RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY - COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

De Decker, S., Gomes, S. A., Packer, R. M., Kenny, P. J., Beltran, E., Parzefall, B., Fenn, J., Nair, D., Nye, G. and Volk, H. A. (2016), EVALUATION OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING GUIDELINES FOR DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN THORACOLUMBAR INTERVERTEBRAL DISK EXTRUSIONS AND INTERVERTEBRAL DISK PROTRUSIONS IN DOGS. Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound. doi: 10.1111/vru.12394

which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/vru.12394.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: EVALUATION OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING GUIDELINES FOR DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN THORACOLUMBAR INTERVERTEBRAL DISK EXTRUSIONS AND INTERVERTEBRAL DISK PROTRUSIONS IN DOGS

AUTHORS: Steven De Decker, Sergio A. Gomes, Rowena MA Packer, Patrick J. Kenny, Elsa Beltran, Birgit Parzefall, Joe Fenn, Devi Nair, George Nye and Holger A. Volk

JOURNAL TITLE: Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound

PUBLISHER: Wiley

PUBLICATION DATE: 4 July 2016 (online)

DOI: 10.1111/vru.12394



1	Evaluation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Guidelines for Differentiation
2	Between Thoracolumbar Intervertebral Disk Extrusions And Intervertebral
3	Disk Protrusions
4	
5	Steven De Decker; Sergio A Gomes; Rowena MA Packer; Patrick J Kenny; Elsa
6	Beltran; Birgit Parzefall; Joe Fenn; Devi Nair; George Nye; Holger A Volk
7	
8	Department of Veterinary Clinical Science and Services, Royal Veterinary College,
9	University of London, Hawkshead lane, AL9 7TA North Mymms, Hatfield, England
10	
11	Dr. Gomes' current address is: The Queen's Veterinary School Hospital, University
12	of Cambridge, CB3 OES, Cambridge, England
13	
14	Running head: MRI guidelines for intervertebral disk disease
15	Keywords: intervertebral disk herniation, accuracy, reliability, intervertebral disk
16	disease, agreement
17	
18	
19	Name, address, and e-mail address of the corresponding author:
20	Steven De Decker, sdedecker@rvc.ac.uk, Department of Veterinary Clinical Science
21	and Services, Royal Veterinary College, University of London, North Mymms,
22	Hertfordshire, AL97TA, England
23	
24	Funding Sources: None
25	The results of this study have been presented in abstract form (Poster) for the 28 th

Annual Symposium of the European College of Veterinary Neurology – European

Society of Veterinary Neurology, 18-19 September 2015, Amsterdam, The

Netherlands.

Acknowledgments: None

31

32

Abstract

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

Four MRI variables have recently been suggested to be independently associated with a diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion. Midline intervertebral disk herniation, and partial intervertebral disk degeneration were associated with intervertebral disk protrusion, while presence of a single intervertebral disk herniation and disk material dispersed beyond the boundaries of the intervertebral disk space were associated with intervertebral disk extrusion. The aim of this retrospective, cross sectional study was to evaluate if using these MRI variables improves differentiation between thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions and protrusions. Eighty large breed dogs with surgically confirmed thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions or protrusions were included. Randomized MRI studies were presented on two occasions to six blinded observers, which were divided into three experience categories. During the first assessment, observers made a presumptive diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion without guidelines. During the second assessment they were asked to make a presumptive diagnosis with the aid of guidelines. Agreement was evaluated by Kappa-statistics. Diagnostic accuracy significantly improved from 70.8% to 79.6% and inter-observer agreement for making a diagnosis of intervertebral disk extrusion or intervertebral disk protrusion improved from fair ($\kappa = 0.27$) to moderate ($\kappa = 0.41$) after using the proposed guidelines. Diagnostic accuracy was significantly influenced by degree of observer experience. Intra-observer agreement for the assessed variables ranged from fair to excellent and inter-observer agreement ranged from fair to moderate. The results of this study suggest that the proposed imaging guidelines can aid in differentiating thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions from protrusions.

Two types of degenerative thoracolumbar intervertebral disk disease have been recognized; intervertebral disk extrusion or Hansen Type-I, and intervertebral disk protrusion or Hansen Type-II intervertebral disk disease. 1-3 Both types of intervertebral disk disease are associated with different pathological and clinical characteristics. 4,5 Thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion is characterized by a sudden herniation of degenerated and calcified nucleus pulposus through a fully ruptured anulus fibrosus into the vertebral canal. 1,5,6 Affected dogs can be young and present often with an acute onset of clinical signs, which can vary from spinal hyperesthesia to paraplegia with loss of nociception.^{4,5} Intervertebral disk protrusion is characterized by slowly progressive and focal extension of the anulus fibrosus and dorsal longitudinal ligament into the vertebral canal. 1,5-8 Affected animals are typically older, present with a more insidious clinical history and milder clinical signs, such as ambulatory paresis and ataxia of the pelvic limbs. 4,5,9,10 Apart from the above mentioned differences in clinical presentation, thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and protrusion are also associated with different suggested surgical techniques ¹¹⁻¹⁵, postoperative complications, and possibly also a different prognosis.⁴ Although outcomes after medical and surgical treatment have been extensively reported for dogs with extrusions, this information is only scarce for dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk protrusions. It seems therefore important to accurately differentiate extrusions from protrusions before treatment options and associated outcomes are discussed with owners of affected dogs. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered the imaging modality of choice to diagnose intervertebral disk disease in dogs ¹⁶, it is currently unknown how well this technique can be used to differentiate between both types of intervertebral disk herniation. A recent study ⁹ identified four MRI variables, which were suggested to be

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

independently associated with a diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion in large breed dogs (Figure 1): (1) midline instead of lateralized intervertebral disk herniation, and (2) partial instead of complete intervertebral disk degeneration were associated with a diagnosis of intervertebral disk protrusion, while (3) the presence of a single instead of multiple intervertebral disk herniations and (4) dispersed intervertebral disk material beyond the borders of the intervertebral disk space were associated with a diagnosis of intervertebral disk extrusion. Before these MRI variables can be suggested as diagnostic guidelines, it is however important to evaluate if applying them would be clinically useful and reliable. In other words, it should be assessed how well thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion can be differentiated from intervertebral disk protrusion without using these guidelines, if using these guidelines improves indeed accuracy and reliability to differentiate both types of intervertebral disk herniation, and if assessment of the proposed MRI variables is associated with acceptable intra –and inter-observer agreements. The aim of this retrospective, cross sectional study was therefore to evaluate if implementation of the above mentioned MRI variables would facilitate obtaining an accurate and reliable diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion. An additional aim was to evaluate how levels of experience would influence MRI assessments with and without the proposed imaging guidelines. It was hypothesized that application of the proposed MRI guidelines would improve diagnostic accuracy and reliability of diagnosing thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions and protrusions, that the proposed variables could be assessed with acceptable intra and interobserver agreements, and that observers with limited MRI experience would benefit the strongest from using the proposed MRI guidelines.

109

108

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

Methods

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

Included dogs were presented to the Royal Veterinary College (RVC), University of London, between July 2002 and January 2014 for further assessment of a suspected spinal condition. The studied animals were also included in a previous study, evaluating MRI characteristics of 95 large breed dogs with surgically confirmed thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion (n=52) or intervertebral disk protrusion (n=43). Of these 95 animals, 40 dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and 40 dogs with intervertebral disk protrusion were randomly selected using a random number generator. This selection aimed to obtain an equal number of dogs in each group. To assess intraobserver agreement, the MRI studies of 20 dogs of each group were randomly selected and duplicated. A total of 80 dogs and 120 MRI studies were therefore included (Figure 2). All dogs included in this study received general physical and complete neurological examinations. Dogs were included if (1) they were large breed dogs, defined as a body weight exceeding 20kg ⁴, (2) underwent an MRI study of the thoracolumbar or lumbar vertebral column, (3) following a diagnosis of intervertebral disk herniation underwent spinal surgery consisting of a hemilaminectomy or hemilaminectomy combined with a partial discectomy and (4) the type of intervertebral disk herniation (extrusion or protrusion) was clearly noted in the surgical reports. Dogs were excluded if the medical records or imaging studies were incomplete, if they were not available in a digital format, or if the type of intervertebral disk herniation (extrusion or protrusion) was not clearly noted in the surgical reports, if more than one type of intervertebral disk herniation (both extrusion and protrusion present), or acute herniations of flaps of anulus were observed during surgery. Information retrieved from the medical records included signalment, duration, type, and severity of clinical signs, general physical and neurological

examinations findings and type of surgery with surgical confirmation of the type of intervertebral disk herniation (extrusion or protrusion). Severity of neurological deficits was graded by the modified Frankel score, which was defined as paraplegia with no deep nociception (grade 0), paraplegia with no superficial nociception (grade 1), paraplegia with nociception (grade 2), non-ambulatory paraparesis (grade 3), ambulatory paraparesis and ataxia (grade 4), spinal hyperesthesia only (grade 5), or no dysfunction.¹⁷

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

All dogs underwent MRI under general anesthesia. MRI was performed with a 1.5T magnet (Intera, Philips Medical Systems, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). The selection of variables was based on the results of a previous study evaluating MRI characteristics of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk disease in large breed dogs and were suggested to be independently associated with a diagnosis of intervertebral disk extrusion or intervertebral disk protrusion. Assessed variables included (1) lateralization of herniated disk material, (2) degree of intervertebral disk degeneration, (3) the presence of multiple intervertebral disk herniations, and (4) location of herniated disk material relative to the intervertebral disk space (Figure 1). Lateralization of herniated intervertebral disk material was assessed on transverse images and was described as being exclusively in the midline ventral to the spinal cord or lateralized. Assessment of intervertebral disk degeneration was based on nucleus pulposus signal intensity on sagittal T2-weighted images. A non-degenerate intervertebral disk (grade 0) had a homogenous hyperintense signal, a partially degenerate intervertebral disk (grade 1) had heterogeneous loss of hyperintense signal, and a completely degenerate intervertebral disk (grade 2) had complete loss of hyperintense signal. 18-20 Presence of a single or multiple intervertebral disk

herniations was evaluated on sagittal and transverse T2-weighted images. Location of herniated disk material relative to the affected intervertebral disk space was assessed on T1 –and T2-weighted sagittal images and was described as dispersed or confined to the intervertebral disk space. Dispersed intervertebral disk material was defined as intervertebral disk material beyond the borders of the affected intervertebral disk space and associated vertebral endplates.²¹ Disk material confined to the intervertebral disk space was defined as herniated disk material not exceeding the limits of the intervertebral disk space or associated vertebral endplates.²¹

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

The 120 MRI studies were presented twice in a randomized order to six blinded observers (Figure 2). The sequence of MRI studies and the identity of duplicate studies differed among observers. The observers were not informed about the clinical history, type of clinical signs, the number of dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions or protrusions, were not informed about the inclusion of duplicate MRI studies, were not aware of the results of our previous study⁹, and were also not involved in assessment of MRI studies for our previous study⁹. Standard image archiving and communication system software (Osirix Foundation, V.5.5.2 Geneva, Switzerland) was used to view the imaging studies. During the first round of assessments, the observers were asked for each MRI study to make a presumptive diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion without any guidelines. They were however informed about the location of the affected and surgically confirmed intervertebral disk space. After returning their answers, they received again 120 MRI studies (different sequence and different identity of duplicates) with at least a 1-month interval between the two rounds of assessments. During the second round of assessments the observers were again asked for each MRI study to make a presumptive diagnosis of intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion.

This time they were however provided with the proposed MRI guidelines. Additionally, they were asked to record the presence or absence of each of the proposed MRI variables (Figure 2). They were again informed about the location of the affected intervertebral disk space. To evaluate the role of experience, the six observers were divided into three groups of different experience levels; expert, moderate, and no experience. Two board-certified neurologists represented the group of expert observers (PJK and EB). Two final year residents in neurology represented the group of observers with moderate experience (BP and JF). The group of observers without experience was represented by two veterinary surgeons completing a small animal rotating internship (DN and GN). Because of their lack of experience and unfamiliarity with the imaging software, the two observers without experience received a training session by the first author of this study. During this session, they learnt how to use the imaging viewing software, were instructed about the pathophysiological differences between intervertebral disk extrusions and protrusions, and were handed a recent review manuscript discussing intervertebral disk disease in chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic dog breeds.⁵ After the first round of assessments they received illustrated guidelines with examples of the proposed MRI variables. While these training sessions were deemed necessary to facilitate study enrollment of observers without experience, it was considered unnecessary for the more experienced observers. Wilcoxon signed ranks tests were used to detect the influence of diagnostic

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

Wilcoxon signed ranks tests were used to detect the influence of diagnostic guidelines on diagnostic accuracy and agreement. Chi-squared tests were used to detect differences in accuracy between the three experience groups, and the effect of intervertebral disk herniation type (intervertebral disk extrusion vs. protrusion) on diagnostic accuracy with and without use of guidelines. These statistics were carried

out in IBM SPSS Statistics v21 (IBM SPSS Statistics, New York) by one of the authors (RMAP). Agreement statistics were calculated using Minitab v17 (Minitab version 17, Pennsylvania). Fleiss' kappa (κ) for more than two observers was calculated ²² for diagnosis and each assessed MRI variable. The strength of agreement was interpreted on the basis of the κ values suggested by Altman ²³, as adapted from the method of Landis and Koch ²⁴: κ -values of 0.81 – 1.00 indicated very good agreement; 0.61 – 0.80, good agreement; 0.41 – 0.60, moderate agreement; 0.21 – 0.40, fair agreement; and 0.20 or lower, poor agreement. As a guide, the minimum threshold for κ is often arbitrarily set at $\kappa \leq 0.4$, below which variables are considered unreliable. ²⁵ Calculation of interobserver agreement and accuracy was based on the assessment of the 80 original MRI studies. Calculation of intraobserver agreement was based on assessment of 40 duplicate MRI studies (Figure 2). Results were considered significant if P < 0.05.

Results

A total of 80 large breed dogs with surgically confirmed thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion (n=40) or protrusion (40) were included in this study. The group of dogs with intervertebral disk extrusion included German Shepherd Dogs (n=10), Staffordshire Bull Terriers (five), Clumber Spaniels (four), Labrador Retrievers (four), Basset Hounds (three), Rottweilers (three); there were six breeds represented by one dog each and five crossbreeds. This group included 21 males and 19 females aged between three and 12 years (mean, 7.2 years). Duration of clinical signs ranged from 12 hours to six months (median, three days) and affected dogs presented with neurological grades 0 (n=three dogs), 1 (one), 2 (10), 3 (nine), and 4 (17). Affected intervertebral disk spaces in order of occurrence were T12-T13, L1-L2

(both n=nine), T13-L1 (seven), L2-L3 (six), L3-L4 (five), T11-T12 (two), T3-T4 and L4-L5 (both one). The group of dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk protrusion included German Shepherd Dogs (n=20), English Staffordshire Bull terriers (eight), Basset Hounds (two), Labrador Retrievers (two); there were four breeds represented by one dog each and four crossbreeds. This group included 32 males and eight females aged between four and 12.2 years (mean, 8.6 years). Duration of clinical signs ranged from 12 hours to two years (median, 29 days) and affected dogs presented with neurological grades 2 (n=one dog), 3 (seven), and 4 (32).

Affected intervertebral disk spaces in order of occurrence were T13-L1 (n=17), T12-T13, L1-L2 (both nine) and L2-L3 (five).

MRI studies were performed with dogs in dorsal recumbency, using a dedicated spinal coil. Imaging studies included a minimum of T2-weighted (repetition time (ms) (TR)/ echo time (ms) (TE); 3000/120) and T1-weighted (TR/TE, 400/8) sagittal and transverse images. Slice thickness for sagittal and transverse images were respectively 1.75 and 2.5mm with an interslice gap of 0.3mm in both planes. The transverse images were aligned perpendicular to the spinal cord. Diagnostic accuracy was calculated using the assessments of the 80 original MRI studies (Table 1). Overall diagnostic accuracy without using the proposed guidelines for the six observers combined was 70.8% (340/480) and ranged from 45% (36/80) to 88.8% (71/80) for individual observers (Table 1). There was a significant influence of observer experience with observers without experience being least accurate (58%) and observers with moderate experience being most accurate (78%) ($X^2 = 18.78$, P < 0.001). There was no significant difference between the number of accurately diagnosed thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions or protrusions before guidelines were included (74% vs. 75%, respectively, P > 0.05). Overall diagnostic

accuracy for the six observers combined improved significantly (P = 0.028) from 70.8% to 79.6% (382/480) after using the proposed diagnostic guidelines and ranged from 52.5% (42/80) to 92.5% (74/80) after using the MRI guidelines. Additionally, all six observers diagnosed a higher number of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions or protrusions correctly after using the guidelines (mean improvement; 13.4%). There was again a significant influence of observer experience ($X^2 = 31.72$, P < 0.001) with observers without experience being least accurate (65%) and observers with expert experience being most accurate (88%). After using the guidelines thoracolumbar intervertebral disk protrusions (84%) were significantly more often (P = 0.024) correctly diagnosed than intervertebral disk extrusions (75%) ($X^2 = 5.13$, P = 0.02)

Intraobserver agreement was calculated using the assessments of the 40 duplicate MRI studies (Table 2). Intraobserver agreement for making a diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion ranged from 0.4 to 0.95 before, and from 0.51 to 0.85 after applying the proposed guidelines. This difference was not significant and there was no significant influence of observer experience. Although a high degree of variability was seen among individual observers, most variables were associated with moderate or good intraobserver agreement (Table 2). Assessing if multiple intervertebral disk herniations were present was associated with the highest intraobserver agreement ($\kappa = 0.43 - 0.79$) and assessing if an intervertebral disk herniation was midline or lateralized was associated with the lowest intraobserver agreement ($\kappa = 0.33 - 0.75$). There was no significant influence of level of experience on intraobserver agreement of the assessed MRI variables.

Interobserver agreement was calculated using the assessments of the 80 original MRI studies. Overall interobserver agreement for making a diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion significantly improved (P < 0.001) from fair ($\kappa = 0.27$) to moderate ($\kappa = 0.41$) after using the proposed guidelines. Assessing if an intervertebral disk herniation was midline or lateralized and if and intervertebral disk herniation was confined to or exceeded the borders of the affected intervertebral disk space were associated with moderate interobserver agreement (both $\kappa = 0.43$). Assessing the presence of partial intervertebral disk degeneration ($\kappa = 0.35$), complete disk degeneration ($\kappa = 0.40$), and multiple intervertebral disk herniations ($\kappa = 0.35$) were associated with fair interobserver agreement.

Discussion

This study evaluated the accuracy, reliability and reproducibility of MRI guidelines to improve the differentiation of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and disk protrusion in large breed dogs. The results of this study suggest that applying the proposed guidelines improves the accuracy and inter-observer agreement of diagnosing thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and intervertebral disk protrusion. The assessed MRI variables were associated with fair to excellent intraobserver and fair to moderate interobserver agreements. The results of this study indicate further that accuracy of assessing spinal MRI studies is influenced by the observer's degree of training and experience.

Differentiating thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions from protrusions is clinically important. Although several studies have characterized the clinical presentation and outcomes after medical or surgical treatment for dogs with

thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion ²⁶⁻³⁰, this information is less well characterized for dogs with intervertebral disk protrusion. While surgical treatment of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion typically consists of a hemilaminectomy with fenestration of the affected intervertebral disk ²⁸⁻³⁰, it is suggested that a lateral corpectomy or vertebral stabilization should be considered for dogs with intervertebral disk protrusion. 11-15 While the former can be considered a basic spinal surgical technique, the latter are probably more technically demanding. Furthermore, little is known about results of medical management in dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk protrsuins⁴, it has been suggested that dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion have a better prognosis after surgery than dogs with intervertebral disk protrusion ⁴, and that dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk protrusion are at increased risk of early postoperative neurological deterioration.^{4,31} This illustrates that reaching an accurate and reliable imaging diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion is not only important for surgical planning, but also for managing the expectations of owners and clinical staff. The overall accuracy to differentiate thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion from intervertebral disk protrusion improved significantly from 70.8 to 79.6%, and the interobserver agreement for making a diagnosis improved significantly from fair to moderate after applying the proposed MRI guidelines. Although these findings indicate clinical usefulness, a proportion of cases were still diagnosed incorrectly after using the proposed guidelines. This suggests that MRI in isolation cannot be completely relied on to differentiate between thoracolumbar extrusions and protrusions and confirms that imaging findings should always be interpreted in light of a thorough clinical history and results of a complete clinical examination.³² In combination to the evaluated MRI variables, a clinical variable has been suggested to

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

be independently associated with a diagnosis of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion. Increased duration of clinical signs, with a threshold value of 21 days, was significantly associated with a diagnosis of intervertebral disk protrusion. The observers in this study were however unaware of the clinical history and clinical signs of included dogs. It is therefore possible that better diagnostic accuracy and reliability would have been reached if this information had been available.

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

Intra –and interobserver agreement for the assessed MRI variables was evaluated with kappa statistics. This is a useful and widespread statistical technique to evaluate reliability and reproducibility.^{25,33} Obtained kappa coefficients are commonly translated into poor, fair, moderate, good or very good agreement. ^{23,24} Although this can provide useful information, results of kappa statistics do not directly determine if a certain variable can be assessed with acceptable reliability and reproducibility. ^{25,33} Although such a decision is dependent on the specific variable and clinical context, studies in musculoskeletal research have suggested that kappa coefficients lower than 0.4 should be considered clinically unacceptable. 25,33 When applying this threshold value to the study presented here, intraobserver agreement for the assessed MRI variables could be considered clinically acceptable. Only one observer did not reach intraobserver agreement coefficients ≥ 0.4 for all assessed MRI variables. Interobserver agreement for the assessed variables ranged from fair to moderate with 3 of the 5 assessed variables reaching the arbitrary threshold value (≥ 0.4) for acceptable agreement. Presence of multiple intervertebral disk herniations and degree of intervertebral disk degeneration were associated with only fair interobserver agreement. The lower interobserver agreement for the presence of multiple

number of spinal cord compressions in dogs with disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy.³⁴ In the current study, assessment of multiple intervertebral disk herniations was among the variables with the highest intraobserver agreement, indicating consistent rating within an individual observer. Different degrees of intervertebral disk herniation can occur in clinically normal dogs ^{20,35} and it is not always possible to clearly differentiate clinically relevant from irrelevant intervertebral disk herniations.³² It is therefore possible that different observers will have different, but individually consistent, criteria for evaluating if an intervertebral disk herniation is present or not. This variable is therefore likely associated with inherent subjectivity and it should be considered to develop a more objectively definition of intervertebral disk herniation. Although a grading system based on accepted terminology ^{19,20,36} with easily recognizable characteristics was used, evaluation of degree of intervertebral disk degeneration was also associated with lower values for interobserver agreement. This finding is however in contrast with several human and veterinary studies. 19,32,37-40 A previous veterinary study demonstrated good agreement between experienced observers for rating intervertebral disk degeneration using the same criteria as this study.³² Although other reasons cannot be excluded, it is possible that the inclusion of observers with varying degrees of training and experience, including observers without experience, contributed to the lower value for interobserver agreement in this study. 39,41 The influence of observer experience was further highlighted by the fact that 'low

observer experience' negatively influenced the diagnostic accuracy of the assessed

MRI studies. Even after using the diagnostic guidelines, the group of observers

intervertebral disk herniations is in agreement with a previous study evaluating the

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

without experience had significantly lower accuracy for diagnosing thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and intervertebral disk protrusion. This finding is in agreement with several human studies ^{39,42,43} and indicates that assessment and clinical interpretation of MRI studies should be preserved for people with sufficient experience and training. It is therefore possible that the overall results of this study are influenced by the inclusion of observers with different experience levels and it can be debated if our results therefore appropriately reflect referral practice. For the purpose of this study, observers with different experience categories were included to evaluate if differentiation between thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and disk protrusion would be more problematic for observers with little experience, if using the proposed guidelines would be easy and objective enough to be used by novices, and if using these imaging guidelines could compensate for lack of training and experience.

A potential limitation of this study was the limited number of observers in each 'experience category'. Although care was taken to select observers of similar experience levels. In addition, there was no standardization across observers in terms of how the assessments were performed (i.e. all in one sitting or a few at a time) or the monitor on which they were viewed. Another limitation was that all patients had surgically confirmed intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion. The combination of imaging and clinical findings was therefore severe enough to warrant surgical intervention. These factors could have influenced the assessment of the observers; however surgical confirmation was necessary to provide a "golden standard" diagnosis with which to assess diagnostic accuracy. It should further be emphasized that MRI studies were evaluated by general clinicians or veterinary surgeons trained in veterinary neurology and neurosurgery. It can however not be excluded that

interpretation of MRI studies by observers with a different training background, such as veterinary radiologists, would have influenced our results. Veterinary neurologists were however preferred in this study, because in our institution, these clinicians perform MRI interpretation and spinal surgery on a daily basis and were therefore considered to have most experience in taking clinical decisions after assessing spinal MRI studies. Although only limited data is available on direct comparisons between veterinary neurosurgeons and radiologists for assessing spinal MRI studies ^{34,44,45}, human studies indicate acceptable agreement between specialities. 46-49 Although comparing the accuracy and agreement between veterinary neurologists and radiologists was considered beyond the aims of this study, further studies are necessary to not only evaluate the role of experience, but also the type of training on the reliability and accuracy of evaluating spinal MRI studies In summary, the results of this study suggest that differentiation between thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion and protrusion becomes more accurate and reliable after applying the proposed MRI guidelines, that the proposed MRI variables can be assessed with, in general, clinically acceptable agreement, and that diagnostic guidelines cannot replace thorough clinical training and experience.

Further studies are necessary to evaluate the influence of observer experience and

availability of clinical history and clinical signs on the evaluation of spinal MRI

431

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

studies.

432

Acknowledgments: None

434

- 437 **References**
- 438
- 1. Hansen HJ. A pathologic-anatomical study on disc degeneration in dog, with
- special reference to the so-called enchondrosis intervertebralis. Acta Orthop Scand
- 441 Suppl 1952;11:1–117.
- 2. Berknut N, Rutges JP, Kranenburg HJ, et al. The dog as an animal model for
- intervertebral disc degeneration? Spine 2012;37:351-358.
- 3. Bergknut N, Meij BP, Hagman R, et al. Intervertebral disc disease in dogs Part 1:
- A new histological grading scheme for classification of intervertebral disc
- degeneration in dogs. Vet J 2013;195:156–163.
- 4. Macias C, McKee WM, May C, Innes JF. Thoracolumbar disc disease in large
- 448 dogs: a study of 99 cases. J Small Anim Pract 2002;43:439–446.
- 5. Smolders LA, Bergknut N, Grinwis GCM, et al. Intervertebral disc degeneration in
- 450 the dog. Part 2: Chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic breeds. Vet J
- 451 2013;195:292–299.
- 6. Brisson BA. Intervertebral disc disease in dogs. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim
- 453 Pract 2010;40:829–858.
- 7. Griffiths IR. Some aspects of the pathogenesis and diagnosis of lumbar disc
- protrusion in the dog. J Small Anim Pract 1972;13:439–447.
- 8. Bray JP, Burbidge HM. The canine intervertebral disk. Part Two: Degenerative
- changes--nonchondrodystrophoid versus chondrodystrophoid disks. J Am Anim Hosp
- 458 Assoc 1998;34:135–144.
- 9. Gomes SA, Volk HA, Packer RMA, et al. Clinical and magnetic resonance imaging
- characteristics of thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions and protrusions in large
- breed dogs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2016; doi: 10.1111/vru.12359...

- 10. Cudia SP, Duval JM. Thoracolumbar intervertebral disk disease in large,
- 463 nonchondrodystrophic dogs: a retrospective study. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc
- 464 1997;33:456–460.
- 11. Moissonnier P, Meheust P, Carozzo C. Thoracolumbar Lateral Corpectomy for
- Treatment of Chronic Disk Herniation: Technique Description and Use in 15 Dogs.
- 467 Vet Surg 2004;33:620–628.
- 468 12. McKee WM, Downes CJ. Vertebral stabilisation and selective decompression for
- the management of triple thoracolumbar disc protrusions. J Small Anim Pract
- 470 2008;49:536–539.
- 13. Downes CJ, Gemmill TJ, Gibbons SE, McKee WM. Hemilaminectomy and
- vertebral stabilisation for the treatment of thoracolumbar disc protrusion in 28 dogs. J
- 473 Small Anim Pract 2009;50:525–535.
- 14. Flegel T, Boettcher IC, Ludewig E, et al. Partial Lateral Corpectomy of the
- Thoracolumbar Spine in 51 Dogs: Assessment of Slot Morphometry and Spinal Cord
- 476 Decompression. Vet Surg 2010;40:14–21.
- 477 15. Salger F, Ziegler L, Böttcher IC, et al. Neurologic outcome after thoracolumbar
- partial lateral corpectomy for intervertebral disc disease in 72 dogs. Vet Surg
- 479 2014;43:581-588.
- 480 16. Robertson I, Thrall DE. Imaging dogs with suspected disc herniation: pros and
- cons of myelography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance. Vet Radiol
- 482 Ultrasound 2011;52:81–84.
- 483 17. Van Wie EY, Fosgate GT, Mankin JM, et al. Prospectively recorded versus
- 484 medical record-derived spinal cord injury scores in dogs with intervertebral disk
- 485 herniation. J Vet Intern Med 2013;27:1273-1277.

- 486 18. Modic MT, Masaryk TJ, Ross JS, Carter JR. Imaging of degenerative disk
- 487 disease. Radiology 1988;168:177–186.
- 488 19. Bergknut N, Auriemma E, Wijsman S, et al. Evaluation of intervertebral disk
- degeneration in chondrodystrophic and nonchondrodystrophic dogs by use of
- 490 Pfirrmann grading of images obtained with low-field magnetic resonance imaging.
- 491 Am J Vet Res 2011;72:893–898.
- 492 20. da Costa RC, Parent JM, Partlow G, et al. Morphologic and morphometric
- 493 magnetic resonance imaging features of Doberman Pinschers with and without
- clinical signs of cervical spondylomyelopathy. Am J Vet Res 2006;67:1601-1612.
- 495 21. Besalti O, Pekcan Z, Sirin YS, Erbas G. Magnetic resonance imaging findings in
- dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disk disease: 69 cases (1997-2005). J Am Vet
- 497 Med Assoc 2006;228:902–908.
- 498 22. Fleis JL. Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. Psychol Bull
- 499 1971;76:378-382.

- 500 23. Landis JR, Koch GG. Measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
- 501 Biometrics 1977;33:159-174.
- 502 24. Altman DG. In: Practical statistics for medical research, London England:
- 503 Chapman and Hall CRC 1991;404.
- 504 25. Sim J, Wright CC. The Kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation,
- and sample size requirements. Phys Ther 2005;85:257-268.
- 507 26. Olby N, Levine J, Harris T, et al. Long-term functional outcome of dogs with
- severe injuries of the thoracolumbar spinal cord: 87 cases (1996-2001). J Am Vet
- 509 Med Assoc 2003;222:762–769.

- 510 27. Ruddle TL, Allen DA, Schertel ER, et al. Outcome and prognostic factors in non-
- ambulatory Hansen type I intervertebral disc extrusions: 308 cases. Vet Comp Orthop
- 512 Traumatol 2006;19:29–34.
- 513 28. Brisson BA, Holmberg DA, Parent J, et al. Comparison of the effect of single-site
- and multiple-site disk fenestration on the rate of recurrence of thoracolumbar
- intervertebral disk herniation in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2011;238:1593–1600.
- 516 29. Aikawa T, Fujita H, Shibata M, et al. Recurrent thoracolumbar intervertebral disc
- extrusion after hemilaminectomy and concomitant prophylactic fenestration in 662
- chondrodystrophic dogs. Vet Surg 2012;41:381–390.
- 30. Aikawa T, Fujita H, Kanazono S, et al. Long-term neurologic outcome of
- hemilaminectomy and disk fenestration for treatment of dogs with thoracolumbar
- intervertebral disk herniation: 831 cases (2000-2007). J Am Vet Med Assoc
- 522 2012;241:1617–1626.
- 31. Olby NJ, Jeffery N. Pathogenesis and physiology of central nervous system
- disease and injury. In: Tobias, KM, Johnston SA, ed. Veterinary surgery. Small
- animal. Missouri: Elsevier Saunders; 2012:374-387.
- 32. De Decker S, Gielen I, Duchateau L, et al. Intraobserver and interobserver
- agreement for results of low-field magnetic resonance imaging in dogs with and
- 528 without clinical signs of disk-associated wobbler syndrome. J Am Vet Med Assoc
- 529 2011;238:74–80.
- 33. Petersen IS. using the kappa coefficient as a measure of reliability and
- 531 reproducibility. Chest 1998;114:946-947.
- 34. De Decker S, Gielen IM, Duchateau L, et al. Intraobserver, interobserver, and
- intermethod agreement for results of myelography, computed tomography-

- myelography, and low-field magnetic resonance imaging in dogs with disk-associated
- 535 wobbler syndrome. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2011;238:1601-1608.
- 35. De Decker S, Gielen I, Duchateau L, et al. Low-field magnetic resonance imaging
- 537 findings of the caudal portion of the cervical region in clinically normal Doberman
- 538 Pinschers and Foxhounds. Am J Vet Res 2010;71:428–434.
- 36. Seiler G, Häni H, Scheidegger J, Busato A, Lang J. Staging of lumbar
- intervertebral disc degeneration in nonchondrodystrophic dogs using low-field
- magnetic resonance imaging. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2003;44:179–184.
- 542 37. Cihangiroglu M, Yildirim H, Bozgeyik Z, et al. Observer variability based on the
- strength of MR scanners in the assessment of lumbar degenerative disc disease. Eur J
- 544 Radiol 2004;51:202-208.
- 38. Solgaard Sorensen J, Kjaer P, Jensen ST, Andersen P. Low-field magnetic
- resonance imaging of the lumbar spine: reliability of qualitative evaluation of disc and
- muscle parameters. Acta Radiol 2006;47:947-953.
- 39. Truszczyńska A, Brychcy A, Rapala K, Walczak P, Truszczyński O, Tarnowski
- A. Interrater and intrarater reliability of lumbar spine magnetic resonance assessment
- of military aircraft pilot candidates. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2011;13:343-351.
- 40. Sander AL, Laurer H, Lehnert T, et al. A clinically useful classification of
- traumatic intervertebral disk lesions. Am J Roentgenol 2013;200:618-623.
- 41. Knight K, Hiller ML, Simpson DD, Broome KM. the validity of self-reported
- cocaine use in a criminal justice treatment sample. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse
- 555 1998;24:647-660.
- 42. Song KJ, Choi BW, Kim GH, Kim JR. Clinical usefulness of CT-myelogram
- comparing with the MRI in degenerative cervical spinal disorders; is CTM still useful
- for primary diagnostic tool? J Spinal Disord Tech 2009;22:353-357.

- 43. Baltzer PAT, Kaiser WA, Dietzel M. Lesion type and reader experience affect the
- diagnostic accuracy of breast MRI: a multiple reader ROC study. Eur J Radiol
- 561 2015;84:86-91.
- 44. Bos AS, Brisson BA, Nykamp SG, et al. Accuracy, intermethod agreement, and
- inter-reviewer agreement for use of magnetic resonance imaging and myelography in
- small-breed dogs with naturally occurring first-time intervertebral disk extrusion. J
- 565 Am Vet Med Assoc 2012;240:969-977.
- 45. Fenn J, Drees R, Volk HA, De Decker S. Inter- and intraobserver agreement for
- diagnosing presumptive ischemic myelopathy and acute noncompressive nucleus
- 568 pulposus extrusion in dogs using magnetic resonance imaging. Vet Radiol Ultrasound
- 569 2016;57:33-40.
- 570 46. Rihn JA, Yang N, Fisher C, et al. Using magnetic resonance imaging to accurately
- assess injury to the posterior ligamentous complex of the spine: a prospective
- 572 comparison of the surgeon and radiologist. J Neurosurg Spine 2010;12:391-396.
- 573 47. Lee WJ, Yoon SH, Kim JY, et al. Interobserver and intraobserver reliability of
- sub-axial injury classification and severity scale between radiologist, resident and
- spine surgeon. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2012;52:200-203.
- 48. Imaad-ur-Rehman. Hamid RS, Akhtar W, et al. Observer variation in MRI
- evaluation of patients with suspected lumbar disc herniation and nerve root
- 578 compression: comparison of neuroradiologist and neurosurgeon's interpretations. J
- 579 Pak Med Assoc 2012;62:826-829.
- 49. Fisher CG, Versteeg AL, Schouten R, et al. Reliability of the spinal instability
- neoplastic scale among radiologists: an assessment of instability secondary to spinal
- 582 metastases. Am J Roentgenol 2014;2013:869-874.

Legends

Figure 1. Proposed MRI guidelines to differentiate between thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusion (IVDE) and intervertebral disk protrusion (IVDP) in large breed dogs.

MRI variable	Intervertebral disk Extrusion	Intervertebral disk Protrusion
Lateralized intervertebral disk herniation	More likely	Less likely
Partial instead of complete intervertebral disk degeneration	Less likely	More likely
Multiple intervertebral disk herniations	Less likely	More likely
Herniated disk material confined to intervertebral disk space	Less likely	More likely

Figure 2. Flow-chart illustrating different MRI assessments in order to calculate the diagnostic accuracy, inter-observer agreement and intra-observer agreement for differentiating between thoracolumbar intervertebral disk extrusions and protrusions with and without the use of the proposed imaging guidelines.

First round of assessments: 120 MRI studies without guidelines

80 original MRI studies

40 randomly selected duplicate MRI studies

Make presumptive diagnosis of IVDE or IVDP

Second round of assessments: 120 MRI studies with guidelines

80 original MRI studies

40 different randomly selected duplicate MRI studies

Make presumptive diagnosis of IVDE or IVDP Record presence or absence of each proposed MRI variable

Calculate diagnostic accuracy and inter-observer agreement with and without guidelines based on assessments of 80 original MRI studies

Calculate intraobserver agreement with and without guidelines based on assessments of 40 duplicate MRI studies

601

597

598

599

Observer	Degree of experience	Correct diagnoses without guidelines (out of 80)	Correct diagnoses with guidelines (out of 80)
Observer 1	Expert	69 (86.2%)	73 (91.2%)
Observer 2	Moderate	53 (66.2%)	63 (78.8%)
Observer 3	None	36 (45%)	42 (52.5%)
Observer 4	Expert	54 (67.5%)	68 (85%)
Observer 5	Moderate	71 (88.8%)	74 (92.5%)
Observer 6	None	57 (71.2%)	62 (77.5%)
Overall	NA	340 (70.8%)	382 (79.6%)

Table 2. Intraobserver agreement κ-values for making a diagnosis of thoracolumbar
 intervertebral disk extrusion or protrusion and for assessment of each of the proposed
 MRI variables. Calculations were based on 40 duplicate MRI studies

Variable	Observer 1- Expert	Observer 2- Moderate experience	Observer 3- No experience	Observer 4- Expert	Observer 5- Moderate experience	Observer 6 – No experience
Diagnosis without guidelines	0.75	0.81	0.42	0.80	0.95	0.40
Diagnosis with guidelines	0.75	0.85	0.51	0.59	0.70	0.75
IVD herniation midline?	0.75	0.75	0.33	0.69	0.55	0.45
Multiple IVD herniations present?	0.79	0.73	0.46	0.43	0.85	0.75
IVD herniation confined to IVDS?	0.95	0.89	-0.14	0.84	0.69	0.56
Partial IVD degeneration present?	0.68	0.85	0.55	0.65	0.49	0.47
Complete IVD degeneration present?	0.68	0.90	0.44	0.62	0.49	0.75

611
 612 IVD = intervertebral disk, IVDS = intervertebral disk space on and for assessment of
 613 each of the proposed MRI variables. Calculations were based on 80 original MRI
 614 studies