Short and long-term outcome following surgical stabilisation of tarsocrural instability in dogs

L. Beever1; E. Kulendra1; R. L Meeson1.

Running head

L. Beever et al.: Outcome of tarsocrural instability in dogs

1Queen Mother Hospital for Animals, Department of Clinical Sciences and Services, Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hertfordshire, UK

Keywords

Canine, tarsocrural, (tibiotarsal, talocrural), instability, luxation.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Correspondence to:

Richard Meeson MA VetMB, MVetMed, DECVS, FHEA, MRCVS Lecturer in Orthopaedic Surgery The Royal Veterinary College Queen Mother Hospital for Animals Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms Hatfield, Hertfordshire AL9 7TA United Kingdom Phone: +44 707 666 366 E-mail: rmeeson@rvc.ac.uk

Abbreviations

- CBPI- Canine Brief Pain Inventory
- α- IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp
- β- GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com

1	Summary
2	
3	Objectives: Evaluate outcome and complications following surgical stabilisation of canine tarsocrural luxations.
4	
5	Methods: Medical records were reviewed. Surgical technique, complications and long-term outcome (questionnaire and
6	Canine Brief Pain Inventory) were assessed.
7	
8	Results: Twenty-four dogs (26 joints) were included. All injuries were traumatic. All joints had associated fractures; malleolar in
9	21/26 limbs (13/26 medial). Eight joints had internal fracture fixation and transarticular external skeletal fixator, six had fixator
10	alone, four had prosthetic ligaments with fixator, and four had prosthetic ligaments with external coaptation. Two joints had
11	pantarsal arthrodesis and two primary ligament repair. Complications occurred in 24/26 limbs giving 45 distinct complications;
12	16 were minor, 29 major, 31 complications were fixator-associated. Prosthetic ligaments were significantly associated with
13	major complications (p-0.017); 5/8 required subsequent removal between 105-1006 days. Cost was significantly associated
14	with major complications (p-0.017) and soft tissue wounds (p-0.03). Long-term lameness was seen in 9/14 dogs. There was no
15	association between pain severity (p-0.3) and pain interference scores (p-0.198) when comparing stabilisation methods.
16	
17	Clinical significance: Complications are common; however many are fixator related. Prosthetic ligaments are significantly
18	associated with major complications. Regardless of technique, a degree of ongoing lameness is likely.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	

Introduction The tarsocrural joint is formed by the tibia, fibula, talus and the calcaneus (1). Tarsocrural instability is an uncommon distal limb injury in dogs generally involving fractures of one or more of the bones contributing to the joint, varying degrees of ligament impairment, or a combination of both (1-5). The tarsocrural joint is particularly prone to fractures and shear injuries due to the paucity of soft tissue protection in this area (2, 6, 7). Injuries commonly occur following road traffic accidents, resulting in skin, muscle, ligament, and bone injury (1, 2, 7). The anatomy of the tarsus is complex (1, 3, 8, 9), often making diagnosis and management challenging. Initial management of tarsocrural instability aims to limit further damage to the articular surface and supporting soft tissue structures, allowing restoration of anatomic joint alignment with stability to facilitate healing (3, 10, 11). Treatment modalities include combinations of primary ligamentous repair, prosthetic ligament reconstruction, external coaptation, transarticular external skeletal fixation, arthrodesis and amputation (1-5, 7, 10, 12-15). Management with external coaptation alone can be inconvenient, poorly tolerated and may result in coaptation driven soft tissue injuries (16). Some injuries of the tarsocrural joint are too extensive to be successfully reconstructed, leading to arthrodesis in order to maintain limb function (1, 2). Arthrodesis with a plate or external fixator may also be used as a salvage procedure if other methods of stabilisation have failed (1, 2, 7). To date, no studies compare treatment outcomes following surgical stabilisation of tarsocrural joint instability in dogs. The purpose of this study was to retrospectively evaluate the outcome and complications following surgical stabilisation of canine tarsocrural luxation/subluxations. In addition, the study aimed to evaluate differences in functional outcome as assessed by owner questionnaire.

77 Material and methods

- 78 Medical records of dogs with tarsocrural joint instability treated surgically between February 2007 and June 2014 were
- 79 reviewed. Tarsocrural instability was defined as palpable instability at that joint level, then confirmed as loss of articulation
- 80 between the talus and the tibial cochlea on survey or stressed radiographs (Figure 1). The following information was gathered
- 81 for each patient: signalment, injury, cause of injury, concurrent fractures, presence of soft tissue wounds, duration of
- 82 hospitalisation, number of recheck visits, complications, cost of treatment and stabilisation method.
- 83
- 84 The luxations and fractures were stabilised with internal fixation when appropriate. Primary collateral ligament repair was
- 85 attempted if possible when instability was attributable to ligament damage. Complications were categorized as minor or major.
- 86 Minor were defined as those not requiring additional surgical treatment. Major were those requiring further surgical treatment.
- 87 Soft tissue wounds were divided into minor or major. Minor included superficial abrasions and puncture wounds. Major included
- 88 all wounds other than superficial abrasions and puncture wounds.
- 89

90 Final outcome of each dog was assessed by owner questionnaire consisting of two sections: section one assessed owner

- 91 satisfaction, ongoing medication and long-term complications. In section two, owners assessed long-term function and pain
- 92 using a validated client questionnaire; the Canine Brief Pain Inventory (CBPI) (17, 18). The CBPI assesses owner perception of
- 93 pain severity and pain interference. The pain severity questions were scored on a scale of 0 (no pain) to 10 (extreme pain). The
- 94 pain interference questions i.e. how much pain interfered with the dog's normal function, were scored on a scale of 0 (no
- 95 interference) to 10 (completely interferes). The responses to these questions were averaged to generate the pain severity and
- pain interference scores (17, 18).
- 97

98 Commercially available statistical software programmes were used to perform all statistical analyses (α , β). Data were 99 assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Associations between the presence of wounds, major complications, minor 100 complications, fractures, non-tarsal injuries, soft tissue injuries, presence of an external skeletal fixator and the final cost of 101 treatment were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. The same associations were assessed in relation to hospitalisation 102 time. Fisher's exact test was used to determine associations between the stabilisation type and presence of complications. The 103 Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare pain severity and pain interference scores between treatment groups. Pain 104 interference and severity score association with talar fractures and wounds was assessed with Mann-Whitney U test. 105 Association of weight and complication development was assessed using t-test. A p<0.05 was considered significant. 106 107 108 109 110 111 112

- 113
- 114

115 Results

116 Twenty-four dogs with surgically managed tarsocrural joint instability met the inclusion criteria. Age on presentation ranged 117 from 10 months to 10 years 10 months (median 4 years 11 months), weight from 10kg to 43kg (mean 27kg). Breed and sex 118 distribution are outlined in Appendix 1. All recorded injuries were traumatic in origin; 13/24 dogs sustaining a road traffic 119 accident, 4/24 developed an injury whilst running and 3/24 fell from a height. The remaining known causes included being 120 trodden on and limb entrapment. Suspected trauma was reported in one dog and in another the cause of the injury was 121 unknown. Concurrent non-tarsal injuries were present in 9/24 dogs, including superficial soft tissue wounds, tibial fracture, 122 femoral fracture, metatarsal fractures, coxofemoral luxation, partial lung collapse, stifle laceration, pneumothorax and stifle 123 shear injury. 124 125 Two dogs had bilateral instability following road traffic accident giving 26 joints stabilised (15/26 left, 11/26 right). Of the 26

126 tarsocrural joints, instability was medial in 15/26, lateral in 5/26 and bilateral in 6/26. All dogs had fractures associated with the

127 tarsocrural joint, typically malleolar fractures in 21/26 limbs; 13 medial, 8 lateral malleolar fractures. The remaining five joints

- 128 had talar fractures. Tarsal soft tissue wounds were present in 12/26 limbs of which 8/26 were shear injuries.
- 129

130 Eight joints had internal fracture fixation and transarticular external skeletal fixator, six had transarticular fixator alone, four had

prosthetic ligaments with a transarticular fixator (Figure 2), and four had prosthetic ligaments with external coaptation. Two

132 tarsocrural joints were stabilised by plated pantarsal arthrodesis. Two had primary ligament sutured repair, one with a

transarticular fixator, the other with malleolar k-wire and tension band repair followed by coaptation. Total hospitalisation ranged

from 4-33 days (median 10 days). Fixators were applied in 19/26 limbs, placement duration ranged from 17-96 days (median
47 days).

100

136 137

138 Complications

139 Complications occurred in 24/26 joints, with some joints having multiple complications, giving a total of 45 distinct complications 140 (Appendix 1). Of these distinct complications 16 were minor and 29 major including pin breakage, implant failure, sequestrum 141 formation, implant migration, implant infection and septic arthritis. All 19 joints with a fixator placed developed a complication 142 directly attributable to the fixator, accounting for 31/45 complications (9/31 minor, 22/31 major). Fixator-associated 143 complications included pin tract infection in 11/19 joints, pin failure/loosening in 14/19, and one dog formed a sequestrum at the 144 tibial pin insertion leading to euthanasia. Non-fixator attributable complications occurred in 10/26 joints and half of these were 145 minor complications related to casting/bandaging (Appendix 1). Following exclusion of complications directly attributable to the 146 fixator; there was no significant association between the use of plated pantarsal arthrodesis (p-0.63) or internal fracture fixation 147 with development of (non-fixator-associated) complications (p-0.31). Placement of a transarticular fixator was not a significant 148 risk factor for the development of complications (p-0.12) not related to the fixator itself. There was no significant association 149 between shear injury and development of complications. Interestingly, the use of a transarticular fixator alone was protective 150 against developing all other complications which were not fixator-associated (p-0.035).

152 Eight limbs were stabilised with prosthetic ligaments; six using multifilament fiberwire and two with monofilament nylon-leader-

- 153 line. Both joints using nylon and 3/6 using fiberwire developed major long-term complications requiring implant removal from
- 154 infection. Four of five infected prostheses also developed clinical joint instability which was absent prior to implant infection.
- 155 Owners reported swelling or sinus tract formation from 105-1006 days postoperatively (median 156 days) (Figure 3).
- 156 Placement of prosthetic ligaments was significantly associated with postoperative complications (p-0.017) compared to limbs
- 157 which had no prosthetic ligaments placed when fixator-associated complications were excluded. Two dogs, (1 and 19) required
- revision surgery following implant removal after prosthetic ligament infection. Both dogs had prosthetic ligament removal and
- 159 subsequent stabilisation with a transarticular external skeletal fixator in dog 1 and plated pantarsal arthrodesis in dog 19
- 160 (Figure 3). The two dogs (7 and 10) with no complications were stabilised by both fiberwire prosthetic ligaments combined with
- 161 postoperative coaptation and plated pantarsal arthrodesis respectively. Total cost of referral treatment was significantly
- 162 increased if major complications occurred (p-0.017), or tarsal soft tissue wounds were present (p-0.03). No significant
- 163 association was seen between cost of treatment and development of minor complications, the presence of non-tarsal soft
- tissue injuries, fixator placement or the presence of non-tarsal fractures. Similarly the development of major or minor
- 165 complications and soft tissue injuries had no significant association with hospitalisation time. Patient weight was not associated
- 166 with development of minor (p-0.86), major (p-0.27) or non-fixator related complications (p-0.73).
- 167

168 Owner questionnaire

- 169 Fifteen of 24 owners provided questionnaire responses at a median postoperative time of 54 months (range 7-94 months)
- 170 (Appendix 2). Six dogs were lost to long-term follow up and four were deceased at the time of data collection. Of the deceased
- dogs one owner responded. Owners rated the success of surgery as excellent in 8/15 dogs, good in 3/15, satisfactory in 1/14
- and poor in 3/14. Owner impression of their dogs overall quality of life and satisfaction with their dogs treatment is shown in
- 173 Appendix 2. Ongoing lameness or stiffness was noted in 9/14 dogs with 7/14 being treated with long-term non-steroidal anti-
- 174 inflammatory drugs. Activity levels following surgery were reported as very active in 3/15 dogs, active in 6/15, average in 4/15,
- 175 inactive 2/15 (Appendix 2).
- 176

177 <u>CBPI</u>

- 178 Mean post-operative pain severity scores and pain interference scores are shown for all patients with available CBPI in
- 179 Appendix 2. No significant association between pain severity (p-0.3) or pain interference score (p-0.198) were identified when
- 180 comparing surgical stabilisation techniques. No significant association between pain severity (p- 0.164) or pain interference (p-
- 181 0.77) score was identified when comparing dogs with and without talar fractures. Similarly no association was seen when
- 182 comparing dogs with and without major wounds, (p-0.494) and (p-0.29) respectively.
- 183
- 184
- 185
- 186
- 187
- 188
- 189

190 Discussion

191 Canine tarsocrural instability leads to severe loss of limb function. All our patients were managed surgically, whereas in 192 humans the question of surgery vs conservative treatment for ankle fractures remains controversial and is influenced by the 193 specific injury combination (19, 20). The difference in approach between human and veterinary patients may in part lie in the 194 plantigrade nature of the human pes with its inherent mediolateral stability, whereas the canine digitigrade stance continually 195 loads the tarsocrural support structures in the stance phase. Human patients are also more amenable to resting for extended 196 periods. There are several surgical stabilisation techniques available, however assessment of long-term outcome and surgical 197 complication rate was not previously available in dogs. Whether human or veterinary, treatment aims are to re-establish 198 anatomic reduction of talus in the ankle mortise and maintain joint stability (19). Generally results following reduction of human 199 ankle fractures appear to be good, although post-traumatic arthritis has been described in 10% of patients despite anatomic 200 reduction (21). This study showed that there is generally a reasonable outcome following a variety of surgical techniques in 201 canine patients; however a degree of permanent lameness is expected regardless of fixation type, and minor complications are 202 very common. 203 204 In this study, several surgical methods of stabilisation were used; however all included tarsocrural joint reduction with 205 immobilisation. Many had reduction and immobilisation alone using a transarticular external skeletal fixator. Fixator application 206 alone is well documented in canine shear injuries, in one study 6/7 canine distal limb shear injuries were stabilised with a 207 transarticular fixator (7). The aim of joint stabilisation is to provide sufficient support until the periarticular tissues including 208 ligaments and joint capsule can heal and fibrose sufficiently to provide stability. We found that clinical results from transarticular 209 fixator stabilisation alone were similar to ligament repair or prosthetic ligament placement in addition to temporary 210 immobilisation. A small number went straight to salvage with pantarsal arthrodesis which has previously been advocated for 211 salvage of severe tarsal injuries in both dogs and cats (5, 22). Interestingly, whatever method was chosen long-term outcome 212 was similar, with a large portion of dogs suffering postoperative complications and long-term lameness. 213 214 Transarticular external skeletal fixators are a well-documented joint immobilisation technique (2, 7, 10, 11, 13, 23, 24). We 215 found that fixators were used extensively in these injuries as either sole-fixation device or as adjunct immobilisation to protect a 216 primary repair. Fixator complication rate was 19/19 in the present study with pin tract infection in 11/19 and implant failure in 217 14/19 limbs. Previous transarticular fixator studies reported variable rates of complications ranging from 14%(7) to 71%(10). 218 The current study findings indicate a higher overall chance of complications; however this could relate to recording differences, 219 or perhaps this location is particularly vulnerable due to the high loads placed upon a joint-spanning frame. The alternative 220 method of immobilisation was coaptation which can save on intraoperative surgical time and hence cost (23), although 221 continued dressing changes with coaptation should be considered. Following exclusion of fixator-associated complications

when comparing fixation groups in the six dogs that had fixator placement as their only stabilisation, there was a significant

reduction in non-fixator-associated complications. This likely reflects that 50% of non-fixator complications were coaptation

related. Overall, the immobilisation method appears to affect the complications seen. We suggest that fixators may still be

preferable as although the complication rate was high, they are generally manageable and self-limiting following frame removal,

and although in our small coaptation group no major complications developed, (16)it has been previously documented that

coaptation has a 63% risk of causing soft tissue injuries (16). The ultimate choice of immobilisation however should be basedon clinical experience on an individual patient basis.

229

230 Some dogs had prosthetic ligament placement in addition to tarsocrural reduction and immobilisation. Prosthetic ligaments 231 have been described for medial and lateral collateral ligament replacement in dogs and cats, they can be an effective way of 232 maintaining range of motion while providing stabilisation (3, 12, 15, 25). Use of prosthetic ligaments however was significantly 233 associated with severe long-term complications, occurring up to two and a half years following placement. Previous studies 234 have shown the high potential for complications with up to 50% infection rate with braided material and their use has been 235 advised with caution (2, 13). In the current study monofilament prostheses also required removal due to infection. Importantly, 236 increasing antimicrobial resistance in small animals in conjunction with the increased cost of treatment associated with surgical 237 site infections makes prosthetic ligament use questionable given the high rate of infection (26, 27) and comparable clinical 238 outcome when they are not used. Therefore, given the increased risk of complications with prosthetic ligaments the authors 239 would suggest using them with extreme caution, and warn owners of the potential for late complications developing. Four of five 240 dogs that developed implant infection also developed clinical joint instability, which was not present prior to infection and may 241 indicate that prosthesis use reduces long term periarticular fibrosis development, or infection development can subsequently 242 reduce soft tissue stability. Overall, the emphasis should be on strict aseptic technique if prosthetic ligaments are used. 243 244 Primary repair of ruptured collateral ligaments is also possible and can result in excellent outcomes. There were no

245 complications directly attributable to ligament repair, and again, the clinical outcome was not hindered by their usage. 246 Therefore, if the injuries present allow, attempting direct ligament suture repair remains an option; although a monofilament 247 absorbable suture is recommended. This repair would duly need to be protected by either a fixator or coaptation. Plated 248 pantarsal arthrodesis was performed in two dogs as the primary treatment and in another following initial stabilisation failure. 249 Previous papers documenting outcome following pantarsal arthrodesis in 40 dogs showed an overall complication rate of 75% 250 with a major complication rate of 32.5%. A high proportion of these were soft tissue related, including catastrophic plantar 251 necrosis associated with injury to the dorsal pedal or perforating metatarsal arteries. A minor complication rate of 42.5% was 252 shown, frequently caused by prolonged external coaptation (4). In the current study owner questionnaire results are only 253 available for two dogs following plate pantarsal arthrodesis and one dog following primary ligament repair making group size 254 too small to infer substantial conclusions.

255

256 Long-term owner guestionnaire results showed that 9/15 dogs were active to very active following surgery with 11/15 owners 257 rating surgical success as good to excellent. Owner satisfaction with treatment was similarly high compared to those reported 258 previously for both tarsocrural instability and shearing injuries (2, 7, 11, 28). Overall owner satisfaction with surgery was high 259 regardless of surgical stabilisation type and in spite of the high complication rate. Nonetheless, 9/15 dogs were reported as 260 having long-term lameness or stiffness by their owners with half receiving long-term non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. This 261 discrepancy could reflect owner counselling at the outset of treatment as to the severity of the injury sustained and the 262 possibility of complications. The alternative to stabilisation in many of these cases would be arthrodesis or amputation, and 263 whilst many dogs showed signs of ongoing lameness post stabilisation this may be felt to be a relatively good outcome 264 compared with the alternatives. In other studies, degenerative joint disease was seen in 81% of canine joints evaluated

following tarsal shear injury, with 23.5% of canine patients suffering long-term lameness (2). Additionally, periarticular fibrosis and post-traumatic osteoarthritis are likely to be a cause of ongoing lameness.

Five dogs with prosthetic ligaments required implant removal; however only two of these owners completed the questionnaire. Overall success of surgery was rated as excellent following revision surgery in one dog which had a subsequent pantarsal arthrodesis using a transarticular fixator. The other dog (19), had its prosthetic ligament removed and plated pantarsal arthrodesis performed, but further long-term implant associated infection was ongoing at the time of data collection (Figure 2) and surgical success was rated as poor. Overall, there is an indication that the long-term outcome following implant infection may be guarded and while dogs can recover the risk of ongoing infection should be considered prior to choosing any revision stabilisation method. Only dog 15 had a long-term pain and severity score of zero. This excellent outcome could be attributable to the nature of the injury sustained and does indicate that full return to function can be achieved.

Inherent limitations of this study include its retrospective nature, use of owner questionnaire and lack of objective gait analysis. Multiple surgeons contributed cases over the study period, which inevitably creates variation in case management and record-

stratification was not possible. Multivariate analyses were not performed due to the small number of dogs in the study and any

keeping. A variety of injury combinations were seen resulting in tarsocrural injury, however due to the small numbers, further

benefit with regard to surgical technique requires further prospective studies including objective force plate analysis with

increased case numbers. Owing to our small sample sizes our statistical analyses were inherently at risk of type two error.

On balance, tarsocrural fracture/luxations are complex injuries to manage. Temporary joint immobilisation is essential, and can be successfully used alone or in combination with direct ligament repair and/or internal fixation of fractures as appropriate. Transarticular external skeletal fixators remain the authors preferred method of immobilisation, however fixator complications are guaranteed. Placement of prosthetic ligaments is significantly associated with infection-related complications that typically require further surgery to extract the prosthesis, and these problems can occur over a protracted time frame. The authors therefore would counsel against using prosthetic ligaments as part of the surgical management. Whatever the method of fixation, owner satisfaction appears high, the clinical outcome is reasonable, but a degree of ongoing lameness appears likely. We suggest that owner education is paramount, as expectations for full return to normal function must be managed due to the low proportion of dogs returned to pre-injury status. Given the large case variability ultimate choice of stabilisation must be made on a patient by patient basis with consideration to our findings.

- 305 <u>Legend</u>

307 <u>Tables</u>

• Table 1- Median Canine Brief Pain Inventory results for comparison of surgical fixation methods.

Fixation group	Number of CBPI completed	Median pain severity score	Median pain interference score
Plate pantarsal arthrodesis	2	4.85	3.00
TESF alone	3	2.3	3.00
Prosthetic ligament placement	4	2.00	4.15
Internal fracture fixation with TESF	4	2.0	1.7
Primary ligament repair with TESF	0	Х	Х
Primary ligament repair with internal fracture fixation	1	0.00	0.00

310		TESF=Transarticular external skeletal fixator
311		CBPI= Canine Brief Pain Inventory
312		X=No CBPI questionnaires completed
313		
314	Figures	
315	•	Figure 1- Preoperative dorsoplantar radiographs of the tarsocrural joint of dog 12 in (A) neutral, (B) varus and (C)
316		valgus stress; showing marked angular displacement of the tarsocrural joint and lateral malleolus, indicating severe
317		medial collateral ligament instability following application of valgus stress.
318		







- Figure 2- Postoperative (A) dorsoplantar and (B) mediolateral radiographs of the tarsocrural joint of dog 18 showing
 placement of three 2.7mm screws with washers and fiberwire as prosthetic ligaments medially. A distal fibula fracture
 was stabilised with a 1.2mm K-wire and 1mm figure of eight tension band. A modified type II external skeletal fixator
 was placed to immobilise the joint.





- **Figure 3- (A)** Dorsoplantar and **(B)** mediolateral radiographs of the tarsocrural joint of dog 19 taken 156 day postoperatively showing extensive periosteal new bone formation on the lateral aspect of the lateral malleolus,
- postoperatively showing extensive periosteal new bone formation on the lateral aspect of the lateral malleolus,
 dorsodistal tibia, calcaneus, 4th tarsal bone, distal intertarsal bone and tarsometatarsal joint. The central screw has
 backed out. Marked soft tissue swelling of the tarsus.





334 <u>References</u>

Piermattei DL, Flo GL, DeCamp CE. Brinker, Piermattei, and Flo's handbook of small animal orthopedics and fracture
 repair: Saunders/Elsevier; 2006.

Diamond DW, Besso J, Boudrieau RJ. Evaluation of joint stabilization for treatment of shearing injuries of the tarsus
 in 20 dogs. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 1999; 35: 147–153.

339 3. Sjöström L, Håkanson N. Traumatic injuries associated with the short lateral collateral ligaments of the talocrural joint
 340 of the dog. J Small Anim Pract. 1994; 35: 163-8.

Roch SP, Clements DN, Mitchell RA, et al. Complications following tarsal arthrodesis using bone plate fixation in
 dogs. J Small Anim Pract 2008; 49: 117–126.

343 5. McKee WM, May C, Macias C, et al. Pantarsal arthrodesis with a customised medial or lateral bone plate in 13 dogs.
344 Vet Rec 2004; 154: 165–170.

Earley TD, Dee JF. Trauma to the carpus, tarsus, and phalanges of dogs and cats. Vet Clin N Am Sm Anim Pract
1980; 10: 717–747.

347 7. Benson JA, Boudrieau RJ. Severe carpal and tarsal shearing injuries treated with an immediate arthrodesis in seven
 348 dogs. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 2002; 38: 370-380.

Beruddere KJ, Milne ME, Wilson KM, et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Computed Tomography, and Gross
 Anatomy of the Canine Tarsus. Vet Surg. 2014; 43: 912-919.

351 9. Gielen IM, De Rycke LM, van Bree HJ, et al. Computed tomography of the tarsal joint in clinically normal dogs. Am J
 352 Vet Res 2001; 62: 1911–1915.

Jaeger GH, Wosar MA, Marcellin-Little DJ, et al. Use of hinged transarticular external fixation and adjunctive joint
 stabilization in dogs and cats: 14 cases (1999–2003). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2005; 227: 586-591.

Kulendra E, Grierson J, Okushima S, Cariou M, et al. Evaluation of the transarticular external skeletal fixator for the
 treatment of tarsocrural instability in 32 cats. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2011; 24: 320-325.

357 12. Aron D, Purinton P. Replacement of the collateral ligaments of the canine tarsocrural joint: a proposed technique. Vet
 358 Surg. 1985; 14: 178-184.

Fox SM, Guerin SR, Burbidge HM, et al. Reconstruction of the medial collateral ligament for tarsocrural luxation in
 the dog: a preliminary study. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 1997; 33: 268-274.

361 14. Dyce J, Whitelock RG, Robinson KV et al. Arthrodesis of the tarsometatarsal joint using a laterally applied plate in 10
 362 dogs. J Small Anim Pract 1998; 39: 19–22.

363 15. Jaeger G, Roe S. Isometry of potential suture attachment sites for the medial aspect of the tibiotarsal joint. Vet Comp
364 Orthop Traumatol. 2005; 18: 73-76.

- 365 16. Meeson RL, Davidson C, Arthurs GI. Soft-tissue injuries associated with cast application for distal limb orthopaedic
 366 conditions. A retrospective study of sixty dogs and cats. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol 2011; 24: 126-131.
- 367 17. Baltzer W, Cooley S, Warnock J, et al. Augmentation of diaphyseal fractures of the radius and ulna in toy breed dogs
 368 using a free autogenous omental graft and bone plating. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2015; 28: 131-139.
- Brown DC, Boston RC, Coyne JC, et al. Development and psychometric testing of an instrument designed to
 measure chronic pain in dogs with osteoarthritis. Am J Vet Res 2007; 68: 631–637.
- Brown DC, Boston RC, Coyne JC, et al. Ability of the canine brief pain inventory to detect response to treatment in
 dogs with osteoarthritis. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2008; 233: 1278-1283.
- 373 20. Singh R, Kamal T, Roulohamin N, et al. Ankle Fractures: A Literature Review of Current Treatment Methods. Open
 374 Journal of Orthopedics. 2014; 4: 292.
- 375 21. Donken CC, Al-Khateeb H, Verhofstad MH, et al. Surgical versus conservative interventions for treating ankle
 376 fractures in adults. The Cochrane Library. 2012.
- Herscovici D, Scaduto J, Infante A. Conservative treatment of isolated fractures of the medial malleolus. J Bone
 Joint Surg. 2007; 89: 89-93.
- Fitzpatrick N, Sajik D, Farrell M. Feline pantarsal arthrodesis using pre-contoured dorsal plates applied according to
 the principles of percutaneous plate arthrodesis. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2013; 26: 399-407.
- 381 24. Nielsen C, Pluhar G. Outcome following surgical repair of achilles tendon rupture and comparison between
- postoperative tibiotarsal immobilization methods in dogs-28 cases (1997–2004). Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2006; 19: 246-
- 383 249.
- Halling K, Lewis D, Jones R, et al. Use of circular external skeletal fixator constructs to stabilize tarsometatarsal
 arthrodeses in three dogs. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2004; 17: 204-209.
- 386 26. Nicholson I, Langley-Hobbs S, Sutcliffe M, et al. Feline talocrural luxation: A cadaveric study of repair using ligament
 387 prostheses. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol. 2012; 25: 116-125.
- 388 27. Nicoll C, Singh A, Weese JS. Economic impact of tibial plateau leveling osteotomy surgical site infection in dogs. Vet
 389 Surg. 2014; 43: 899-902.

- 390 28. Beever L, Bond R, Graham PA, et al. Increasing antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates of Staphylococcus
- intermedius group bacteria and emergence of MRSP in the UK. Vet Rec. 2015; 176: 172-172.
- 392 29. Beardsley S, Schrader S. Treatment of dogs with wounds of the limbs caused by shearing forces: 98 cases (1975393 1993). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1995; 207:1071-1075.