85
- 393 Cockram et al., 1993. Cockram, M. S., Imlah, P., Goddard, P. J., Harkiss, G. D., Waran, N. K.
- The behavioural, endocrine and leucocyte response of ewes to repeated removal of
 lambs before the age of natural weaning.
- 396 Apl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 38 (1993), pp. 127–142
- 397 Diaz et al., 2002. Diaz, M., Velasco, S., Cañeque, V., Lauzurica, S., Ruiz de Huidobro, F., Perez,
 398 C., Gonzalez, J., Manzanares, C.
- 399 Use of concentrate or pasture for fattening lambs and its effect on carcass and meat400 quality.
- 401 Small Ruminant Res., 43 (2002), pp. 257-268
- 402 Dwyer and Lawrence, 2000. Dwyer, C. M., Lawrence, A. B.
- 403 Maternal behaviour in domestic sheep (*ovis aries*): Constancy and change with 404 maternal experience.
- 405 Behav., 137 (2000), pp. 1391-1413
- 406 Dwyer, 2003. Dwyer C. M.
- 407 Behavioural development in the neonatal lamb: Effect of maternal and birth-related 408 factors.
- 409 Theriogenology, 59 (2003), pp. 1027-1050
- 410 EBLEX, 2007. English Beef and Lamb Executive (EBLEX), 2007. *Target Lamb Selection for Better*411 *Returns*. UK.
- 412 Ekiz et al., 2012. Ekiz, B., Ekiz, E., Yalcintan, H., Kocak, O., Yilmaz, A.

- 413 Effects of suckling length (45, 75 and 120 d) and rearing type on cortisol level, 414 carcass and meat quality characteristics in Kivircik lambs.
- 415 Meat Sci., 92 (2012), pp. 53-61
- 416 FAOSTAT, 2016. Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Database (FAOSTATS) 2016 (last
- 417 data update 2013). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Production.
- 418 <u>http://faostat.fao.org</u> (accessed 29.04.16)
- 419 Ferguson and Warner, 2008. Ferguson, D. M., Warner, R. D.
- 420 Have we underestimated the impact of pre-slaughter stress on meat quality in 421 ruminants?
- 422 Meat Sci., 80 (2008), pp. 12-19
- 423 Gauly et al., 2004. Gauly, M., Reeg, J., Bauer, C., Erhardt, G.
- 424 Influence of production systems in lambs on the *Eimeria* oocyst output and weight425 gain.
- 426 Small Ruminant Res., 55 (2004), pp. 159-167.
- 427 Gregory, 1998. Gregory N. G.
- 428 Animal Welfare and Meat Science.
- 429 Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK: CAB international (1998).
- Hernandez et al., 2009. Hernandez, C. E., Matthews, L. R., Oliver, M. H., Bloomfield, F. H.,
 Harding, J. E.
- 432 Effects of sex, litter size and periconceptional ewe nutrition on the ewe–lamb bond.
- 433 Apl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 120 (2009), pp. 76-83
- 434 Lanza et al., 2006. Lanza, M., Bella, M., Priolo, A., Barbagallo, D., Galofaro, V., Landi, C., Pennisi.
 435 P.

436	Lamb meat quality as affected by a natural or artificial milk feeding regime.
437	Meat Sci., 73 (2006), pp. 313-318
438	Napolitano et al., 1995. Napolitano, F., Marino, V., De Rosa, G., Capparelli, R., Bordi, A.
439	Influence of artificial rearing on behavioural and immune response of lambs.
440	Apl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 45 (1995), pp. 245-253
441	Napolitano et al., 2002. Napolitano, F., Cifuni, G. F., Pacelli, C., Riviezzi, A. M., Girolami, A.
442	Effect of artificial rearing on lamb welfare and meat quality.
443	Meat Sci., 60 (2002), pp. 307-315
444	Napolitano et al., 2003. Napolitano, F., Annicchiarico, G., Caroprese, M., De Rosa, G., Taibi, L.,
445	Sevi, A.
446	Lambs prevented from suckling their mothers display behavioral, immune and
447	endocrine disturbances.
448	Physiology & Behavior, 78 (2003), pp. 81-89
449	Napolitano et al., 2006. Napolitano, F., Caroprese, M., Girolami, A., Marino, R., Muscio, A., Sevi,
450	Α.
451	Effects of early maternal separation of lambs and rearing with minimal and maximal
452	human contact on meat quality.
453	Meat Sci., 72 (2006), 635-640
454	Norouzian and Valizadeh, 2011. Norouzian, M. A., Valizadeh, R.
455	Effect of rearing system on pre-weaning growth, rumen development and its
456	influence on post-weaning performance of lambs.
456 457	influence on post-weaning performance of lambs. Archives of Animal Breeding, 54 (2011), pp. 525-534

- 459 Growth performance and metabolic profile of Chios lambs prevented from
- 460 colostrum intake and artificially reared on calf milk replacer.
- 461 Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 30 (2006), pp. 319-324
- 462 Pethick and Jacob, 2000. Pethick, D., Jacob, R.
- 463 Managing glycogen to improve meat quality in lamb and sheep meat.
- 464 Perth, Australia: Murdoch University (2000).
- 465 Priolo et al., 2002. Priolo, A., Micol, D., Agabriel, J., Prache, S., Dransfield, E.
- 466 Effect of grass or concentrate feeding systems on lamb carcass and meat quality.
- 467 Meat Sci., 62 (2002), pp. 179-185
- 468 Ribeiro et al., 2004. Ribeiro, M. N., da Silva, J. V., Pimenta Filho, E. C., Sereno, J. R. B.

469 Correlations among phenotypic traits of naturalised goats.

- 470 Archivos De Zootecnia. 53 (2004), pp. 337-340
- 471 Riva et al., 2004. Riva, J., Rizzi, R., Marelli, S., Cavalchini L.G.
- 472 Body measurements in Bergamasca sheep.
- 473 Small Ruminant Res., 55 (2004), pp. 221–227
- 474 Sevi et al., 2003. Sevi, A., Caroprese, M., Annicchiarico, G., Albenzio, M., Taibi, L., Muscio, A.
- 475 The effect of a gradual separation from the mother on later behavioral, immune and
- 476 endocrine alterations in artificially reared lambs.
- 477 Apl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 83 (2003), pp. 41-53
- 478 Snowder and Knight, 1995. Snowder, G. D., Knight, A. D.
- 479 Breed effects of foster lamb and foster dam on lamb viability and growth.
- 480 J Anim. Sci., 73 (1995), pp. 1559-1566

- 481 Vestergaard et al., 2000. Vestergaard, M., Oksbjerg, N., Henckel, P.
- 482 Influence of feeding intensity, grazing and finishing feeding on muscle fibre
 483 characteristics and meat colour of *semitendinosus*, *longissimus dorsi* and
 484 *supraspinatus* muscles of young bulls.
- 485 Meat Sci., 54 (2000), pp. 177-185
- 486 Vicenti et al., 2004. Vicenti, A., Antonietta, M., Ragni, M. Toteda, F.
- 487 Effect of type of suckling and polyunsaturated fatty acid use on lamb production. 2.
- 488 Chemical and fatty acid composition of raw and cooked meat.
- 489 Italian Journal of Animal Science, 3 (2004), 81-91
- 490 Ward et al., in preparation. Ward, S., Coleman, R., Smith, T., Liste, G.
- 491 The behavioural and physiological effects of fostering on sheep.
- 492 In preparation.
- 493 Ward et al., 2011. Ward, S., Liste, G., Tinarwo, A.
- 494 Attitudes of UK sheep farmers towards fostering methods: A national survey.
- 495 Small Ruminant Res., 99 (2011), pp. 87-92
- 496
- 497

- 499
- 500
- 501

Table 1: Summary of experimental treatments and animals involved, expressed as: number of lambs (number of ewes). BF = birth fluids, CSBF = cervical stimulation and birth fluids, R = restraint.

	CONTROL,	FOSTERED, ewe reared			ARTIFICIALLY
	ewe reared	BF	CSBF	R	REARED
Primiparous	24 (12)	24 (12)	-	24 (12)	-
Multiparous	24 (12)	24 (12)	24 (12)	24 (12)	-
TOTAL	48 (24)	48 (24)	24 (12)	48 (24)	12

- 508 Table 2: Mean (± SE) for the different growth rates and carcass quality parameters for lambs
- 509 slaughtered at 180 days according to ewe's experience. Different superscripts in the same row
- 510 indicate significant differences at p < 0.05, a-b and p < 0.001, c-d.

Period 1	Primiparous	Multiparous 0.27 ± 0.11 ^b
	0.23 ± 0.06 ^a	0.27 ± 0.11 ^b
Period 2	0.30 ± 0.08^{a}	0.31 ± 0.08 ^b
Period 3	0.27 ± 0.04	0.31 ± 0.08
Period 4	0.22 ± 0.03ª	0.27 ± 0.06 ^b
Live Slaughter Weight	44.79 ± 2.06°	50.19 ± 1.92 ^d
Cold Carcass Weight	23.89 ± 1.47°	25.77 ± 1.42 ^d
Ultimate pH	5.78 ± 0.02°	5.82 ± 0.02 ^d
	Period 4 Live Slaughter Weight Cold Carcass Weight	Period 3 0.27 ± 0.04 Period 4 0.22 ± 0.03^{a} Live Slaughter Weight 44.79 ± 2.06^{c} Cold Carcass Weight 23.89 ± 1.47^{c}

Table 3: Mean (\pm SE) for the different carcass and meat quality parameters for lambs slaughtered at 180 days according to their rearing methods. Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant differences at *p* < 0.05. Chest Roundness Index = (chest width/chest depth) x 100; Buttock/Leg Index = buttock length/leg length; Carcass Compactness = (cold carcass weight/internal carcass length) x 100; Chest Depth Index = (cold carcass weight/slaughter weight) x 100.

Ewe Reared				
Parameters	Control	Fostered	Artificially Reared	
Live Slaughter Weight	46.66 ± 2.10	48.45 ± 1.97	43.46 ± 1.20	
Cold Carcass Weight	23.04 ± 1.39	23.65 ± 1.37	20.51 ± 0.85	
Conformation score	10.05 ± 0.39ª	10.66 ± 0.45ª	8.90 ± 0.56^{b}	
Fatness score	7.68 ± 0.19	8.22 ± 0.28	8.00 ± 0.30	
Ultimate pH	5.80 ± 0.02	5.80 ± 0.02	5.81 ± 0.02	
Water Holding Capacity	19.36 ± 0.47	19.35 ± 0.32	19.37 ± 0.31	
L* (Lightness)	35.52 ± 0.79	34.50 ± 0.86	35.67 ± 0.32	
a* (Redness)	7.04 ± 0.04	7.01 ± 0.93	6.99 ± 0.08	
b* (Yellowness)	3.31 ± 0.05	3.32 ± 0.08	3.25 ± 0.13	
Chest Depth Index	48.97 ± 5.20	47.27 ± 4.37	47.38 ± 2.19	
Chest Roundness Index	91.55 ± 2.56 ª	89.72 ± 2.57 ª	81.85 ± 3.44 ^b	
Buttock/Leg Index	69.54 ± 2.01	73.04 ± 2.46	76.01 ± 1.06	
Carcass Compactness	35.12 ± 3.08	35.76 ± 2.99	35.29 ± 1.25	

519

520

521 522

523

524

Figure 1. Daily weight gains across the different foster method treatments and artificially reared lambs. Different letters indicate significant differences (a-b, p < 0.05; c-d, p < 0.005). Period 1 = average daily gain from day 0 - 7, Period 2 = average daily gain from 8 - 30, Period 3 = average daily gain from 31 – 90, Period 4 = average daily gain from 91 – 180 and TOTAL = average daily gain from day 0 to 180 for all treatments. Data markers represent means ± SE.

533

534 Figure 2: Indices calculated from live morphometric measurements (means ± SE) assessed at 0, 535 7, 30, 90 and 180 days of age. Different letters indicate significant differences (a-b, p < 0.05; c-d, p 536 < 0.005). 2a. Pelvic Index = (rump width/rump length) x 100; Transversal Pelvic Index = (rump 537 width / height at shoulder) x 100; Longitudinal Pelvic Index = (rump length/height at shoulder) x 538 100 2b. Relative Torso Depth = (chest depth/height at shoulder) x 100; Compactness Index = 539 (weight/ body length) x 100; Relative Weight Index = (weight/ height at shoulder) x 100 2c. Body 540 Index = (torso length/chest circumference) x 100; Proportionality Index =(torso length/ height at 541 shoulder) x 100; Relative Shortness Index = (torso length/ height at shoulder) x 100.