This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Jones, I. D., Lamb, C. R., Drees, R., Priestnall, S. L. and Mantis, P. (2016), ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN DUAL-PHASE COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY FEATURES AND HISTOPATHOLOGIC DIAGNOSES IN 52 DOGS WITH HEPATIC OR SPLENIC MASSES. Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound, 57: 144–153. doi: 10.1111/vru.12336

which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/vru.12336.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Associations between dual-phase computed tomography features and histopathologic diagnoses in 52 dogs with hepatic or splenic masses

AUTHORS: Jones, I. D., Lamb, C. R., Drees, R., Priestnall, S. L. and Mantis, P.

JOURNAL TITLE: Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound

VOLUME/EDITION: 57/2

PUBLISHER: Wiley

PUBLICATION DATE: March 2016

DOI: 10.1111/vru.12336



1	Associations between dual-phase CT features and histopathologic diagnoses in 52 dogs with
2	hepatic or splenic masses
3	
4	I.D. Jones, C.R. Lamb, R. Drees, S.L. Priestnall, P. Mantis
5	Department of Clinical Sciences and Services (Jones, Lamb, Drees, Mantis) and Department of
6	Pathology and Pathogen Biology (Priestnall), The Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane,
7	North Mymms, Hertfordshire AL9 7TA, UK.
8	
9	Key words: Computed tomography, dog, hepatic disease, neoplasia, splenic disease
10	Running head: Dual-phase CT of hepatic and splenic masses
11	
12	Funding Sources: unfunded
13	

14 Presented at the EVDI Annual Conference, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 27-29th August 2014.

15 Abstract

16 Ability to non-invasively differentiate malignant from non-malignant abdominal masses would 17 aid clinical decision-making. The aim of this retrospective, cross-sectional study was to identify 18 features in dual-phase computed tomographic (CT) studies that could be used to distinguish 19 malignant from non-malignant hepatic and splenic masses in dogs. Medical records were 20 searched for dogs that had an abdominal dual-phase CT examination, a hepatic or splenic mass, 21 and subsequent histopathologic diagnosis. CT images were acquired prior to and <30s (early 22 phase) and >60s (delayed phase) after intravenous contrast administration. Fifty-two dogs with 23 55 masses were studied: 24 hepatic, including 14 (58%) malignant and 10 (42%) non-malignant; 24 31 splenic, including 18 (58%) malignant and 13 (42%) non-malignant. There was substantial 25 overlap in the pre- and post-contrast CT features of malignant and non-malignant hepatic and 26 splenic masses. Regardless of histologic diagnosis, hepatic masses most frequently showed 27 marked, generalized enhancement in early phase images that persisted in the delayed phase. Splenic hemangiosarcoma and nodular hyperplastic lesions most frequently showed marked, 28 29 generalized enhancement in early phase images that persisted in delayed images whereas most 30 splenic hematomas had slight enhancement in early phase images. All splenic hematomas and 31 77% of the hemangiosarcomas had contrast accumulation compatible with active hemorrhage. 32 There were no other significant differences in quantitative or categorical CT data between 33 malignant and non-malignant hepatic or splenic masses. Dual-phase CT of dogs with hepatic or 34 splenic masses provides limited specific diagnostic information.

35 Introduction

36 Hepatic and splenic masses are encountered frequently in dogs and reflect a range of 37 pathological conditions including malignant neoplasia such as hepatocellular carcinoma and 38 hemangiosarcoma, benign neoplasia such as hepatocellular adenoma and non-neoplastic 39 conditions such as hepatic nodular hyperplasia and hematoma.¹⁻⁶ Optimal management depends on accurate diagnosis and staging.⁷ Ability to non-invasively differentiate malignant from non-40 41 malignant masses would aid clinical decision-making. 42 In humans with a suspected hepatic mass, multi-phase computed tomographic (CT) protocols 43 have been used to examine the pattern of enhancement of hepatic lesions following administration of intravenous contrast medium.^{8,9} The purpose of multi-phase CT protocols is to 44 45 reveal differences in the vascular supply to lesions that reflect features of diagnostic significance. 46 For example, hypervascular hepatic lesions (such as neoplasms with marked neovascularization) 47 derive their blood supply primarily from the hepatic artery, so show relatively marked contrast uptake in early post-contrast CT images.⁸⁻¹⁰ Hypovascular lesions (such as metastases with 48 49 necrosis) generally show reduced contrast uptake compared to the surrounding liver in late postcontrast CT images.^{8,9} However, differentiation of malignant and non-malignant hepatic lesions 50 51 using contrast uptake characteristics is complicated because non-malignant lesions (such as 52 hepatic nodular hyperplasia) may also show signs of early marked contrast uptake followed by reduced uptake in later images, which mimics the appearance of malignant neoplasms.⁸ 53 54 In conscious humans, peak opacification of the hepatic arteries occurs at 15-25s, peak 55 opacification of the portal veins occurs at 45-55s, and peak opacification of the hepatic veins 56 occurs at 60-70s after the start of contrast medium injection.^{8,11} Triple-phase CT protocols, 57 involving images acquired during the early and late hepatic arterial phase and the portal phase

58	were studied because of the potential for increased accuracy of diagnosis ^{9,12,13} , but it was found
59	that acquiring two arterial phases carried no significant advantage. ^{14,15} Triple-phase CT protocols
60	are now used less frequently in human clinical practice than a dual-phase approach involving an
61	hepatic artery-dominant phase (HAP) and a portal venous-dominant phase (PVP) with CT
62	images acquired using time delays of 30-35s and 60-65s after the start of intravenous injection,
63	respectively. ^{8,14-17} In dual-phase CT protocols to examine the liver, images are acquired after
64	peak vessel opacification because contrast accumulation in parenchymal lesions occurs after
65	peak vessel opacification. ^{8,11,14} Additional delayed phase images at 180s are recommended by
66	some authors ¹⁸ but are considered low-yield by others. ¹⁹ In general, HAP images aid detection
67	of hypervascular hepatic lesions ^{8,12-16} whereas PVP images and delayed images help characterize
68	certain hepatic lesions, such as hemangiomas, and aid detection of active hemorrhage. ²⁰
69	Dogs with hepatic masses have not been investigated using dual-phase CT. Instead, triple-phase
70	CT studies have been described, with images acquired at 13-20s (HAP), 30-40s (early PVP), and
71	120s (equilibrium or delayed phase) following contrast administration. ^{21,22} These studies found
72	evidence of associations between the pattern and degree of post-contrast enhancement and the
73	pathologic diagnosis of hepatic masses, although there was substantial overlap. ^{21,22} Most
74	hepatocellular carcinomas showed heterogeneous enhancement in HAP, PVP and delayed phase
75	images, often had a central zone of hypoattenuation, and were hypoattenuating compared to the
76	liver in portal and delayed phase images. ^{21,22} Hepatic adenomas were more likely to show diffuse
77	enhancement in HAP images and to retain contrast in PVP images. ²¹ Hepatic hyperplastic
78	nodules were relatively homogeneous, frequently showed marked enhancement in HAP and PVP
79	images, and isoattenuation compared to adjacent normal liver in delayed phase images. ^{21,22} In

one study, hepatic metastases consistently appeared homogenous with hypoattenuation compared
 to the liver in all post-contrast CT images.²²

82 Multi-phase CT imaging of canine splenic masses has not been reported. A single-phase CT

83 study of splenic masses in dogs used images acquired prior to and approximately 60s after

84 intravenous contrast administration.²³ It was found that malignant lesions, mainly

hemangiosarcoma, had lower attenuation than non-malignant masses in pre-contrast images and
 enhanced less than surrounding splenic parenchyma in post-contrast images.²³

87 The aim of the present study was to review post-contrast CT images acquired using a dual-phase 88 protocol in a series of dogs with histopathologically-confirmed hepatic or splenic masses in order 89 to identify features that could be used to distinguish malignant from non-malignant masses. The

90 term non-malignant is used here to encompass benign neoplasms and non-neoplastic mass

91 lesions.

92

93 Materials and Methods

94 Records of the Queen Mother Hospital for Animals of The Royal Veterinary College in the 95 period 2008-2014 were reviewed in a retrospective, cross-sectional study. Inclusion criteria were 96 dogs that had a dual-phase, post-contrast CT study of the abdomen and subsequent 97 histopathologic diagnosis of an hepatic or splenic mass. For the purposes of this study, mass was 98 defined as a focal parenchymal lesion with displacement of adjacent structures and >10mm 99 maximal transverse dimension. Dogs in which the CT examination and histological diagnosis 100 were separated by 30 days or more were not included. Dogs in which diagnosis was based on 101 cytology were not included.

102 CT scans were routinely performed in anaesthetized or sedated patients in sternal recumbency. 103 All scans were obtained using a 16-slice MDCT scanner (MX 8000 IDT, Philips Medical 104 Systems, Cleveland, USA). CT settings were helical acquisition, slice thickness 3mm, image 105 reconstruction interval 1.5mm, helical pitch 0.688, tube rotation time 0.75s, x-ray tube current 106 150 mAs, x-ray tube potential 120kVp, field of view 400mm, matrix 512x512, and medium 107 frequency ('soft tissue') reconstruction algorithm. Scans were performed in a cranial to caudal 108 direction starting at the cardiac apex and terminating at the mid-femoral diaphysis. 109 Post-contrast CT scanning followed a dual-phase protocol introduced at our institution in 2008 110 for all dogs having abdominal CT examinations, except those with suspected portosystemic 111 shunting. In each dog, 2ml/kg of iohexol 300mg/ml (Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare, Oslo, 112 Norway) was injected intravenously at 2ml/s (maximum pressure 150 psi) using a power injector 113 (Stellant, Medrad Inc., Pennsylvania, USA) via a catheter in a cephalic or saphenous vein. 114 Images of the whole abdomen were acquired prior to, within 30 seconds (early phase) and at 115 least 60 seconds (delayed phase) following the start of intravenous injection of contrast, as described for dual-phase CT protocols for humans.^{8,14-17}. The variable need for entry of the 116 117 anesthetist into the CT room to examine their patient after the first post-contrast CT acquisition 118 contributed to variations in the timing of the second post-contrast acquisition, which was 119 initiated only after all personnel had exited the CT room.

120 CT images were reviewed by a Board-certified radiologist (PM) who was blinded to the final 121 diagnosis. The reviewer evaluated only transverse images. Where multiple CT examinations 122 were available for a dog, only the first examination was reviewed because later studies were 123 generally obtained after biopsy of the mass, surgery or other treatment. Dogs were excluded if 124 review of their CT images found multiple hepatic or splenic lesions with differing imaging

features. This was considered necessary in order to be able to relate the biopsy result in each dogwith the corresponding features in CT images.

127 The following patient information was recorded: Site of mass (hepatic/splenic), diagnosis, age at 128 time of study, breed, sex and weight. The catheter site used for contrast administration was also 129 recorded.

130 Commercially available software (OsiriX, Pixmeo, SARL, 266, Rue de Bernex, CH-1233,

131 Bernex, Switzerland) was used for image review and analysis. Window width was 400

132 Hounsfield units (HU), window level was 60 HU. Aortic and portal vein attenuation in early and

133 delayed phase images was recorded. The maximal transverse dimension of each mass was

134 measured using electronic calipers. Normal attenuation value of hepatic/splenic parenchyma was

determined based on the mean of three 20mm² regions of interest (ROIs). Attenuation of masses

136 was measured using the maximum circular ROI that could be fitted to each mass. Identical ROIs

137 were used for measurements in pre-contrast images and early and delayed phase images. In pre-

138 contrast CT images, hyperattenuation was defined as at least 10HU greater than normal

parenchyma; hypoattenuation was defined as at least 10HU less than normal parenchyma;

140 isoattenuation was defined as attenuation within 10 HU of normal parenchyma.²²

141 The following quantitative variables were recorded: maximal transverse dimension of the mass

142 (mm), mean hepatic/splenic parenchymal attenuation pre-contrast (HU), attenuation of lesion

143 pre-contrast (HU), relative attenuation of lesion (lesion attenuation – mean hepatic/splenic

144 parenchymal attenuation), attenuation of lesion in early phase (HU), early enhancement of lesion

145 (attenuation of lesion in early phase – attenuation of lesion pre-contrast), delayed attenuation of

146 lesion (HU), delayed enhancement of lesion (delayed attenuation of lesion – pre-contrast

147 attenuation of lesion) and contrast accumulation within the lesion (delayed enhancement of

lesion – early enhancement of lesion). Slight enhancement was defined as <10HU; marked
enhancement was defined as ≥ 10 HU.

150 The following subjective categorical variables were recorded: appearance of the margin of mass 151 (distinct/indistinct), parenchymal homogeneity of mass in pre-contrast images 152 (homogenous/heterogeneous), hepatic or splenic capsular distortion as a result of mass effect 153 (yes/no), pattern of contrast enhancement (generalized /peripheral), presence of peritoneal fluid 154 (yes/no), mineralization within mass (yes/no), and presence of abnormal hepatic and/or splenic 155 lymph nodes (yes/no).^{22,24} 156 Tissue for histological analysis was acquired by ultrasound-guided tissue core biopsy using 14G 157 or 18G needles, wedge resection at exploratory laparotomy, partial hepatectomy or splenectomy. 158 All histopathologic specimens were reviewed by a Board-certified pathologist (SLP). 159 Normality of continuous data was assessed by visual inspection and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov 160 test. Data did not conform to a Normal distribution, hence differences were tested using a Mann-161 Whitney U-test. Likelihood ratios (LR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were 162 calculated to describe the strength of associations between categorical features and malignancy. 163 Statistical tests were performed using commercially available (SPSS version 16, SPSS Inc., 164 Chicago, Illinois, USA) and online software (Stats calculator, Centre for Evidence Based 165 Medicine, Toronto, Canada, http://ktclearinghouse.ca/cebm/practise/ca/calculators/statscalc).

166

167 Results

168 Fifty-two dogs with 55 masses were included: 24 had an hepatic mass, 31 had a splenic mass,

and 3 dogs had both a hepatic and splenic mass (2 dogs had primary splenic hemangiosarcoma

170	with metastasis to the liver, and one dog had primary splenic spindle cell sarcoma with
171	metastasis to the liver). Dogs were 11 mixed breed, 7 Labrador retrievers, 5 German shepherd
172	dogs, 3 Flat-coated retrievers, 2 Boxers, 2 Golden retrievers, 2 Schnauzers and 2 West highland
173	white terriers and 1 each of the following breeds: Beagle, Bernese mountain dog, Border collie,
174	Border terrier, Bulldog, Cairn terrier, Chow Chow, Cocker spaniel, Dachshund, Dog-de-
175	Bordeaux, English springer spaniel, Irish setter, Lhasa Apso, Lurcher, Papillon, Rhodesian
176	ridgeback, Tibetan terrier, and Yorkshire terrier. Thirty-two dogs were male (20 neutered) and 20
177	were female (19 neutered). Their median age was 10 years (range $3 - 15$ years).
178	Median patient weight was 24kg (4-54kg). Cephalic catheters were used to administer contrast in
179	49 (94%) dogs and saphenous catheters were used in 3 (6%) dogs. In every dog, acquisition of
180	early phase images occurred less than 30s after the administration of contrast. The median delay
181	between contrast administration and delayed phase image acquisition was 120s (range 62-465s).
182	Median aortic attenuation was 207HU (range 110-607HU) in early phase images and 130HU
183	(range 49-219HU) in delayed phase images. Median portal attenuation was 155HU (range 48-
184	315HU) in early phase images and 129HU (range 49-226HU) in delayed phase images.
185	Of the 24 hepatic masses, 14 (58%) were malignant (9 carcinoma, 3 metastatic splenic
186	hemangiosarcomas, 1 metastatic splenic spindle cell sarcoma, 1 hemangiosarcoma) and 10
187	(42%) were non-malignant (6 adenoma, 3 nodular hyperplasia, 1 bile duct hyperplasia). Of the
188	31 splenic masses, 18 (58%) were malignant (13 hemangiosarcomas, 3 sarcomas, 1 histiocytic
189	sarcoma, 1 metastatic renal nephroblastoma) and 13 (42%) were non-malignant (8 hematomas, 5
190	nodular hyperplasia).
191	There were no significant differences in quantitative or categorical CT image data between

192 malignant and non-malignant masses in the liver (Tables 1 & 2) or spleen (Tables 3 & 4).

Similarly, there was substantial overlap in the pre- and post-contrast imaging features of the most prevalent types of hepatic and splenic masses (Tables 5 & 6, respectively). Recognizing that the wide variation in vascular attenuation observed in this study could potentially obscure differences between types of hepatic and splenic masses, the analyses were repeated after removing data for 8 dogs with delayed phase images >240s after administration of contrast and the 3 dogs with saphenous catheters; however, the significance of results of statistical tests were unchanged, hence these dogs were retained.

200 Hepatic carcinoma, hepatic nodular hyperplasia and hepatic adenoma most frequently had lower 201 attenuation and were heterogeneous compared to surrounding hepatic parenchyma in pre-contrast 202 images, and the majority of these lesions showed marked, generalized enhancement in the early 203 phase images that persisted in the delayed phase (Figure 1). The majority of hepatic masses 204 lacked contrast accumulation. Splenic hemangiosarcoma, hematoma, and nodular hyperplastic 205 masses were mostly slightly heterogeneous with mean attenuation similar to adjacent splenic 206 parenchyma in pre-contrast images. In early and delayed phase images, splenic 207 hemangiosarcoma and nodular hyperplastic lesions most frequently showed marked, diffuse 208 enhancement in early phase images that persisted in delayed images. Most splenic hematomas 209 had slight enhancement in early phase images but marked enhancement in delayed images, as a 210 result of contrast accumulation (Figure 2).

211

212 Discussion

In the present study, no CT features were found to be significantly associated with malignant or
non-malignant hepatic masses. The most prevalent of the hepatic masses studied – hepatic

215 carcinoma, adenoma, and nodular hyperplasia – each had a similar range of CT features. Most

frequently these masses were heterogeneous compared to surrounding hepatic parenchyma in pre-contrast images, and the majority of these lesions showed marked, generalized enhancement in the early phase images that persisted in the delayed phase.

219 The present study employed a dual-phase CT protocol comparable to dual-phase CT protocols used for assessment of hepatic lesions in humans.^{8,14-17} There are no other reports of veterinary 220 221 studies that used the same dual-phase CT protocol for assessment of hepatic or splenic masses. 222 Two recent studies employed triple-phase CT protocols with images acquired at 13-20s (HAP), 223 30-40s (early PVP) and 120s (delayed phase) following contrast administration.^{21,22} Compared 224 to these, the early phase in the dual-phase CT protocol is similar to the early PVP, and the 225 delayed phase is similar to the delayed phase of triple-phase CT. Omission of HAP images is 226 unlikely to significantly reduce ability to distinguish types of hepatic mass because in previous 227 series a large proportion of masses had similar degrees of post-contrast enhancement in HAP and PVP images.^{21,22} 228

229 In HAP images, enhancement of tissues represents contrast medium within the intravascular 230 space and the degree of enhancement is determined primarily by the hepatic arterial blood 231 flow.¹⁰ Subsequent tissue enhancement represents additional delivery of contrast via the portal 232 vessels and passage of contrast material from the intravascular to the extravascular space across 233 the capillary basement membrane, hence the degree of tissue enhancement also depends on blood volume and permeability of capillaries.¹⁰ Based on previous studies in humans¹⁶ and dogs^{21,22}, 234 235 hepatic masses containing relatively well-differentiated hepatocytes tend to enhance most 236 strongly in HAP images, probably reflecting both their relatively abundant arterial blood flow 237 and lack of necrotic or hemorrhagic (vascular) components. However, these observations do not 238 represent differences that enable different histologic types of hepatic masses to be distinguished

clinically because – as observed in the present study – marked enhancement in early phase
images occurs with malignant neoplasia, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, and with nonmalignant lesions, such as hepatic adenoma and nodular hyperplasia.^{21,22}

242 Differentiation of hepatocellular carcinoma from hepatic adenoma and hepatic nodular hyperplasia depends on histopathology.^{25,26} Hepatic carcinomas contain pleomorphic hepatocytes 243 244 arranged in irregular trabeculae separated by loose vascular (sinusoidal) spaces and a variable 245 amount of fibrous connective tissue, and exhibit varying degrees of internal hemorrhage and 246 necrosis. Sinusoidal invasion beyond a fibrous capsule or pseudocapsule and/or intrahepatic 247 metastasis are the key histologic criteria of hepatocellular carcinoma, but the former may be 248 subtle and/or focal in a well-differentiated tumor. Hepatic adenomas contain well-differentiated 249 hepatocytes in rough cords and near normal architecture, but lack portal triads or central veins. 250 Hepatic hyperplastic nodules contain well-differentiated hepatocytes with slightly reduced 251 numbers of portal triads or central veins compared to normal liver, but with a distorted lobular 252 architecture and frequent vacuolar changes representing glycogen or lipid accumulation. It is 253 important to note that the histologic diagnosis of these hepatic lesions depends on cellular and 254 architectural features that exist in a spectrum of severity in which the boundaries between well-255 differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma and adenoma, and between adenoma and nodular hyperplasia, are not always clearly defined. ^{25,26} Consequently, there will be a limit to the degree 256 257 that CT features, which primarily represent non-specific gross pathologic features, such as pseudoencapsulation, hemorrhage and necrosis²⁷, can be used to deduce the histologic diagnosis 258 259 of hepatic masses. The lack of significant differences in CT features associated with malignant or 260 non-malignant hepatic masses observed in the present study likely reflects this limitation.

261 Splenic hemangiosarcoma, hematoma, and nodular hyperplastic masses also exhibited variable 262 CT features. In pre-contrast images, most splenic masses were slightly heterogeneous, with 263 median attenuation similar to adjacent parenchyma. In early and delayed phase images, splenic 264 hemangiosarcoma and nodular hyperplastic lesions most frequently showed marked, generalized 265 enhancement in early phase images that persisted in delayed phase images. These results do not 266 corroborate those of a previous study in which malignancy of a splenic mass was associated with 267 hypoattenuation in pre-contrast images and minimal contrast accumulation in post-contrast 268 images, with 55HU in post-contrast images representing a threshold value to distinguish malignant (<55HU) from non-malignant (>55HU) masses.²³ In the present study, there was no 269 270 difference in median enhancement in delayed phase images between malignant and non-271 malignant splenic masses with both types of mass having a wide range of post-contrast 272 attenuation values that spanned 55HU.

273 Most splenic hematomas had slight enhancement in early phase images, and all hematomas had 274 contrast accumulation in delayed phase images. This feature could represent active hemorrhage occurring during the CT examination²⁰, but was not unique to hematomas as it was also observed 275 276 in the majority of hemangiosarcomas. Marked focal contrast accumulation in hemangiosarcomas 277 was reported recently, possibly representing contrast medium in wide vascular spaces within these tumors.²⁸ Splenic malignant neoplasms, most of which are hemangiosarcomas, frequently 278 279 contain extensive hemorrhage or necrosis and so may be difficult to distinguish from hematoma on the basis of either gross or histologic examination.^{3,29-31} As noted above with respect to 280 281 hepatic masses, other imaging techniques that depict vascularity of tissues also have limited 282 potential to distinguish the histologic types of splenic mass.³²⁻³⁵

283 The present study is subject to patient selection bias because of the requirement for histologic 284 diagnosis, which necessitated biopsy. Lesions suspected to be malignant were more likely to be 285 considered priorities for biopsy than lesions suspected to be benign (e.g. because they appeared 286 unrelated to clinical signs or were known to be static), hence benign masses may be 287 underrepresented compared to the overall number of masses encountered in the clinical setting. 288 Similarly, hepatic or splenic masses that are routinely diagnosed in our hospital on the basis of 289 cytologic and flow cytometric findings, primarily round cell neoplasms, were not included. 290 Patient factors, the method of contrast injection, and timing of CT image acquisition affect the magnitude of enhancement of tissues observed following intravenous contrast administration.^{11,17} 291 292 Patient body weight and cardiac output will affect the time of the peak plasma concentration of 293 contrast medium even if the iodide concentration of the contrast agent, its dose and rate of injection all remain constant.^{11,17} Injection duration is the most important injection factor to be 294 295 considered for determining CT scan timing because it directly affects the time to peak contrast enhancement in an organ or vessel.^{11,17} With an increased duration of contrast injection, the time 296 297 to peak opacification increases. For example, the protocol used in the present study with an 298 injection rate 2ml/s will result in a slightly later peak hepatic arterial opacification than that 299 observed using 5ml/s.³⁶ Also, in the present study, both early and delayed post-contrast images 300 were acquired in a cranial to caudal direction whereas the early phase may also be acquired in a caudal to cranial direction.³⁶ 301

302 Variations in the degree of vascular enhancement were evident in the present study. Although
303 acquisition of early phase images always occurred less than 30s after the start of intravenous
304 injection of contrast, there was a wide range of values for aortic attenuation in early phase
305 images. This range will include animals imaged near the peak of aortic enhancement and those

306 imaged after the peak, when portal vein attenuation is rising. Early phase images to examine the 307 liver are acquired after peak arterial enhancement because contrast accumulation representing the 308 hepatic arterial supply to parenchymal lesions will necessarily continue after peak arterial attenuation.^{8,11,14} This is a different technique to that employed for studies focused on vascular 309 310 anatomy, such as investigation of portosystemic shunting^{37,38}, when it is important to time the CT 311 acquisition to coincide with peak vascular attenuation. The finding that portal attenuation was 312 increased in early phase images in the present study is to be expected because portal attenuation 313 normally exceeds hepatic arterial attenuation within 30s in anaesthetized dogs.³⁶ Conversely, the 314 finding of persistently high aortic attenuation in some delayed phase images suggests that other 315 factors, such as slow circulation time and/or reduced renal excretion of contrast, possibly 316 associated with anesthesia, may have affected some dogs. The rate of change of vascular and 317 parenchymal contrast enhancement is most rapid soon after contrast injection and slows 318 subsequently¹¹, hence variations in timing of delayed phase images may have less effect on 319 contrast accumulation in tissues than variations during the early phase. In the present study, 320 repeating the analyses after removing data for dogs with delayed phase images >240s after 321 administration of contrast did not alter the significance of results of statistical tests. Nevertheless, 322 patient factors and variations in timing of CT image acquisition will have affected the degree of 323 enhancement of masses in post-contrast images, and may have contributed to the overlap 324 observed between CT features of the hepatic and splenic masses in the present study. 325 Automatic triggering of the CT acquisition, based on attenuation within a region of interest (e.g. 326 the abdominal aorta) exceeding a threshold, is a software feature of CT scanners that can be used 327 to increase precision in the timing of post-contrast images. Automatic triggering of the CT 328 acquisition was not utilized for the present study. A test bolus technique has been employed for

dual-phase CT studies of dogs with suspected portosystemic shunting^{37,38} and insulinoma.³⁹ 329 330 This technique enables optimal timing of the vascular phases of a multi-phase CT acquisition, but it requires an additional scan and image data analysis³⁶, so is more time-consuming, which is 331 332 disadvantageous for routine abdominal examinations. Recently, dual-phase CT of conscious 333 sedated and unsedated dogs was described using fixed time delays equal to injection duration for HAP images and 40s for PVP images.⁴⁰ Quality of images obtained was judged to be good or 334 excellent in 72% dogs. Poor quality scans were primarily the result of motion blur or other 335 artifacts; in only one instance was the HAP missed.⁴⁰ Use of fixed time delays is a pragmatic 336 337 approach for routine abdominal CT studies, although test bolus or automatic triggering methods 338 enable CT scans to be acquired with a more accurately defined vascular phases. 339 On the basis of these results, it may be concluded that the dual-phase protocol we employed for 340 CT of dogs with hepatic or splenic masses provides limited specific diagnostic information. 341 Variability in contrast delivery to masses and relatively low numbers of subjects limit the 342 statistical power of the study. It is possible that significant associations between CT signs and 343 diagnosis would be detected if larger numbers of subjects were studied under more consistent 344 conditions, hence further studies are warranted.

Table 1. Size, attenuation, and post-contrast enhancement characteristics of malignant and non-

malignant hepatic masses

	Malignant	Non-malignant	P-value
	(n=14)	(n=10)	
Maximum transverse dimension (mm)	61 (15 - 695)	60 (32 - 345)	0.95
Mean hepatic parenchymal	60 (53 - 75)	71 (49 - 79)	0.13
attenuation (HU)			
Pre-contrast attenuation (HU)	46 (32 - 68)	60 (26 - 69)	0.32
Relative attenuation (HU)	-13 (-283)	-16 (-352)	0.75
Early post-contrast attenuation (HU)	83 (42 - 152)	95 (22 - 125)	0.77
Early enhancement (HU)	31 (2 - 113)	42 (*4 - 65)	0.77
Delayed post-contrast attenuation	77 (42 - 120)	99 (25 - 121)	0.62
(HU)			
Delayed enhancement (HU)	27 (1 - 81)	34 (*1 - 53)	0.98
Contrast accumulation (HU)	-3 (-32 - 20)	-5 (-23 - 52)	0.64

Values are median (range); HU, Hounsfield units 349 Table 2. Descriptive features of malignant and non-malignant hepatic masses

	Malignant	Non-Malignant	LR	95% CI
	(n=14)	(n=10)		
Distinct margins	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	2.0	0.5-3.1
Indistinct margins	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	0.8	0.4-1.7
Homogeneous	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	1.4	0.6-3.5
Heterogeneous	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	0.7	0.3-1.6
Capsular distortion	12 (60%)	8 (40%)	1.1	0.7-1.6
Generalized enhancement	12 (60%)	8 (40%)	1.1	0.7-1.6
Peripheral enhancement	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0.7	0.1-4.3
Peritoneal fluid	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	0.9	0.3-3.2
Mineralization within mass	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	2.1	0.3-17.7
Abnormal local lymph nodes	11 (65%)	6 (35%)	1.3	0.7-2.3

350

351 LR, Likelihood ratio associated with malignancy

353 Table 3. Size, attenuation, and post-contrast enhancement characteristics of malignant and

354 non-malignant splenic masses

	Malignant (n=18)	Non-malignant (n=13)	P-value
Maximum transverse dimension (mm) Mean splenic parenchymal attenuation (HU)	69 (12 - 204) 53 (19 - 76)	73 (19 - 173) 61 (35 - 67)	0.28 0.18
Pre-contrast attenuation (HU)	43 (-3 - 55)	49 (12 - 73)	0.12
Relative attenuation (HU)	-6 (-30 - 35)	-2 (-52 - 20)	0.47
Early post-contrast attenuation (HU)	63 (0 - 106)	73 (14 - 119)	0.36
Early enhancement (HU)	20 (0 - 69)	20 (*3 - 64)	0.67
Delayed post-contrast attenuation (HU)	73 (0 - 120)	81 (16 - 112)	0.98
Delayed enhancement (HU)	28 (0 - 82)	30 (4 - 57)	0.50
Contrast accumulation (HU)	10 (*11 - 56)	3 (-7 - 27)	0.56

355

356 Values are median (range); HU, Hounsfield units

358 Table 4. Descriptive features of malignant and non-malignant splenic masses

	Malignant (n=18)	Non-Malignant (n=13)	LR	95% CI
Distinct margins	6 (43%)	8 (57)	0.5	0.2-1.2
Indistinct margins	12 (71%)	5 (29%)	1.7	0.8-3.7
Homogeneous	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	0.9	0.3-2.7
Heterogeneous	13 (59%)	9 (41%)	1.0	0.6-1.7
Capsular distortion	17 (59%)	12 (41%)	1.0	0.8-1.2
Generalized enhancement	16 (57%)	12 (43%)	0.9	0.8-1.2
Peripheral enhancement	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1.4	0.1-14.3
Peritoneal fluid	12 (60%)	8 (40%	1.1	0.6-1.9
Mineralization within mass	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.2	0.1-50.3
Abnormal local lymph nodes	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	0.9	0.3-2.2

359

360 LR, Likelihood ratio associated with malignancy

362 Table 5. Pre- and post-contrast features of hepatocellular carcinoma, adenoma, and nodular

363 hyperplasia

	Hepatocellular carcinoma (n=9)	Adenoma (n=6)	Nodular hyperplasia (n=3)
Pre Contrast			
Hypoattenuation	5 (56%)	5 (83%)	2 (67%)
Isoattenuation	4 (44%)	1 (17%)	1 (33%)
Homogenous	3 (33%)	2 (33%)	2 (67%)
Heterogeneous	6 (66%)	4 (66%)	1 (33%)
Early Phase			
Slight enhancement	2 (22%)	1 (17%)	2 (67%)
Marked enhancement	7 (78%)	5 (83%	1 (33%)
Generalized	7 (78%)	6 (100%)	2 (67%)
Peripheral	2 (22%)	0	1 (33%)
Late Phase			
Slight enhancement	2 (22%)	0	2 (67%)
Marked enhancement	7 (78%)	6 (100%)	1 (33%)
Contrast accumulation	2 (22%)	0	1 (33%)
Lack of contrast accumulation	7 (78%)	6 (100%)	2 (67%)

365 Table 6. Pre- and post-contrast features of splenic hemangiosarcoma, hematoma, and nodular

366 hyperplasia

	Hemangiosarcoma (n=13)	Hematoma (n=8)	Nodular hyperplasia (n=5)
Pre Contrast			
Hypoattenuation	4 (31%)	2 (25%)	3 (60%)
Isoattenuation	8 (62%)	5 (63%)	2 (40%)
Hyperattenuation	1 (7%)	1 (12%)	0
Homogenous	4 (31%)	3 (38%)	1 (20%)
Heterogeneous	9 (69%)	5 (62%)	4 (80%)
Early Phase			
Slight enhancement	2 (15%)	5 (62%)	0
Marked enhancement	11 (85%)	3 (38%)	5 (100%)
Generalized	12 (92%)	7 (88%)	5 (100%
Peripheral	1 (7%)	1 (12%)	0
Late Phase			
Slight enhancement	1 (7%)	3 (38%)	0
Marked enhancement	12 (92%)	5 (62%)	5 (100%
Contrast accumulation	10 (77%)	8 (100%)	2 (40%)
Lack of contrast accumulation	3 (23%)	0	3 (60%)

- Patnaik AK, Hurvitz AI, Lieberman PH, Johnson GF. Canine hepatocellular carcinoma.
 Vet Pathol 1981; 18: 427-438.
- Balkman C. Hepatobiliary neoplasia in dogs and cats. Vet Clin North Am: Small Anim
 Pract 2009; 39: 617–625.
- 373 3. Prymak C, McKee LJ, Goldschmidt MH, Glickman LT. Epidemiologic, clinical,
- 374 pathologic, and prognostic characteristics of splenic hemangiosarcoma and splenic
- 375 hematoma in dogs: 217 cases (1985). J Am Vet Med Assoc 1988; 193:706-712.
- Spangler WL, Culbertson MR. Prevalence, type, and importance of splenic diseases in
 dogs: 1,480 cases (1985-1989). J Am Vet Med Assoc 1992; 200: 829-834.
- 378 5. Bergman JR. Nodular hyperplasia in the liver of the dog: an association with changes in
 379 the Ito cell population. Vet Pathol 1985; 22: 427-438.
- 380 6. Stowater JL, Lamb CR, Schelling SH. Ultrasonographic features of canine hepatic
 381 nodular hyperplasia. Vet Radiol 1990;31:268-272.
- 382 7. Johnson KA, Powers BE, Withrow SJ, Sheetz MJ, Curtis CR, Wrigley RH.
- 383 Splenomegaly in dogs. Predictors of neoplasia and survival after splenectomy. J Vet
- 384Internal Med 1989; 3:160-166.
- 385 8. Sahani DV, Singh AH. Dual-phase liver MDCT. In: Kalra MK, Saini S, Rubin GD
- 386 (Eds). MDCT From Protocol to Practice. Milan: Springer, 2008, pp83-92.
- 387 9. Ji H, McTavish JD, Mortele KJ, Wiesner W, Ros PR. Hepatic imaging with
- 388 multidetector CT. Radiographics 2001;21:S71-S80.
- 389 10. Miles, KA. Tumour angiogenesis and its relation to contrast enhancement on computed
 390 tomography: a review. Eur J Radiol 1999; 30:198-205.

- 391 11. Bae KT. Principles of contrast medium delivery and scan timing in MDCT. In: Kalra
 392 MK, Saini S, Rubin GD (Eds). MDCT From Protocol to Practice. Milan: Springer,
 393 2008, pp10-24.
- Hwang GJ, Kim MJ, Yoo HS, Lee JT. Nodular hepatocellular carcinomas: Detection
 with arterial-, portal-, and delayed-phase images at spiral CT. Radiology 1997;
 202:383-388.
- 397 13. Murakami T, Kim T, Takamura M, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma:
 398 detection with double arterial phase multidetector helical CT. Radiology 2001;
 399 218:763-767.
- 400 14. Oliver JH, Baron RL, Federle MP, Jones BC, Sheng R. Hypervascular liver metastases:
- 401 do unenhanced and hepatic arterial phase CT images affect tumor detection? Radiology
 402 1997; 205:709-715.
- 403 15. Ichikawa T, Kitamura T, Nakajima H, Sou H, Tsukamoto T, Ikenaga S, et al.
- 404 Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: can double arterial phase imaging with
- 405 multidetector CT improve tumor depiction in the cirrhotic liver? Am J Roentgenol
 406 2002;179:751-758.
- 407 16. Baron RL, Oliver JH, Dodd GD, Nalesnik M, Holbert BL, Carr B. Hepatocellular
 408 carcinoma: evaluation with biphasic, contrast-enhanced, helical CT. Radiology 1996;
 409 199:505-511.
- 410 17. Bae KT. Intravenous contrast medium administration and scan timing at CT:
 411 considerations and approaches. Radiology 2010;256:32-61.
- 412 18. Ichikawa T, Erturkb SM, Arakia T. Multiphasic contrast-enhanced multidetector-row
- 413 CT of liver: Contrast-enhancement theory and practical scan protocol with a
- 414 combination of fixed injection duration and patients' body-weight-tailored dose of

- 415 contrast material. Eur J Radiol 2006;58: 165–176.
- 416 19. Chan MG, Cassidy FH, Andre MP, Chu P, Aganovic L. Delayed imaging in routine CT
 417 examinations of the abdomen and pelvis: is it worth the additional cost of radiation and
- 418 time? Am J Roentgenol 2014;202:329-335.
- 419 20. Vasanawala SS, Desser T. Value of delayed imaging in MDCT of the abdomen and
 420 pelvis. Am J Roentgenol 2006;187:154-163
- 421 21. Fukushima K, Hideyuki K, Ohno K, Takahashi M, Nakashima K, Fujino Y, et al. CT
- 422 Characteristics of primary hepatic mass lesions in dogs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2012;
 423 53: 252–257.
- 424 22. Kutara K, Seki M, Ishikawa C, Sakai M, Kagawa Y, Iida G, et al. Triple-phase helical
 425 computed tomography in dogs with hepatic masses. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2014; 55:7–
 426 15.
- 427 23. Fife WD, Samii VF, Drost T, Mattoon JS, Hoshaw-Woodard S. Comparison between
 428 malignant and nonmalignant splenic masses in dogs using contrast enhanced computed
 429 tomography. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2004; 45: 289–297.
- 430 24. Beukers M, Vilaplana Grosso F, Voorhout G. Computed tomographic characteristics of
 431 presumed normal canine abdominal lymph nodes. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2013; 54:

432 610–617.

433 25. Cullen JM, Brown DL. Hepatobiliary system and exocrine pancreas. In: Zachery JF,
434 McGavin MD (Eds). Pathologic Basis of Veterinary Disease, 5th edition. St. Louis:
435 Elsevier, 2012, pp442-445.

- 436 26. Stalker MJ, Hayes MA. Liver and biliary system. In: Maxie MG (Ed). Jubb, Kennedy,
- 437 and Palmer's Pathology of Domestic Animals, 5th edition, vol.2. St. Louis: Elsevier,
- 438 2007, pp382-388.

- 439 27. Gregori T, Mantis P, Benigni L, Priestnall S, Lamb CR. Comparison of computed
 440 tomographic and pathologic findings in 17 dogs with primary adrenal neoplasia. Vet
 441 Radiol Ultrasound 2015;56:153-159.
- 442 28. Fukuda S, Kobayashi T, Robertson ID, Oshima F, Fukazawa E, Nakano Y, et al.
- 443 Computed tomographic features of canine nonparenchymal haemangiosarcoma. Vet
 444 Radiol Ultrasound 2014; 55: 374–379.
- 445 29. Day MJ, Lucke VM, Pearson H. A review of pathological diagnoses made from 87
 446 canine splenic biopsies. J Small Anim Pract 1995; 36: 426-433.
- Maxie MG, Robinson WF. Cardiovascular system. In: Maxie MG (Ed). Jubb, Kennedy,
 and Palmer's Pathology of Domestic Animals, 5th edition, vol.3. St. Louis: Elsevier,
 2007, pp102-105.
- 450 31. Mallinckrodt MJ, Gottfried SD. Mass-to-splenic volume ratio and splenic weight as a
 451 percentage of body weight in dogs with malignant and benign splenic masses: 65 cases
 452 (2007-2008). J Am Vet Med Assoc 2011;239:1325-1327.
- 453 32. Taeymans O, Penninck DG. Contrast enhanced sonographic assessment of feeding
 454 vessels as a discriminator between malignant vs. benign focal splenic lesions. Vet
 455 Radiol Ultrasound 2011; 52:457–461.
- 456 33. Rossi F, Leone VF, Vignoli M, Laddaga E, Terragni R. Use of contrast-enhanced
 457 ultrasound for characterization of focal splenic lesions. Vet Radiol Ultrasound
 458 2008;49:154-164.
- 459 34. Ivancic M, Long F, Seiler GS. Contrast harmonic ultrasonography of splenic masses
 460 and associated liver nodules in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2009;234: 88-94.
- 461 35. Nakamura K, Sasaki N, Murakami M, Kumara WRB, Ohta H, Yamasaki M, et al.
- 462 Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for characterization of focal splenic lesions in dogs.

463 J Vet Internal Med 2010;24:1290-1297.

464	36.	Zwingenberger AL, Schwartz T. Dual-phase CT angiography of the normal canine
465		portal and hepatic vasculature. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2004; 45: 117-124.
466	37.	Zwingenberger AL, Schwarz T, Saunders HM. Helical computed tomographic
467		angiography of canine portosystemic shunts. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2005;46: 27-32.
468	38.	Park K, Yeon S, Lee H. Hepatic arteriovenous fistula in four dogs: usefulness of dual-
469		phase computed tomographic angiography. J Biomed Res 2012;13:195-199.
470	39.	Mai W, Cáceres AV. Dual-phase computed tomographic angiography in three dogs
471		with pancreatic insulinoma. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2008; 49: 141–148.
472	40.	Shanaman MM, Hartman SK, O'Brien RT. Feasibility for using dual-phase contrast-
473		enhanced multi-detector helical computed tomography to evaluate awake and sedated
474		dogs with acute abdominal signs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 2012;53: 605-612.
475		

476 Legends

- 477 Figure 1. Examples of dual-phase CT images of hepatic masses in dogs. A) Hepatocellular carcinoma; B)
- 478 adenoma (between arrows); C) nodular hyperplasia (between arrowheads). In each instance images are pre-
- 479 contrast (left), early phase (middle) and delayed phase (right). Each of these lesions has slightly lower
- 480 attenuation and is heterogeneous compared to surrounding hepatic parenchyma in pre-contrast images, and show
- 481 marked, generalized enhancement in the early phase images that persists in delayed phase images. Multiple
- 482 masses with similar features are present throughout the liver in the dog with hepatocellular carcinoma. Window
- 483 350HU, level 50HU.



- 486 Figure 2. Examples of multi-phase CT images of splenic masses in dogs. A) hemangiosarcoma (between
- 487 arrowheads); B) hematoma (between arrows); C) nodular hyperplasia (within dotted line). Each of these lesions
- 488 has slightly lower attenuation and is heterogeneous compared to surrounding hepatic parenchyma in pre-contrast
- 489 images, and show marked, generalized heterogeneous enhancement in early phase images that increases in
- 490 delayed phase images as a result of contrast accumulation. Foci of marked local contrast accumulation are
- 491 evident in the hyperplastic mass (arrowhead) compatible with contrast in large vascular spaces.²⁸ Multiple
- 492 segmental lesions affecting the kidneys in the dog with hemangiosarcoma are compatible with infarcts. H,
- 493 peritoneal hematoma. Window 350HU, level 50HU.

