#### Accepted Manuscript



Title: Comparison of standardised versus non-standardised methods for testing the in vitro potency of oxytetracycline against *mannheimia haemolytica* and *pasteurella multocida* 

Author: P. Lees, J. Illambas, L. Pelligand, P.-L. Toutain

PII:	\$1090-0233(16)30191-5
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2016.11.006
Reference:	YTVJL 4902

To appear in: The Veterinary Journal

Accepted date: 14-11-2016

Please cite this article as: P. Lees, J. Illambas, L. Pelligand, P.-L. Toutain, Comparison of standardised versus non-standardised methods for testing the in vitro potency of oxytetracycline against *mannheimia haemolytica* and *pasteurella multocida*, *The Veterinary Journal* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2016.11.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### CCEPTED

#### Comparison of standardised versus non-standardised methods for testing the in vitro 1 potency of oxytetracycline against Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida 2 3

P. Lees <sup>a</sup>, J. Illambas <sup>a,1</sup>, L. Pelligand <sup>a</sup>, P.-L. Toutain <sup>b,\*</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup> Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Campus, Hatfield, Herts. AL9 7TA, United Kingdom 7 <sup>b</sup> Toxalim, Université de Toulouse, INRA, ENVT, Toulouse, 23, Chemin des Capelles-BP 8

9 87614, 31076 Toulouse Cedex 03, France

10

4

5

- 11 12
- 13
- \* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 680 340943. 14
- 15 E-mail address: pltoutain@wanadoo.fr (P-L. Toutain).
- 1 Present address: Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5NG, United Kingdom. 16

n, Sure

#### 17 Highlights

18	•	Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida isolates were obtained from
19		cattle with respiratory disease.
20	•	The pharmacodynamics of oxytetracycline were determined for <i>M. haemolytica</i>
21		and <i>P. multocida</i> .
22	•	Minimum inhibitory concentrations were substantially higher in serum than in
23		broth.
24	•	Serum broth differences were not attributable to protein binding.
25	•	The clinical efficacy of oxytetracycline may not depend solely on killing bacteria.
26	Abstract	
27	Th	e in vitro pharmacodynamics of oxytetracycline were established for six isolates of
28	each of the	e calf pneumonia pathogens Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida.
29	Minimum	inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and
30	bacterial t	ime-kill curves were determined in two matrices, Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) and
31	calf serum	a. Geometric mean MIC ratios, serum:MHB, were 25.2:1 (M. haemolytica) and
32	27.4:1 (P.	<i>multocida</i> ). The degree of binding of oxytetracycline to serum protein was 52.4%.
33	Difference	es between serum and broth MICs could not be accounted for by oxytetracycline
34	binding to	serum protein. In vitro time-kill data suggested a co-dependent killing action of
35	oxytetracy	cline. The in vitro data indicate inhibition of the killing action of oxytetracycline by
36	serum fact	tor(s). The nature of the inhibition requires further study. The outcome of treatment
37	with oxyte	etracycline of respiratory tract infections in calves caused by <i>M. haemolytica</i> and <i>P</i> .
38	multocida	may not be related solely to a direct killing action.
39		

40 Keywords: Mannheimia haemolytica; Pasteurella multocida; Bovine; Oxytetracycline;

41 Pharmacodynamics

#### 42 Introduction

Oxytetracycline is an antimicrobial drug with a broad spectrum of activity. The 43 spectrum includes two bacterial pathogens implicated in bovine pneumonia, Mannheimia 44 haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida (Nouws and Vree, 1983; Nouws et al., 1985; Nouws 45 et al., 1990; Esaki et al., 2005). Oxytetracycline is still used extensively, despite the 46 development of resistance in some species of bacteria. It is available in long acting, high 47 48 strength formulations. These depot formulations provide sustained absorption from the site of injection (Nouws and Vree, 1983; Toutain and Raynaud, 1983; Davey et al., 1985; Nouws et 49 al., 1990). 50

51

The potency of antimicrobial drugs is generally determined in vitro, based on the 52 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). The widely accepted standards for MIC 53 54 determination have been defined by the European Union Committee on Antimicrobial Sensitivity testing (EUCAST) and the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI); they 55 ensure reproducible findings and thereby enable data to be harmonised internationally. This is 56 essential when comparing data from several laboratories, between countries and across time 57 periods for susceptibility testing. The two-fold dilution used is important because, when 58 plotted on a histogram, the distributions are log-normal when using a  $\log_2$  distribution. The 59 plots of histograms are more easily examined for the purpose of identifying wild-type 60 distributions. 61

62

However, the standardised CLSI/EUCAST methods of determining MIC have two
drawbacks for the purposes of this study. Firstly, they are based on two-fold, dilutions, with
the potential consequence of up to 100% error, thus having a limitation regarding accuracy on
single isolate estimates. Accuracy rather than precision is of importance in generating MIC

data for the purpose of using pharmacodynamic (PD) data together with pharmacokinetic
(PK) data for the purpose of dose prediction. To meet (in part) this concern, previous studies
have used five sets of overlapping doubling dilutions to reduce inaccuracy on single isolate
estimates (Aliabadi and Lees, 2001; Sidhu et al., 2010). Secondly, CLSI/EUCAST standards
for MIC determinations are based on the use of artificial growth media. Whilst these provide
optimal growth conditions in vitro, they differ in composition from biological fluids.

73

To provide comparisons between broths and biological fluids, previous studies have 74 been undertaken in calf serum and inflammatory exudates (Aliabadi and Lees, 2001, 2002; 75 Sidhu et al., 2003; Sidhu et al., 2010). A M. haemolytica isolate of calf origin had a MIC in 76 serum 19 times greater than the broth MIC (Brentnall et al., 2012). Hence, the quantitative 77 determination of PD indices with improved accuracy and in biological matrices, for some 78 79 drug classes, may be helpful to the application of PK/PD approaches to dose determination. It is accepted that the vast majority of the published literature has relied on either EUCAST or 80 CLSI methodology for determining MIC. This article therefore extends those findings. 81

82

Some authors recommend restriction of the term MIC for measurements undertaken in
broths recommended by CLSI and EUCAST, with the requisite fluid being defined for each
pathogenic species. However, the term MIC has been accepted in the peer reviewed literature,
for other growth matrices and is retained in this article (Honeyman et al., 2015).

87

88 The aim of this study was to evaluate factors influencing the antimicrobial PDs of 89 oxytetracycline for two calf pneumonia pathogens, *M. haemolytica* and *P. multocida*. The 90 objectives were: (1) to compare in vitro MIC, MBC and time-kill profiles of oxytetracycline 91 in two matrices, Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) and calf serum; (2) to investigate the influence

92 of serum on MHB MICs by combining the two matrices in varying proportions; (3) to

93 determine the effect of low, intermediate and high bacterial counts on oxytetracycline MICs;

94 (4) to compare in vitro time-kill curves for oxytetracycline in MHB and calf serum; and (5) to

95 determine the degree of binding of oxytetracycline to protein in calf serum.

96

#### 97 Materials and methods

98 Origin, storage, selection and culture of bacterial isolates

Twenty isolates of each of two calf pathogens, *M. haemolytica* and *P. multocida*, were
obtained post-mortem from field cases of calf pneumonia in various geographical regions of
the United Kingdom. They were supplied on swabs by the Veterinary Laboratories Agency
(AHVLA), now Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), and stored at -70 °C in
glycerol:milk:water (20:10:70). This fluid was boiled for 5 s, left to cool for 12 h and then
boiled again for a further 5 s.

105

Two criteria were used to select 6/20 isolates of each of the two bacterial species for
further study: (1) each isolate was investigated for its ability to grow logarithmically in four
fluids (MHB and calf serum, exudate and transudate); (2) each isolate was evaluated for
susceptibility to oxytetracycline by disc diffusion and measurement of diameter of zone of
growth inhibition. Since tetracycline but not oxytetracycline is listed in the CLSI standards,
this measurement was not determined according to CLSI (2008). MICs were then determined
in MHB, using doubling dilutions.

113

114 Culture methods and bacterial viability counts, determined by serial dilution and spot-115 plate counts, were as described by Lees et al. (2015).

#### 117 Minimum inhibitory and minimum bactericidal concentrations

MICs for six isolates each of *M. haemolytica* and *P. multocida* were determined by 118 broth microdilution in accordance with CLSI methods (CLSI, 2008), except that: (1) our study 119 120 used MHB whereas CLSI requires use of cation adjusted MHB and, in future studies, the former would be preferred; and (2) to improve accuracy, five overlapping sets of 121 doubling/two-fold dilutions of oxytetracycline were prepared in MHB, instead of the CLSI 122 standard, which uses one set of doubling dilutions. Quality control (QC) organisms were not 123 tested in this study to validate the assay because, for a small number of isolates, six of each of 124 two species (as opposed to testing hundreds/thousands of isolates in constructing MIC 125 distributions), this works well. What mattered more for this study was to reduce the error on 126 individual estimates for a small number of isolates (12) from up to 100% to no more than 127 20%. This we did on the advice of A. Rycroft, Royal Veterinary College, University of 128 129 London, United Kingdom).

130

The methods for MIC and MBC determinations were as previously described (Lees et 131 al., 2015). The bactericidal assay was performed according to methods that have not been 132 standardised by CLSI or EUCAST. QC was not performed on the MIC tests conducted for 133 this study on oxytetracycline, because CLSI and EUCAST methods are based on tetracycline 134 and not oxytetracycline. MIC determinations for the six isolates of both bacterial species were 135 repeated, using five sets of overlapping two-fold dilutions of oxytetracycline prepared in 136 bovine serum (Gibco). The influence of serum/MHB mixtures and inoculum size on MIC was 137 determined as described in Appendix A. 138

139

140 Antimicrobial growth (time-kill) curves

141	For six isolates each of <i>M. haemolytica</i> and <i>P. multocida</i> , in vitro time-kill curves
142	were established using oxytetracycline concentrations corresponding to 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 and 4x
143	multiples of MIC in both MHB and calf serum, as previously described (Lees et al., 2015).
144	The lowest quantifiable count was 33 colony forming units (CFU)/mL. Ex vivo analyses were
145	performed as described in Appendix B.
146	
147	Serum protein binding of oxytetracycline
148	The degree of binding of oxytetracycline to serum protein in vivo was determined in
149	triplicate on pooled samples from 10 calves harvested from a tissue cage study for seven
150	concentrations, ranging from 0.43 to 2.07 $\mu$ g/mL. The total concentration was measured on
151	each sample as described in Appendix B and binding to protein was determined on a second
152	aliquot of each sample by ultracentrifugation at 4,000 $g$ and 25 °C for 20 min. The
153	ultrafiltration device used was an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal filter (Ultracel 10 K, Millipore)
154	and oxytetracycline concentrations were re-determined on the ultrafiltrate.
155	×0
156	Statistical analyses
157	MIC and MBC data are presented as geometric means and standard deviation (SD).
158	Differences in MIC and MBC values between MHB and serum were compared with a paired $t$
159	test or the non-parametric Wilcoxon test, depending on whether the data passed a normality
160	test.
161	
162	Results
163	Selection of isolates
164	Six isolates of each species were selected to satisfy two criteria. Firstly, the
165	percentages growing logarithmically were 65, 65, 40 and 55 for <i>M. haemolytica</i> and 90, 75,

166 65 and 65 for *P. multocida* for MHB, calf serum, exudate and transudate, respectively.

167 Secondly, initial MIC studies using doubling dilutions indicated that the MIC for MHB was  $\leq$ 

168  $0.4 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ . It should be noted CLSI tables do not provide a separate breakpoint for

169 oxytetracycline, but CLSI provides a breakpoint for tetracycline ( $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$ ), and indicates

170 that the breakpoint interpretation for tetracycline also applies to oxytetracycline. Therefore,

the oxytetracycline MICs were less than the tetracycline breakpoint. The six isolates of each

species selected comprised highest, lowest and four with intermediate MICs.

173

174 Minimum inhibitory and minimum bactericidal concentrations

175 MICs of the 12 selected isolates were re-determined separately in MHB and serum.

176 MICs and MBCs are illustrated in Fig. 1. Table 1 presents geometric mean MICs and MBCs,

and ratios MBC:MIC. The potency of oxytetracycline, expressed as MIC, was 25.2 times

178 greater in MHB for *M. haemolytica* and 27.4 times greater in MHB for *P. multocida*,

179 compared to serum MICs. Therefore, potency differed markedly between the two growth

180 matrices. Using MBC as the indicator of potency indicated smaller differences than for MIC,

181 but again in favour of MHB.

182

183 *Time-kill curves* 

Starting inoculum counts of the order of 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/mL were selected to reflect a moderate to high bacterial load in clinical subjects (Roof, 2011). Despite marked differences in MICs between MHB and serum, growth inhibition curves in these matrices using multiples of MIC were broadly similar for *M. haemolytica* (Fig. 2). However, reductions in count were smaller in serum than in MHB at MIC multiples of 2.0 and 4.0. With both matrices, some regrowth occurred at 24 h. The in vitro killing pattern was classified as co-dependent (on both concentration and time). For *P. multocida* in MHB, MIC multiples of 2.0 and 4.0 produced

191	virtual eradication by 24 h (Fig. 3). In serum, the killing action at 24 h was less marked at 2x
192	and 4x MIC than in MHB, with some re-growth occurring. The in vitro killing action was
193	judged to be co-dependent for both matrices (Fig. 3). Ex vivo time-kill curves are shown in
194	Appendix B.
195	
196	Binding to serum protein
197	Oxytetracycline binding to protein was established for a range of seven in vivo
198	concentrations (0.43 to 2.07 $\mu$ g/mL) in pooled serum samples. Mean percentage protein
199	binding $\pm$ SD was 52.4 $\pm$ 7.3 and was independent of total concentration.
200	
201	Discussion

Many previous studies have shown that, for some drugs of the macrolide/triamilide 202 203 groups, MICs determined in serum are much higher than those determined using the broths recommended in CLSI and EUCAST guidelines. An example is tulathromycin; for this drug 204 205 Toutain et al. (2016) reported MICs some 50 times lower in bovine serum than in broths for 206 M. haemolytica and P. multocida of bovine origin. When serum values were corrected for drug binding to serum protein, differences were even greater; causes have not been positively 207 determined. In contrast, in this study the 'serum effect' was reversed; MICs were higher in 208 209 broth than in serum. Toutain et al. (2016) showed clearly that accuracy of MIC determination, to be used in dose prediction, can be obtained from the approved broth MIC data by applying 210 211 a robust scaling factor to bridge in vitro MHB to in vivo relevant serum/plasma/blood values. 212 Oxytetracycline MICs were reported against a single bovine isolate of M. haemolytica (A1 76/1), in five matrices (Brentnall et al., 2012, 2013); values (µg/mL) were 0.5 (MHB), 0.8 213 (cation adjusted MHB), 14.8 (serum), 12.8 (exudate) and 11.2 (transudate). Therefore, MIC 214 differed markedly between three biological fluids on the one hand and two artificial media on 215

the other, whilst similar values were obtained for the two artificial matrices and similar valueswere obtained for the three biological fluids.

218

219 These findings were confirmed and extended to demonstrate: (1) much higher MICs of oxytetracycline in serum compared to MHB for *M. haemolytica* and *P. multocida*; (2) higher 220 oxytetracycline MBCs in serum compared to MHB, but with lower serum: MHB ratios for 221 MBC than for MIC. This study also quantified inter- and intra-species differences in 222 variability for six isolates of each species; coefficient of variation (CV%) serum values for M. 223 haemolytica were 84 (MIC) and 62 (MBC). Corresponding CV%s for P. multocida were 224 lower (38 MIC; 33 MBC). Considering the serum MIC values reported in this study, and 225 species and isolate variability, it is important for future studies to recognise that we used 226 bovine serum from a single source. Breed, age, sex, disease state, country and other factors 227 228 might provide MIC differences between sera, even from a single species. Such differences should be quantified. However, this paper had a more limited immediate goal, to compare 229 230 broth with serum from the target species derived from one source only.

231

The cause(s) of serum/MHB differences in MIC for oxytetracycline have not been 232 established. In a recent study, albumin concentrations in MHB and calf serum were 0.033 and 233 32.2 g/L, thus differing by approximately 1,000-fold (Brentnall et al., 2012). Most serum 234 protein binding occurs to albumin and it is very likely that total and free concentrations of 235 oxytetracycline in MHB were identical. Therefore, approximately two-fold higher MIC values 236 in serum compared to MHB would be anticipated from the binding of oxytetracycline to 237 serum protein, which was shown to be 52.4% of total concentration. This confirms the 50% 238 binding described by Pilloud (1973) and is intermediate between 18.6% (Ziv and Sulman, 239 1972) and 72% (Nouws et al., 1985) described for cattle by other authors. Cause(s) of 240

differing degrees of protein binding in these studies are not known, but it should be noted that
the degree of binding has an impact on dosages required to achieve a given level of efficacy.
The prediction of approximately two-fold higher MICs in serum in the present study arises
because protein bound AMDs are microbiologically inactive (Wise, 1986; Zeitlinger et al.,
2004). This relatively small (two-fold) predicted difference is well short of the 25- to 27-fold
experimentally determined differences in MIC between MHB and serum for *M. haemolytica*and *P. multocida*, respectively.

248

In quantitative terms, for *P. multocida*, correction for protein binding yields a mean fraction unbound (fu) serum MIC of 3.21 µg/mL, whilst broth MIC was 0.25 µg/mL; thus, the mean fu serum MIC is 12.9-fold greater than the broth MIC, which is the CLSI and EUCAST and therefore universally accepted standard. For *M. haemolytica*, the fu serum MIC was 11.8 times greater than broth MIC. The data indicate inhibition of the killing action of oxytetracycline by some serum factor(s). The data demonstrate antagonism of the action of oxytetracycline beyond what can be ascribed to non-specific protein binding.

256

The nature of the inhibition requires further consideration. In addition to albumin 257 content, other differences in composition between MHB and calf serum include higher 258 globulin, sodium, chloride, potassium, calcium and magnesium concentrations (Brentnall et 259 al., 2012). Since oxytetracycline can bind covalently to calcium and magnesium ions, this 260 might theoretically explain the serum/broth MIC and MBC differences. However, Luthman 261 and Jacobsson (1983) reported that oxytetracycline did not chelate with calcium ions in calf 262 serum. Moreover, the MIC difference between MHB and cation adjusted MHB reported by 263 264 Brentnall et al. (2012) was slight (0.5 and 0.8 µg/mL, respectively). Therefore, the cause(s) of

the marked differences in MIC between MHB and cation adjusted MHB on the one hand andcalf serum on the other require alternative explanations and further study.

267

Honeyman et al. (2015) compared MICs of several tetracyclines in broth and 50%
broth:50% mouse serum as matrices. For a strain of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, MICs were
identical for six compounds but, with added serum, 2-4 fold increases were obtained for five,
whilst MIC was increased 32-fold for one compound. In contrast, for a strain of *Staphylococcus aureus*, MIC was increased in the broth:serum combined matrix relative to
broth for all 12 compounds investigated and, for seven, the increase was in the range 8- to
128-fold.

275

The PDs of oxytetracycline was further investigated in time-kill studies. Using multiples of up to 4x MIC indicated a probable co-dependent killing mechanism that is dependency on both concentration and time. However, confirming the type of killing action would benefit from further studies using higher multiples of MIC than the five used in this study.

281

These data suggest that serum, exudate and transudate may be useful alternatives to 282 broth for potency determination, when the objective is estimation of a dose for clinical use, 283 based on PK/PD modelling approaches. These biological fluids are not identical to pulmonary 284 epithelial lining fluid, but are much closer in composition to the latter than artificial broths. 285 Further refinement of the methodology used in this study would be to determine potency in 286 serum in the presence of other 'natural' constituents, such as leucocytes and antibodies, as 287 well as the normal bacterial flora that compete with pathogens. Ideally, although technically 288 difficult, it would also be relevant to determine potency in pulmonary epithelial ling fluid. 289

290

291	Despite these considerations, in immunocompetent animals with pneumonic
292	infections, even the limited direct killing activity in serum demonstrated in this study might
293	contribute to efficacy, particularly in those cases with mild infection, treated early, in which
294	biophase bacterial counts would normally be low. Epidemiological data on oxytetracycline
295	MICs have indicated a bimodal distribution (Yoshimura et al., 2001). Even allowing for these
296	MICs, measured conventionally in an artificial growth matrix, some 40-50% of isolates had
297	MICs of 8.0 or 16.0 µg/mL for calf strains of <i>M. haemolytica</i> and <i>P. multocida</i> . On the other
298	hand, 30 to 40% of isolates had broth MICs of 0.50 $\mu$ g/mL; the equivalent serum MIC, from
299	the present data, would be of the order of 12.5 $\mu$ g/mL, which is approximately two to three
300	times higher than maximum serum concentrations of oxytetracycline achieved in calves with
301	the recommended dose rate of 20 mg/kg (Nouws and Vree, 1983; Toutain and Raynaud,
302	1983; Nouws et al., 1990; Brentnall et al., 2013). Alternative mechanisms of action of
303	oxytetracycline are shown in Appendix C.

304

305 Conclusions

Concentrations of oxytetracycline in serum and broth were not measured at the start 306 and completion of the in vitro studies; it is possible that reported differences between the 307 308 media might have been due, in part, to some degradation of the drug, but at differing rates over the 24 h incubation periods. Differing bacterial growth rates in the two media are 309 possible, even likely, and this could contribute to the reported differences. Time-kill studies 310 were based on fixed concentrations for a pre-defined time period. In vivo, concentrations in 311 serum and the biophase first increase and then decrease after systemic, non-vascular dosing. 312 313 Therefore, in vitro time-kill methods, such as hollow fibre models, better reflect the circumstances of clinical exposure and could be used in future studies. 314

3	1	5
_		_

#### **Conflict of interest statement**

317	None of the authors of this paper have a financial or personal relationship with other
318	people or organisation that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper. In
319	the last 5 years, P. Lees has supplied consultancy advice to Bayer Animal Health, Norbrook
320	Laboratories and Pfizer Animal Health, J. Illambas was formerly employed by Zoetis Animal
321	Health and L. Pelligand provided consultancy advice to VetCare, Orion, Zoetis, Waltham and
322	Ceva, as well as receiving research funding from Pfizer Animal Health, Novartis Animal
323	Health, Transpharmation and deltaDot, and P.L. Toutain provided consultancy advice to
324	Novartis Animal Health.
325	
326	Acknowledgements
327	This study was supported by a grant from the Department for the Environment, Food
328	and Rural Affairs (DEFRA). Oxytetracycline was supplied by Norbrook Laboratories.
329	×
330	Appendix: Supplementary Material
331	Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at
332	doi:
333	
334	References
335 336 337	Aliabadi, F.S., Lees, P., 2001. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of danofloxacin in serum and tissue fluids of goats following intravenous and intramuscular administration. American Journal of Veterinary Research 62, 1979-1989.
338 339 340 341 342	Aliabadi, F.S., Lees, P., 2002. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic integration of marbofloxacin in calf serum, exudate and transudate. Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 25, 161-174.

Brentnall, C., Cheng, Z., McKellar, Q.A., Lees, P., 2012. Pharmacodynamics of 343 oxytetracycline administered alone and in combination with carprofen in calves. 344 345 Veterinary Record 171, 273. 346 Brentnall, C., Cheng, Z., McKellar, Q.A., Lees, P., 2013. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic 347 348 integration and modelling of oxytetracycline administered alone and in combination 349 with carprofen in calves. Research in Veterinary Science 94, 687-694. 350 CLSI, 2008. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests 351 for Bacteria Isolated from Animals: Approved Standard - 3rd Edn. CLSI document 352 M31-A3. 353 354 355 Davey, L.A., Ferber, M.T., Kaye, B., 1985. Comparison of the serum pharmacokinetics of a long acting and a conventional oxytetracycline injection. Veterinary Record 117, 426-356 429. 357 358 Esaki, H., Asai, T., Kojima, A., Ishihara, K., Morioka, A., Tamura, Y., Takahashi, T., 2005. 359 Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Mannheimia haemolytica* isolates from cattle in Japan 360 361 from 2001 to 2002. Journal of Veterinary Medical Sciences 67, 75-77. 362 Honeyman, L., Ismail, M., Nelson, M.L., Bhatia, B., Bowser, T.E., Chen, J., Mechiche, R., 363 364 Ohemeng, K., Verma, A.K., Cannon, E.P., Macone, A., Tanaka, S.K., Levy, S., 2015. Structure-activity relationship of the aminomethylcyclines and the discovery of 365 omadacycline. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59, 7044-7053. 366 367 Lees, P., Pelligand, L., Illambas, J., Potter, T., Lacroix, M., Rycroft, A., Toutain, P.L., 2015. 368 Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic integration and modelling of amoxicillin for the 369 calf pathogens Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida. Journal of 370 371 Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 38, 457-470. 372 373 Luthman, J., Jacobsson, S.O., 1983. The availability of tetracyclines in calves. Nordisk Veterinaermedicin 35, 292-299. 374 375 Nouws, J.F., Breukink, H.J., Binkhorst, G.J., Lohuis, J., van Lith, P., Mevius, D.J., Vree, 376 377 T.B., 1985. Comparative pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of eight parenteral oxytetracycline-10% formulations in dairy cows. Veterinary Quarterly 7, 306-314. 378 379 Nouws, J.F., Smulders, A., Rappalini, M., 1990. A comparative study on irritation and residue 380 aspects of five oxytetracycline formulations administered intramuscularly to calves, 381 382 pigs and sheep. Veterinary Quarterly 12, 129-138. 383 Nouws, J.F., Vree, T.B., 1983. Effect of injection site on the bioavailability of an 384 oxytetracycline formulation in ruminant calves. Veterinary Quarterly 5, 165-170. 385 386 Pilloud, M., 1973. Pharmacokinetics, plasma protein binding and dosage of oxytetracycline in 387 cattle and horses. Research in Veterinary Science 15, 224-230. 388 389 390 Roof, C., 2011. Qualification and quantification of bacterial pathogen load in acute bovine respiratory disease cases. Masters Thesis, Kansas State University, Manhattan, 391 Kansas, USA. 392

393 204	Sidhu D. Shoigoo Alighadi E. Androws M. Loos D. 2003 Tissue chember model of gouta
394 395	inflammation in farm animal species. Research in Veterinary Science 74, 67-77.
390 397	Sidhu, P.K., Landoni, M.F., Aliabadi, F.S., Lees, P., 2010. Pharmacokinetic and
398	pharmacodynamic modelling of marbofloxacin administered alone and in combination
399	with tolfenamic acid in goats. The Veterinary Journal 184, 219-229.
400	
401	Toutain, P.L., Raynaud, J.P., 1983. Pharmacokinetics of oxytetracycline in young cattle:
402	Comparison of conventional vs long-acting formulations. American Journal of
403	Veterinary Research 44, 1203-1209.
404	Toutain D. L. Dottor, T. Dolligond, L. Logroix, M. Illombos, L. Loos, D. 2016, Standard
405	PK/PD concepts can be applied to determine a dosage regimen for a macrolide: The
400	case of tulathromycin in the calf Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and
408	Therapeutics doi: 10.1111/ivp.12333.
409	
410	Wise, R., 1986. The clinical relevance of protein binding and tissue concentrations in
411	antimicrobial therapy. Clinical Pharmacokinetics 11, 470-482.
412	
413	Yoshimura, H., Ishimaru, M., Endoh, Y.S., Kojima, A., 2001. Antimicrobial susceptibility of
414	Pasteurella multocida isolated from cattle and pigs. Journal of Veterinary Medicine B
415	Infectious Disease Veterinary Public Health 48, 555-560.
416	Zeitlinger MA Severmenn B. Treunmuller F. Georgeneules A. Muller M. Joukheder
417 718	<i>C</i> 2004 Impact of plasma protein binding on antimicrobial activity using time killing
410 419	curves Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 54, 876-880
420	eurves. southur of Anthineroolur enemotierupy 54, 676 666.
421	Ziv, G., Sulman, F.G., 1972. Binding of antibiotics to bovine and ovine serum. Antimicrobial
422	Agents and Chemotherapy 2, 206-213.
	Recei

#### 423 **Figure legends**

424

425 Fig. 1. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations

- 426 (MBCs) for oxytetracycline against 12 isolates (first six, left to right Mannheimia
- 427 *haemolytica;* second six, left to right *Pasteurella multocida*) in Mueller Hinton broth (MHB)
- 428 and bovine serum. Note the differing ordinate scales.
- 429
- 430 Fig. 2. In vitro inhibition of growth of *Mannheimia haemolytica* over 24 h exposure to five
- 431 multiples (0.25 to 4.0) of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), measured in either
- 432 Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) or serum, for oxytetracycline: (a) MHB and (b) serum (mean for
- 433 six isolates in each matrix). Standard error of the mean (SEM) bars not included for clarity.
- 434 Dotted line indicates limit of quantification (33 colony forming units, CFU/mL).
- 435
- 436 Fig 3. In vitro inhibition of growth of *Pasteurella multocida* over 24 h exposure to five
- 437 minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) multiples (0.25 to 4.0) of oxytetracycline,
- 438 measured in either Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) or serum: (a) MHB and (b) serum (mean for
- 439 six isolates in each matrix). Standard error of the mean (SEM) bars not included for clarity.
- 440 Dotted line indicates limit of quantification (33 colony forming units, CFU/mL).
- 441
- 442
- 443
- 444

## CCEPTED

Table 1. Oxytetracycline geometric mean standard deviation (SD) minimum inhibitory 445 concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) measured in 446 Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) and serum, along with MIC:MBC and MHB:serum ratios (n =447

6). 448

μg/mL)       (µg/mL)         Mannheimia haemolytica       13.1:1         MHB       0.22 (0.12)       2.83 (2.51)       13.1:1         Serum       5.46 (4.61) b       10.08 (6.20) a       1.9:1         Serum:MHB ratio       25.2:1       3.6:1       1         Pasteurella multocida       126 (1.33)       5.1:1         Serum       6.75 (2.58) b       12.67 (4.13) b       1.9:1         Serum:MHB ratio       27.4:1       10.1:1       1         Significant difference between MHB and serum: a P < 0.05; b P < 0.01.       Significant difference between MHB and serum: a P < 0.05; b P < 0.01.       Significant Quert Annotation Anno	Matrix	MIC	MBC	MBC:MIC ratio
Mannheimia haemolytica         MHB $0.22 (0.12)$ $2.83 (2.51)$ $13.1:1$ Serum $5.46 (4.61)^{b}$ $10.08 (6.20)^{a}$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $25.2:1$ $3.6:1$ Pasteurella multocida       MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum $6.75 (2.58)^{b}$ $12.67 (4.13)^{b}$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: ${}^{a}P < 0.05; {}^{b}P < 0.01$ . $7.4:1$ $10.1:1$		(µg/mL)	(µg/mL)	
MHB $0.22 (0.12)$ $2.83 (2.51)$ $13.1:1$ Serum $5.46 (4.61)^b$ $10.08 (6.20)^a$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $25.2:1$ $3.6:1$ Pasteurella multocida       MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum: $6.75 (2.58)^b$ $12.67 (4.13)^b$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: ${}^aP < 0.05; {}^bP < 0.01.$ $10.1:1$ $10.1:1$	Mannheimia haemolytica			
Serum $5.46 (4.61)^{b}$ $10.08 (6.20)^{a}$ $1.9:1$ Serum::MHB ratio $25.2:1$ $3.6:1$ Pasteurella multocida       MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum: $6.75 (2.58)^{b}$ $12.67 (4.13)^{b}$ $1.9:1$ Serum::       MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: $^{a} P < 0.05; {^{b} P} < 0.01$	MHB	0.22 (0.12)	2.83 (2.51)	13.1:1
Serum: MHB ratio $25.2:1$ $3.6:1$ <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum $6.75 (2.58)^{b}$ $12.67 (4.13)^{b}$ $1.9:1$ Serum: MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: <sup>a</sup> $P < 0.05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $P < 0.01$ .	Serum	5.46 (4.61) <sup>b</sup>	10.08 (6.20) <sup>a</sup>	1.9:1
Pasteurella multocida         MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum $6.75 (2.58)^b$ $12.67 (4.13)^b$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: ${}^aP < 0.05$ ; ${}^bP < 0.01$ .	Serum:MHB ratio	25.2:1	3.6:1	
MHB $0.25 (0.08)$ $1.26 (1.33)$ $5.1:1$ Serum $6.75 (2.58)^b$ $12.67 (4.13)^b$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: ${}^aP < 0.05; {}^bP < 0.01$	Pasteurella multocida			X
Serum $6.75 (2.58)^{b}$ $12.67 (4.13)^{b}$ $1.9:1$ Serum:MHB ratio $27.4:1$ $10.1:1$ Significant difference between MHB and serum: ${}^{a}P < 0.05$ ; ${}^{b}P < 0.01$	MHB	0.25 (0.08)	1.26 (1.33)	5.1:1
Serum:MHB ratio 27.4:1 10.1:1 Significant difference between MHB and serum: <sup>a</sup> <i>P</i> < 0.05; <sup>b</sup> <i>P</i> < 0.01.	Serum	6.75 (2.58) <sup>b</sup>	12.67 (4.13) <sup>b</sup>	1.9:1
Significant difference between MHB and serum: <sup>a</sup> $P < 0.05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $P < 0.01$ .	Serum:MHB ratio	27.4:1	10.1:1	
Accepted Manuts	Significant difference betwe	en MHB and serum: <sup>a</sup> $P < 0$	.05; <sup>b</sup> <i>P</i> < 0.01.	
		de d		
	P			

Significant difference between MHB and serum: <sup>a</sup> P < 0.05; <sup>b</sup> P < 0.01. 449

- 450
- 451
- 452

453 Figure 1.

454



457

#### ACCEPTED USCRIPT

Figure 2. 459



466 Figure 3.

