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#### Abstract

Mammals have an evolutionary history spanning hundreds of millions of years. Today, mammals represent one of the most diverse groups of tetrapod vertebrates. In particular, they present a great postural diversity. The humerus adopts different positions: small mammals have a "crouched" posture with a quasi-horizontal humerus, while in the largest species, the humerus is more vertical. Some monotremes have more transversely oriented humeri similar to those of reptiles. The forelimb of moles is also modified in relation to their burrowing lifestyle. This postural diversity is accompanied by an important microanatomical disparity. Indeed, the bones of the appendicular skeleton support the weight of the body and are subjected to various forces that partly shape their external and internal morphology. We show here how geometric and microanatomical parameters measured in cross-section such as the polar section modulus or the position of the medullo-cortical transition can be related to posture. Using statistical methods that take phylogeny into account, we develop a postural model from a sample of humerus crosssections belonging to 41 species of extant mammals. Our model can be used by palaeontologists to infer the posture of extinct synapsids. As an example, we infer the posture of two emblematic taxa: Dimetrodon natalis and Peratherium cuvieri. The results of the analysis indicate a sprawling posture for Dimetrodon and a crouched posture for Peratherium. This work contributes to unravel the complex interaction between phylogeny, humeral microanatomy and geometry, body mass, lifestyle and posture in mammals.


## Introduction

Mammals are a highly successful group of tetrapod vertebrates with a long evolutionary history. Their earliest stem members, i.e. the first synapsids (the term "stem mammal" is used throughout this study to refer to any taxon that is more closely related to Mammalia than to Reptilia but that does not belong to the mammalian crown group), originated in the Carboniferous, about 330 million years ago, with the emergence of the first amniotes (Didier and Laurin 2020), and have undergone several episodes of diversification ever since. The Late Carboniferous and Early Permian is dominated by eupelycosaurs. These are followed by therapsids by the middle Permian; they comprised medium-sized herbivorous and carnivorous taxa that became extinct by the end of the Triassic, except for cynodonts, which gave rise to the Mammaliaformes during the Triassic (Kemp 2005; Brocklehurst et al. 2013). It is generally thought that most Mesozoic mammals were small, nocturnal creatures with more or less burrowing habits and a generalised insectivory (Jenkins and Parrington 1976; KielanJaworowska et al. 2004; Gerkema et al. 2013; but see Hu et al. 2005; Gill et al. 2014; Meng 2014; Debuysschere 2015). The K-Pg extinction event was the starting point for the Cenozoic radiation of mammals which led them to colonise the ecological niches left empty by non-avian dinosaurs (Rose 2006; but see Wilson et al. 2012). Today, mammals are extremely diverse, both taxonomically and ecologically. With over 5,000 currently recognised extant species (Upham et al. 2019), they are found all over the world, at all levels of the trophic network, on land, in the seas and in the air (Vaughan et al. 2015). They range in size from the bumblebee bat, which weighs only a few grams, to the blue whale (the largest animal on the planet), which weighs more than 100 tons, the weight of a few dozen elephants. Mammals are also particularly diverse in their posture: small mammals like rodents have a "crouched" posture, with a quasi-horizontal humerus (Jenkins 1971), while in the cursorial and graviportal taxa, such as artiodactyls and proboscideans, the humerus is more vertical (Gregory 1912). Some monotremes have more transversely oriented humeri (Pridmore 1985). The forelimb of moles is also modified in relation to their burrowing lifestyle (Lin et al. 2019).

The shape of biological structures is determined by at least three types of constraints that can be positioned at the three vertices of an abstract triangle in the framework of constructional morphology (Seilacher 1970). These are phylogenetic (heredity), structural (development), and adaptive (function) constraints. The bones of the appendicular skeleton are subject to these different constraints, especially functional constraints relative to posture. Indeed, limb bones support the weight of the body and are affected by various forces that partly
shape their external and internal morphology. While many studies have already identified the link between lifestyle (from aquatic to terrestrial) and bone microanatomy, including using multivariate quantitative methods that take phylogeny into account (Germain and Laurin 2005; Kriloff et al. 2008; Canoville and Laurin 2009, 2010; Laurin et al. 2011; Quemeneur et al. 2013; Amson et al. 2014; Ibrahim et al. 2014; Nakajima et al. 2014; Cooper et al. 2016; Houssaye et al. 2016a; Klein et al. 2016; Houssaye and Botton-Divet 2018; Kilbourne and Hutchinson 2019; Canoville et al. 2021; Fabbri et al. 2022), fewer have attempted to link the geometric and microanatomical properties of limb bones to posture (Houssaye et al. 2016b; Bishop et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c; Plasse et al. 2019; Main et al. 2021; Wagstaffe et al. 2022).

Although it is accepted that mammals, like reptiles such as dinosaurs and pseudosuchians (Hutchinson 2006), experienced a postural transition from approximately transversely-oriented to more parasagittally-oriented limbs, the timing of this transition has been widely debated without reaching a consensus. Some authors (Jenkins 1973; Pridmore 1985; Sereno 2006) have argued that early mammals had already acquired a parasagittal limb posture and gait by the Late Triassic/Early Jurassic, while others (Gambaryan and KielanJaworowska 1997; Kielan-Jaworowska and Hurum 2006) favoured the hypothesis of a later acquisition in early therians. Even today, posture in mammals and in older stem taxa such as Dimetrodon, raises many questions that triggered numerous studies that enrich our knowledge of the evolution of locomotion in mammals (Abbott 2019; Regnault et al. 2020; Jones et al. 2021; Brocklehurst et al. 2022).

Our study is a logical extension of these works. Using generalised least squares, we investigate the relationship between humeral posture and geometric and microanatomical data collected from humeral bone cross-sections belonging to 41 extant mammalian species, while taking phylogeny into account. Body mass and lifestyle were also included in our models as both are known to be related to posture and/or bone microanatomy, e.g. large taxa tend to have greater bone compactness and more upright limbs (Biewener 1989b; Houssaye et al. 2016b); fossorial talpids have greater extension of the medullo-cortical transition compared to terrestrial talpids (Meier et al. 2013). We use the collected data to generate a phylogenetically informed postural inference model capable of generating postural predictions in extinct taxa. We apply the model to two taxa: Dimetrodon natalis (a small Dimetrodon species) and Peratherium cuvieri ("Cuvier's Sarigue"). While Dimetrodon is a stem mammal, Peratherium is a putative marsupialiform. Dimetrodon and Peratherium lived in the Early Permian and Late Eocene, respectively, well before and well after the Mesozoic postural transition in mammals. The
posture of Dimetrodon has been extensively studied since its discovery in the second half of the 19th century. The recent interpretation indicates a more or less sprawling posture. In comparison, Peratherium has been the subject of less work, but its younger geological age and general anatomy are compatible with a more crouched posture. These two taxa are therefore perfect candidates to test our method.

## Materials and Methods

## Biological sample

To train our statistical model, we compiled a set of geometric and microanatomical data collected on humeral mid-diaphyseal cross-sections from a large number of mammalian taxa with a known posture. The dataset included 43 individuals from 41 extant species (Table 1; Online Resource 1). We built our dataset to be as taxonomically exhaustive as possible and to maximise coverage of the postural diversity of Mammalia. We used our postural models to infer the posture of two extinct taxa of interest: the Early Permian stem mammal Dimetrodon natalis (IPBSH-4) and the Late Eocene herpetotheriid Peratherium cuvieri (MNHN-F-GY679b); see Online Resource 1.

## Postural definitions

Most non-flying mammals are obligate quadrupeds, that is, they move exclusively on four limbs (Vaughan et al. 2015). A few taxa, especially among rodents, marsupials and primates, are known to be facultatively bipedal or quadrupedal, meaning that they alternate between bipedalism and quadrupedalism (D'Août et al. 2004; Russo and Kirk 2017). Some pangolins (Smutsia temminckii) are also able to move on their hind limbs for some distance (Pietersen et al. 2020). Obligate bipedalism is restricted to humans (Niemitz 2010).

Yet, mammals show great diversity in limb posture, especially regarding the humerus (Fig. 1). During normal walking, the specialised "erect" (or upright) forelimb posture of mammals exhibits fairly low humeral abduction (less than 10 degrees to the parasagittal plane; Jenkins 1971). The humerus is oblique with the elbow always functioning below the shoulder joint between approximately 30 and 75 degrees from the horizontal. Erect limbs are found in "hoofed" mammals such as artiodactyls and proboscideans (Gregory 1912), in carnivorans (Blob 2000) and in cursorial and graviportal mammals in general (Gregory 1912; Jenkins 1971; but see Stein and Casinos 1997; Carrano 1999). Taxa with more generalised locomotor adaptations, such as rodents, have a so-called "crouched" posture (Jenkins 1971). The humerus

| Collection number | Humeral Lifestyle | Body mass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | posture | $(\mathrm{g})$ |


| Afrosoricida | Chrysochloridae | Chrysochloris asiatica | MNHN-ZM-MO-1991-626 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Cephalophus silvicultor | NHMUK ZD 1961.8.9.80-1 |  |  |


|  | Solenodontidae | Solenodon paradoxus | MNHN-ZM-MO-1980-237 | C | Fo | 900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Talpidae | Euroscaptor micrura | MNHN-ZM-MO-1959-1795 | M | Fo | 60 |
|  |  | Talpa europaea | MNHN-ZM-MO-1953-829 | M | Fo | 110 |
|  |  | Talpa europaea | STIPB unnumbered specimen | M | Fo | 110 |
| Monotremata | Ornithorhynchidae | Ornithorhynchus anatinus | MNHN-ZM-AC-1906-484 | S | Aq | 1,225 |
|  | Tachyglossidae | Tachyglossus aculeatus | MNHN-ZM-AC-1884-1125 | S | Fo | 3,170 |
| Pholidota | Manidae | Smutsia temminckii | MNHN-ZM-AC-1897-134 | C | Te | 9,587 |
| Primates | Cercopithecidae | Chlorocebus aethiops | MNHN-ZM-AC-1909-262 | E | Ar | 5,104 |
|  |  | Macaca radiata | MNHN-ZM-AC-1845-271 | E | Ar | 5,132 |
|  | Hominidae | Pan paniscus | amnh:mammals:m-202870* | E | Ar | 35,120 |
|  | Lemuridae | Lemur catta | MNHN-ZM-AC-1910-101 | C | Ar | 2,555 |
| Rodentia | Dipodidae | Allactaga elater | UF:mammal:30045* | C | Fo | 59 |
|  |  | Dipodomys ordii | MNHN-ZM-MO-1958-294 | C | Fo | 50 |
|  |  | Zapus princeps | uwbm:mammal specimens:74482* | C | Te | 28 |
|  |  | Zapus trinotatus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uwbm:mammal specimens:OG- } \\ & 7813^{*} \end{aligned}$ | C | Te | 27 |
|  | Hystricidae | Hystrix cristata | MNHN-ZM-AC-1922-386 | C | Fo | 19,167 |
|  | Muridae | Gerbillus campestris | MNHN-ZM-MO-1990-10 | C | Fo | 28 |
|  |  | Meriones libycus | MNHN-ZM-MO-1981-619 | C | Fo | 91 |
|  | Pedetidae | Pedetes capensis | MNHN-ZM-AC-1883-1640 | C | Fo | 2,775 |
|  | Sciuridae | Marmota marmota | STIPB unnumbered specimen | C | Fo | 3,500 |
| Scandentia | Tupaiidae | Tupaia belangeri | STIPB unnumbered specimen | C | Ar | 200 |
| Tubulidentata | Orycteropodidae | Orycteropus afer | MNHN-ZM-AC-1919-19 | C | Fo | 56,175 |

is more abducted than in erect mammals (between 15 and 30 degrees relative to the parasagittal plane; Jenkins 1971); and the humerus is also more horizontal, with the elbow oscillating from about 20 degrees above the shoulder joint to 45 degrees below it. Both erect and crouched taxa belong to the same morpho-functional continuum, with graviportal and cursorial taxa representing the two extreme morphologies (Carrano 1999).

Besides this general pattern, some taxa have atypical humeral postures. The monotremes Tachyglossus and Ornithorhynchus have more "sprawling" humeri, akin to what exists in extant


Fig. 1 Humeral excursion at the shoulder joint in lateral (left) and dorsal (right) views in several mammals with different postures (Jenkins 1971; Pridmore 1985; Lin et al. 2019). The scapula and humerus are shown in lateral view, but the scapula is not shown in dorsal view for better visibility of the humerus. a. erect humerus in Felis domestica; b. crouched humerus in Rattus norvegicus;c. sprawling humerus in Ornithorhynchus (left and hatched area on right) and

Tachyglossus (right); d. modified humerus in Scalopus aquaticus. Abbreviations: HP, horizontal plane; PP, parasagittal plane. Silhouettes come from phylopic.org
ectothermic reptiles (Bakker 1971; but see Pridmore 1985; Gambaryan and Kuznetsov 2013). In Tachyglossus, the humerus is completely horizontal. The elbow oscillates laterally between 80 and 100 degrees from the parasagittal plane (Jenkins 1971; Pridmore 1985). In Ornithorhynchus, the humerus is abducted between 40 and 75 degrees from the parasagittal plane, with the elbow operating above the shoulder joint up to 45 degrees from the horizontal (Pridmore 1985). The forelimb of moles (Talpidae) is also highly modified in relation to their burrowing behaviour. The humerus is slightly abducted (less than 20 degrees from the parasagittal plane). The elbow oscillates cranially relative to the shoulder joint, rising 40 to 65 degrees from the horizontal plane (Lin et al. 2019).

## Data acQuisition

We measured various geometric and microanatomical parameters that have been previously associated in the literature with locomotion and posture, and more generally with lifestyle in amniotes (Canoville and Laurin 2009, 2010; Amson et al. 2014; Houssaye et al. 2016b; Houssaye and Botton-Divet 2018; Scheidt et al. 2019). This was done on cross-sections of mammalian humeral shafts obtained mainly from CT data retrieved from the literature and from morphosource.org. We scanned some of the specimens on the AST-RX platform of the Muséum national d'histoire naturelle and on the MRI platform of the Université de Montpellier. We extracted a cross-section from the CT data where the perimeter of the shaft was the smallest because this is an area where mechanical stresses generally are important (Beck et al. 1996; Tommasini et al. 2005; Campione and Evans 2012), resulting in more or less mid-diaphyseal cross-sections. We also incorporated into our data mid-diaphyseal traditional histological sections (unpublished data from Quemeneur et al. 2013). Mixing sections with slightly different reference planes in comparative studies is not considered a problem as long as the species of interest does not show excessive longitudinal microanatomical variation (Amson and Kolb 2016; Houssaye et al. 2018). The scans were processed in ImageJ (Abràmoff et al. 2004) and MorphoDig (Lebrun 2018). Each bone was oriented so that the section plane was as perpendicular as possible to the long axis of the diaphysis. Data for all left humeri were symmetrised so that the sample consisted of right side bones only. We binarised the crosssections before taking our geometric and microanatomical measurements in ImageJ with the BoneJ plugin (Doube et al. 2010) and in R (R Core Team 2013) with the BoneProfileR package
(Girondot and Laurin 2003; Gônet et al. 2022). A sample of the mammalian cross-sections used in this study are presented in Fig. 2.

We measured six geometric parameters with BoneJ (Fig. 3): $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$, the minimum perimeter of the shaft; BCSA, the area occupied by the bone on the section; TCSA, the total area of the section; Ecc, the eccentricity of the section corresponding to the ratio of the area moments of inertia (I) around the major and minor axes ( $\mathrm{I}_{\max } / \mathrm{I}_{\min }$ ); SR, the slenderness ratio (a high SR indicates a slender bone, while a low SR indicates a more robust bone; see Eq. 1); $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$, the polar section modulus reflecting the resistance of the shaft to torsion (the higher $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$, the more resistant the bone will be to torsion). Although $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$ can be used with subcircular crosssections, which is the case for most taxa, it is ideally used with circular cross-sections.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Slenderness ratio }=\frac{\text { Bone length }}{\sqrt{\frac{I_{\min }}{T C S A}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We used BoneProfileR to measure seven microanatomical parameters (Fig. 3). We set BoneProfileR to determine the position of the centre of unmineralisation, i.e. the centre of the unmineralised spaces in the bone section, and segment the cross-section into 100 concentric circles. Bone compactness (measured by the number of bone pixels relative to the total number of pixels) was measured in each circle from the centre of the medulla to the edge of the crosssection. We extracted several parameters from the resulting compactness profiles: P , the distance of the medullo-cortical transition from the centre of the cross-section (a high P generally reflects low bone compactness); S , the inverse of the asymptote of the slope at point P (a high S corresponds to a gradual transition between the medulla and the cortex, as in the case of cancellous bone, while a low S reflects an abrupt transition). BoneProfileR also computes an observed global compactness value, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {obs }}$. In addition, we performed a radial analysis to extract the radial component of the parameters P and S : the cross-section is segmented into 60 slices of 6 degrees and a compactness profile is drawn for each slice. The radial component of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{RP})$ and $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{RS})$ is the average of the P and S of all slices. The standard deviation associated with RP and RS is RPSD and RSSD, respectively. When a species in our sample was represented by more than one individual, we calculated the mean value for each microanatomical parameter.

## BuILDING REFERENCE PHYLOGENIES

We constructed a set of 100 reference trees of mammals to include phylogenetic uncertainty in our statistical analyses (Fig. 2). The trees were manipulated in R using the packages phytools


Fig. 2 Tree 1 of our set of 100 time-calibrated composite phylogenies displaying the evolutionary relationships among the extant and extinct species included in this study, with some of the humeral cross-sections analysed. a. Peratherium cuvieri $\dagger$ (Late Eocene) MNHN-F-GY679b (unknown); b. Aepyprymnus rufescens MorphoSource: msu:mr:mr. 4680 (crouched); c. Meriones libycus MNHN-ZM-MO-1981-619 (crouched); d. Marmota marmota STIPB unnumbered specimen (crouched); e. Macaca radiata MNHN-ZM-AC-1845-271 (crouched); f. Syncerus caffer NHMUK ZD 1874.11.2.4 (erect); g. Felis silvestris UFGK unnumbered specimen (erect); h. Talpa europaea MNHN-ZM-MO-1953-829 (modified); i. Euroscaptor micrura MNHN-ZM-MO-1959-1795 (modified); j. Tachyglossus aculeatus

MNHN-ZM-AC-1884-1125 (sprawling); k. Ornithorhynchus anatinus MNHN-ZM-AC-1906484 (sprawling); 1. Dimetrodon natalis $\dagger$ (Early Permian) IPBSH-4 (unknown). The crosssections are anatomically oriented (anterior to the top and lateral to the right) except for Dimetrodon. Trees were compiled in R using the work of Selva (2017), Upham et al. (2019), and Didier and Laurin (2020)
(Revell 2012) and TreePar (Stadler 2011). We extracted 100 trees with only the species of interest from a distribution of 10000 Bayesian supertrees of mammals calibrated in time (nodedating, 5911 species) from the publication of Upham et al. (2019) and available on vertlife.org. The statistical analyses in this study required reference trees that included the taxa for which we wanted to infer posture. Therefore, Dimetrodon natalis (Sphenacodontidae) was branched at 313 Ma based on Didier and Laurin (2020). We followed Selva (2017) in considering Peratherium cuvieri an herpetotheriid and set the age of divergence between Herpetotheriidae and Marsupialia at approximately 85 Ma . The trees in Newick tree format are provided in Online Resource 2

## Body mass estimates and Lifestyle

Body mass affects posture and bone microanatomy. Indeed, among mammals, the largest taxa tend to have more erect/upright limbs and greater bone compactness (Biewener 1989b, 2005; Hutchinson 2021). We therefore collected body mass estimates from the literature for each taxon in our sample (Table 1; Online Resource 1). We relied entirely on the database of Myhrvold et al. (2015), which compiles median body mass for a large number of extant amniotes (we rounded values to the nearest gram).

Lifestyle is also known to be related to bone microanatomy, e.g., fossorial talpids have greater extension of the medullo-cortical transition compared to terrestrial talpids (Meier et al. 2013). Thus, we defined four lifestyle categories based on limb use (semi-aquatic, terrestrial, fossorial, and arboreal) to explore the potential relationship between lifestyle and posture (Table 1; Online Resource 1).

## Statistical treatment in a phylogenetic framework

Phylogenetic signal-We used the phylosig function from the R package phytools (Revell 2012) to estimate the phylogenetic signal in each geometric and microanatomical parameters. The phylosig function computes the K-statistic of Blomberg et al. (2003). A K-statistic greater than 1 indicates that closely related species in the tree show more similarity between them than what

## Geometric parameters



$$
\text { Eccentricity }(\mathrm{Ecc})=\frac{\text { Area moment of inertia around the major axis }\left(\mathrm{I}_{\max }\right)}{\text { Area moment of inertia around the minor axis }\left(\mathrm{I}_{\min }\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Polar section modulus }\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}\right)=\frac{\text { Polar moment of inertia (around the central axis) }}{\text { Radius of the cross-section }} \\
& \text { Slenderness ratio }(\mathrm{SR})=\frac{\text { Bone length }}{\sqrt{\frac{I_{\min }}{\mathrm{TCSA}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compactness parameters



Fig. 3 All the geometric and compactness parameters measured in this study, illustrated here with a humerus of Marmota marmota (STIPB unnumbered specimen). The section on the compactness profile is divided into 30 concentric circles and 20 slices for better readability, but more were used in the analyses (100 and 60, respectively)
would be expected with a Brownian model of evolution, suggesting the existence of a substantial phylogenetic signal in the data. Conversely, a K-statistic lower than 1 implies that
closely related species are more different than expected highlighting evolutionary convergence or higher variance between clades rather than within them.

We used the delta-statistic (Borges et al. 2019), which was designed for categorical traits, to estimate the phylogenetic signal in posture. The delta-statistic depends on the uncertainty associated with the inference of ancestral states: low uncertainty implies low entropy (Shannon 1948) and a high delta-statistic. The higher the delta, the stronger the phylogenetic signal.

A $P$-value is obtained by randomisation, i.e. a redistribution of the measured traits among terminal branches. K and delta are computed 1000 and 100 times, respectively, with a random distribution, then the pool of values obtained is compared to the K and delta with the actual distribution. This was done for each tree in our phylogenetic tree sample.

Generalised least squares-We used the gls function from the R package nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2021) to model the relationship between each geometric and microanatomical parameter and postural groups while accounting for phylogeny, body mass and lifestyle. The gls function fits a linear model using least squares to optimise coefficients and allows a covariance structure to be set between observations. Here, the expected covariance of a given trait (or a relationship between traits) between two taxa corresponds to its evolution under a Brownian motion during the time from the root to their last common ancestor.

Phylogenetic flexible discriminant analysis-We used phylogenetic flexible discriminant analysis (PFDA) to explain posture in our sample of extant mammals and to predict humeral posture in Dimetrodon and Peratherium based on geometric and microanatomical measurements taken from humeral cross-sections while accounting for phylogeny. PFDA is a classification model based on a combination of linear regressions. It is derived from flexible discriminant analysis (FDA; Hastie et al. 1994) and corresponds to its phylogenetically informed version (Motani and Schmitz 2011). In practice, PFDA corresponds to a gls where categories are split in dummy variables and treated as continuous variables while phylogeny is incorporated as a phylogenetic covariance matrix whose terms are multiplied by lambda to make phylogenetic inertia variable through model optimisation (Pagel 1999). Lambda is assigned a value between 0 and 1 that minimises the model error, that is, the share of variance explained by phylogeny: 0 indicating that phylogeny does not explain the distribution of the trait on the tree; 1 indicating that phylogeny explains as much variance in the trait as is expected under a Brownian model of evolution.

Overfitting occurs when a model becomes overly complex by including too many parameters (Everitt and Skrondal 2010). An overfitted model will perform well in explaining initial data (training) but will perform poorly with new data or predictions (testing). The key to preventing overfitting lies in optimising the choice of parameters to include in the model in order to minimise test error. We chose the percentage of correct classification (PCC) obtained through leave-one-out cross-validation (CV; Stone 1974) as our selection criterion. The higher the PCC, the better the model performed under test conditions. Prior to performing CV procedures, we generated a dissimilarity matrix from the correlation coefficients of the geometric and microanatomical parameters before performing a hierarchical cluster analysis to identify and eliminate highly correlated variables (correlation coefficient $>0.95$ ) in order to avoid subsequent complications related to the existence of singular variance-covariance matrices. $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$, BCSA, TCSA and $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {pol }}$ were all inter-correlated. We decided to keep only the parameter $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$ which, in a paleobiological inference context, is the easiest to measure and least likely to be impacted by taphonomy. The parameters P and RP were also correlated. We kept the former and removed the latter from our data set. CV was performed with all possible combinations of the remaining parameters ( $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{Ecc}, \mathrm{SR}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{obs}}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{RS}$, RPSD and RSSD) and for each of the 100 phylogenetic trees for a total of more than $50,000 \mathrm{CV}$. In the end, only three parameters out of the original 13 were retained for our humerus inference model $\left(\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}\right.$, SR and P ). The R script and associated R environment allowing to replicate the postural inferences presented in the results of this study and allowing new inferences to be produced in other extinct synapsids from our dataset are available in Online Resources 3 and 4, respectively.

We then designed linear models in R to examine the association of the coordinates of the sampled taxa on the first and second axis of the PFDA model with body mass and lifestyle. When lifestyle was significant, we performed pairwise post-hoc tests with false discovery rate (FDR) correction using the emmeans_test function in the R package rstatix (Alboukadel 2021).

## Results

## PhYLOGENETIC SIGNAL IN THE DATA

All geometric parameters except for cross-sectional eccentricity (Ecc) were significantly associated with phylogeny (Table 2). For $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$, BCSA, TCSA and $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {pol }}$, the K -statistic was below 1 , indicating that intra-clade variation is greater than inter-clade variation and suggesting patterns of evolutionary convergence. However, K was close to 1 for the slenderness ratio (SR), implying that the distribution of this trait on the phylogeny is consistent with what would be

| Parameter | K-statistic | Delta-statistic $P$-value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P e}_{\text {min }}$ | 0.58 (0.506-0.706) |  | $0.001 * *(0.001-0.003)$ |
| BCSA | 0.616 (0.536-0.745) |  | 0.001 ** (0.001-0.003) |
| TCSA | 0.593 (0.517-0.72) |  | 0.001** (0.001-0.002) |
| Ecc | 0.185 (0.1-0.251) |  | 0.403 (0.194-0.63) |
| $\mathbf{Z}_{\text {pol }}$ | 0.611 (0.531-0.742) |  | 0.001 ** (0.001-0.002) |
| SR | 0.915 (0.796-1.069) |  | $0.001 * *(0.001-0.001)$ |
| P | 0.237 (0.15-0.298) |  | 0.151 (0.051-0.334) |
| S | 0.392 (0.339-0.472) |  | 0.007** (0.002-0.017) |
| Cobs | 0.169 (0.078-0.254) |  | 0.52 (0.177-0.781) |
| RP | 0.225 (0.144-0.279) |  | 0.186 (0.092-0.359) |
| RS | 0.272 (0.237-0.332) |  | 0.059 (0.03-0.105) |
| RPSD | 0.697 (0.586-0.813) |  | $0.001 * *(0.001-0.003)$ |
| RSSD | 0.234 (0.2-0.274) |  | 0.159 (0.081-0.278) |
| Posture |  | 11.982 (3.194-21.471) | $<0.001^{* * *}(<0.001-0.01)$ |

expected under a Brownian model of evolution and therefore reflects a phylogenetic signal. S and RPSD were the only microanatomical parameters to be significantly associated with phylogeny (Table 2). K was lower than 1 in each case, highlighting convergences. Posture also contained a substantial phylogenetic signal ( $P$-value $<0.01$ ), with the delta-statistic ranging from 3.194 to 21.471 (mean $=11.982$; see Table 2 ).

Table 2 Phylogenetic signal in the data. Values reported in the table are means obtain from 100 phylogenetic trees. The $P$-values for K (Blomberg et al. 2003) and delta (Borges et al. 2019) are obtained from 1000 and 100 randomisations, respectively. Minimum and maximum values obtained from our distribution of 100 phylogenetic trees are given in parentheses. All geometric and microanatomical parameters, except ratios, were log-transformed in R

## GEOMETRIC AND MICROANATOMICAL COMPARISON OF POSTURAL GROUPS

The microanatomical parameter S and the geometric parameters $\mathrm{Pe}_{\mathrm{min}}, \mathrm{BCSA}$, TCSA, Zpol and SR are all significantly associated with posture (Table 3). They were also always significantly related to body mass and never to lifestyle except for SR and S, which are significantly associated with both body mass and lifestyle. RPSD was significantly associated only with

Table 3 Effect of posture, body mass and functional ecology/lifestyle on the humeral geometric and microanatomical parameters. Values reported are means obtained from 100 phylogenetic trees. Minimum and maximum values obtained from our distribution of 100 phylogenetic trees are given in parentheses. Body mass and all geometric and microanatomical parameters, except ratios, were log-transformed in R. Abbreviations: BM, body mass; LS, lifestyle; POS, posture

| GLS model formula | Independent variables | Chi-square values | $\boldsymbol{P}$-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathrm{LS}+\mathrm{POS}$ | POS | 14.653 (10.196-18.37) | $0.003 * *(<0.001-0.017)$ |
|  | BM | 536.358 (366.969-653.646) | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | LS | 3.311 (2.21-4.439) | 0.35 (0.218-0.53) |
| BCSA ~ BM + LS + POS | POS | 13.884 (12.008-15.852) | $0.003 * *$ (0.001-0.007) |
|  | BM | 551.749 (509.506-597.076) | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | LS | 4.869 (4.208-5.937) | 0.184 (0.115-0.24) |
| TCSA ~ BM + LS + POS | POS | 18.178 (13.67-22.052) | $<0.001^{* * *}(<0.001-0.003)$ |
|  | BM | 645.451 (478.171-755.691) | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | LS | 4.688 (3.44-6.266) | 0.2 (0.099-0.329) |
| $\mathbf{E c c} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathbf{L S}+\mathbf{P O S}$ | POS | 0.55 (0.274-0.987) | 0.907 (0.804-0.965) |
|  | BM | 0.02 (<0.001-0.161) | 0.912 (0.689-0.999) |
|  | LS | 3.645 (2.009-5.04) | 0.311 (0.169-0.571) |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathrm{LS}+\mathrm{POS}$ | POS | 20.982 (18.529-23.534) | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | BM | 794.205 (735.737-861.524) | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | LS | 7.175 (5.93-8.665) | 0.069 (0.034-0.115) |
| $\mathbf{S R} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathbf{L S}+\mathbf{P O S}$ | POS | 15.664 (13.844-17.459) | $0.001^{* *}$ (0.001-0.003) |
|  | BM | 13.869 (11.131-16.525) | $<0.001^{* * *}(<0.001-0.001)$ |
|  | LS | 8.718 (7.529-10.025) | 0.034* (0.018-0.057) |
| $\mathbf{P} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathbf{L S}+\mathbf{P O S}$ | POS | 1.071 (0.614-1.524) | 0.784 (0.677-0.893) |
|  | BM | 0.088 (0.003-0.248) | 0.778 (0.619-0.956) |
|  | LS | 1.318 (0.848-1.788) | 0.725 (0.617-0.838) |
| $\mathbf{S} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathbf{L S}+\mathbf{P O S}$ | POS | 12.697 (11.016-14.076) | $0.006^{* *}(0.003-0.012)$ |
|  | BM | 7.031 (6.033-8.012) | $0.008^{* *}$ (0.005-0.014) |
|  | LS | 11.017 (9.13-13.989) | 0.013* (0.003-0.028) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {obs }} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathrm{LS}+\mathrm{POS}$ | POS | 1.288 (0.51-2.173) | 0.733 (0.537-0.917) |


|  | BM | 0.063 (0.002-0.183) | 0.812 (0.668-0.961) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LS | 2.529 (1.128-4.161) | 0.478 (0.245-0.77) |
| $\mathbf{R P} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathbf{L S}+\mathbf{P O S}$ | POS | 1.404 (0.826-1.963) | 0.705 (0.58-0.843) |
|  | BM | 0.025 (<0.001-0.134) | 0.895 (0.714-0.999) |
|  | LS | 2.234 (1.449-2.94) | 0.527 (0.401-0.694) |
| $\mathbf{R S} \sim \mathbf{B M}+\mathrm{LS}+\mathrm{POS}$ | POS | 4.919 (4.157-6.171) | 0.18 (0.104-0.245) |
|  | BM | 9.705 (8.243-11.851) | 0.002** (0.001-0.004) |
|  | LS | 6.89 (5.721-8.036) | 0.077 (0.045-0.126) |
| RPSD ~ BM + LS + POS | POS | 5.334 (4.365-6.047) | 0.151 (0.109-0.225) |
|  | BM | 1.048 (0.373-2.005) | 0.317 (0.157-0.541) |
|  | LS | 9.671 (8.443-11.623) | 0.022* (0.009-0.038) |
| RSSD ~ BM + LS + POS | POS | 2.482 (2.011-3.219) | 0.48 (0.359-0.57) |
|  | BM | 5.234 (4.379-6.227) | 0.023* (0.013-0.036) |
|  | LS | 3.955 (3.268-4.565) | 0.268 (0.207-0.352) |

lifestyle, RS and RSSD only with body mass.

## PhYLOGENETIC DISCRIMINATION OF POSTURAL GROUPS

The PFDA model was very successful in discriminating between postural groups (Fig. 4). Indeed, the mean training PCC reached $88 \%$ ( $88-90 \%$ ). Most of the time, crouched taxa were correctly classified at $83 \%$ (19 out of 23 taxa). The rest of the time ( $5 \%$ of the phylogenetic trees), they reached $87 \%$ ( 20 out of 23 taxa). With all tree hypotheses, the erect taxa were correctly classified at $93 \%$ ( 13 out of 14 taxa) while the two monotremes (sprawling) and the two talpids (modified) both achieved $100 \%$ of correct classifications. Dimetrodon and Peratherium were always inferred as sprawling and crouched, respectively. Lambda ranged from 0.04 to 0.17 (mean $=0.099$ ), indicating a present but low influence of the phylogeny.

Body mass was significantly associated with the taxon coordinates on the first and second PFDA axes (Table 4). Lifestyle was significantly associated with the taxon coordinates on the first PFDA axis but not on the second, although the $P$-values were close to the significance level. The results of the post-hoc tests with the first PFDA axis revealed that arboreal taxa were significantly different from semi-aquatic, terrestrial and fossorial taxa, and that fossorial taxa were significantly different from terrestrial taxa (Table 5).


Fig. 4 Phylogenetic discriminant space generated from PFDA (Motani and Schmitz 2011) on postural groups. Based on posterior probabilities, Dimetrodon and Peratherium are inferred as "sprawling" and "crouched", respectively. Silhouettes come from phylopic.org

## Discussion

## CROSS-SECTIONAL CHARACTERISATION OF POSTURAL GROUPS

$\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$, BCSA, TCSA were all significantly associated with posture (Table 3). This is not surprising since $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$ is related to body mass (Campione and Evans 2012), and it is well known that body mass and limb posture in mammals are related (Biewener 1989b, 2005; Houssaye et al. 2016b). Indeed, large mammals tend to have more erect (columnar) limbs that help reduce tissue stress (Gregory 1912; Biewener 1989a, Gatesy and Biewener 1991; Hutchinson 2021). This is because bone resists compression better than tension or torsion (Currey 2013). Logically, $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$ increases with increasing bone size and so do both BCSA and TCSA. The significant association between $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$ and posture is interesting, as it probably reveals a difference in torsional strength in the humerus between postures. Indeed, previous studies, including invivo measurements, have shown that crouched taxa, just like non-avian reptiles with a sprawling posture, exhibit increased torsional stress compared to erect taxa, which are primarily loaded in bending (Biewener 1990; Blob and Biewener 1999, 2001; Butcher et al. 2008, 2011). SR and $S$ are the only parameters to be significantly associated with both posture and lifestyle.

Table 4 Effect of body mass and lifestyle on the taxon coordinates on the first and second axes of the PFDA model. Values reported are means obtained from 100 phylogenetic trees. Minimum and maximum values obtained from our distribution of 100 phylogenetic trees are indicated in parentheses. Abbreviations: BM, body mass; LS, lifestyle

| Linear model formula | Independent variable | F-value | $\boldsymbol{P}$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coordinates of the taxa on the first PFDA axis $\sim$ BM $+\mathbf{L S}$ | BM | $53.88(46.071-57.117)$ |  |
| Coordinates of the taxa on the second PFDA axis $\sim$ BM + LS | BM | $11.807(11.244-12.138)$ | $<0.001^{* * *}$ |
|  | LS | $14.499(13.927-15.613)$ | $<0.001^{* * *}(<0.001-0.001)$ |
|  | LS | $2.7(2.526-2.767)$ | $0.06(0.056-0.073)$ |

Table 5 Differences in taxon coordinates on the first PFDA axis between lifestyle categories as shown by pairwise comparison. Values reported are means obtained from 100 phylogenetic trees. Minimum and maximum values obtained from our distribution of 100 phylogenetic trees are indicated in parentheses. Abbreviations: Aq, semi-aquatic; Ar, arboreal; Fo, fossorial; Te, terrestrial

| Pairwise comparison | Adjusted $\boldsymbol{P}$-value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Aq vs. Ar | $0.006^{* *}(0.005-0.007)$ |
| Aq vs. Fo | $0.547(0.533-0.563)$ |
| Aq vs. Te | $0.161(0.145-0.171)$ |
| Ar vs. Fo | $0.001^{* *}(<0.001-0.001)$ |
| Ar vs. Te | $0.028^{*}(0.023-0.036)$ |
| Fo vs. Te |  |

Monotremes and talpids have some of the most robust humeri. These taxa are also the most fossorial species in our sample. This is because burrowing habits generally go hand in hand with robust, stocky forelimbs for digging in hard substrates (Shimer 1903). Similarly, the primates in our sample have the slenderest humeri. They are also the species with the most arboreal habits. Indeed, arboreal species generally have slender, elongated forelimbs that allow them to move more efficiently in trees by increasing reach and reducing energy expenditure during vertical climbing, as longer arms allow them to lean back more, thereby increasing friction between the foot and the substrate (Preuschoft et al. 1996; Isler 2005). The talpids show
the highest $S$ values in the sample. Two reasons can explain these high $S$ values: (1) The presence of cancellous bone considerably extending the transition between the medulla and the cortex. The corresponding compactness profile is flattened, resulting in a low slope of the asymptote at point P and thus a high S value ( $\mathrm{S}=1 / \mathrm{slope}$ ). (2) Heterogeneity of cortical thickness. Variations in cortical thickness depending on the position within the cross-section mimic an extensive transition between the medulla and the cortex on the overall compactness profile. Talpids clearly show a thickening of the cortex antero-posteriorly (Fig. 2). An increase in mechanical stress in these regions, due to the attachment of strong muscles involved in the adduction/abduction cycle of the humerus (Rose et al. 2013), could explain these variations in cortical thickness.

## PhYLOGENETIC DISCRIMINANT MODEL AND PALAEOBIOLOGICAL INFERENCES

Lambda was always greater than 0 , indicative of a phylogenetic involvement in the PFDA, which attempts to maximise the relationships between humeral posture and the microanatomical parameters. This result is far from being surprising. Indeed, we saw that humeral posture was significantly associated with phylogeny (Table 2). Nevertheless, this confirmsour choice to use a classification method accounting for species relatedness. With a mean correct classification rate exceeding 85\%, the PFDA model was very successful in discriminating the postural groups. Even monotremes and talpids, represented by only four individual taxa (Ornithorhynchus and Tachyglossus, and Euroscaptor and Talpa, respectively), are always correctly classified.

The Late Eocene herpetotheriid Peratherium cuvieri was inferred to be "crouched" with all trees in our phylogenetic tree sample. Studies on herpetotheriid locomotion are very sparse. Kurz (2005) designated Amphiperatherium and another undetermined herpetotheriid as "cursorial" based on lumbar vertebral morphology and tail length. Horovitz et al. (2008) described Herpetotherium as "agile" based on femoral morphology. The literature is more abundant regarding their extant close relatives, the Didelphidae. The didelphids are commonly used as models to study the evolution of therian locomotion (Jenkins 1971; Jenkins and Weijs 1979; Argot 2001; Butcher et al. 2011). Didelphids, like most small mammals, have a crouched posture (Jenkins 1971). Thus, a crouched posture in Peratherium cuvieri is deemed very plausible.

The posture of permo-carboniferous synapsids (the earliest stem mammals) has been extensively studied, in comparison to that of herpetotheriids. Indeed, it is widely accepted,
based on anatomical, biomechanical, and ichnological evidence, that the earliest stem mammals had sprawling limbs (Jenkins 1973; Hunt and Lucas 1998; Blob 2001; Benton 2015; Hopson 2015; Wright 2018; Cavanaugh 2021). Therefore, it is not surprising that Dimetrodon natalis was inferred to be a sprawler by the PFDA model, although the posturalhas not yet been clearly established. Sometimes described as "lizard-like" (Bakker 1971; Desmond 1975), the sprawling posture of monotremes may in fact be close to the ancestral condition of synapsids, yet distinct from the sprawling posture of squamates and urodeles (Gambaryan and Kuznetsov 2013; Regnault et al. 2020), or it may be derived from early mammals with parasagittal limbs (Pridmore 1985). Similarly in reptiles, Crocodylia, with their "semi-erect" limbs, were commonly considered "primitive" posturally (Bakker 1971; Charig 1972), when in fact they are descended from more erect forms (Parrish 1987; Gatesy 1991; Reilly and Elias 1998).

Body mass seems to have a confounding effect on our PFDA model (Table 4). This is not surprising since the parameter $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$ was used in the model. We have already mentioned that body mass and posture in mammals are strongly intertwined. But we do not see this as a problem, on the contrary. Indeed, our goal is to build a model that can effectively discriminate between humeral postures in mammals based on easily measurable parameters, including in fossils, so that inferences can be produced for extinct taxa. If body mass is a powerful parameter to achieve this goal, we should use it by including, or rather not excluding, parameters associated with it, such as the perimeter of the cross-section. However, body mass, although useful, is not sufficient to distinguish between postures. Indeed, some species have equivalent body mass but different posture; e.g. Marmota (crouched) and Tachyglossus (sprawling). It should also be mentioned here that some ungulates with erect limbs weigh less than 10 kg , e.g. dik-diks (genus Madoqua). The case of small ungulates, although beyond the scope of this study, is worthy of further investigation. Therefore, we believe that femoral geometric and microanatomical parameters contain a functional signal that a multivariate quantitative approach such as PFDA can effectively exploit. Lifestyle was significantly associated with the first axis of the model and was close to the significance level for the second axis. This is most likely due to the presence of SR in the model. Indeed, we saw that the slenderness ratio was significantly associated with both body mass, posture, and lifestyle.

Post-hoc tests revealed that virtually all lifestyle categories were significantly different on the first axis with the exception of semi-aquatic taxa (Table 5). However, the only semiaquatic taxon in our analysis was Ornithorhynchus. Therefore, this is most likely due to the small sample size, which results in a lack of statistical power. However, semi-aquatic taxa, such
as otters, deserve a separate study, as they tend to show pachyostosis and/or osteosclerosis (an increase in periosteal bone deposits and widespread spongiosa, respectively), which affect buoyancy (Houssaye et al. 2016a).

At first glance, it is surprising that S was not retained in the PFDA model since it seems to be significantly associated with posture unlike P (Table 3). However, the result of the crossvalidation with the parameters $\mathrm{Pe}_{\mathrm{min}}, \mathrm{SR}$ and S gives only $73 \%$ of correct classification ( $15 \%$ less compared to the original model). Ultimately, joint use of $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{SR}$ and P seems to be the best parameter configuration to discriminate mammalian posture with our sample.

## Conclusion

Using generalised least squares, we showed that all parameters that were significantly associated with posture, i.e. minimum humeral shaft perimeter ( $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}$ ), bone cross-sectional area (BCSA), total cross-sectional area (TCSA), polar section modulus ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$ ), slenderness ratio $(\mathrm{SR})$ and the reciprocal of the slope of the asymptote at point P on the compactness profile (S), were also significantly associated with body mass. This was expected as body mass is known to have an impact on posture in mammals, with smaller species having a crouched posture and larger species having more erect limbs to minimise body weight-induced stresses. The association between $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$ and posture was also expected since $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}$ corresponds to the resistance of the shaft to torsion, and previous studies have shown that in mammals (and other taxa) crouched limbs are subject to higher torsional stresses than erect limbs, which are primarily loaded in flexion. We showed that SR and S were also related to lifestyle, with burrowing taxa having more robust humeri and arboreal taxa having slender humeri, and moles exhibiting heterogeneity in cortical thickness most likely related to the attachment of strong muscles on the anterior and posterior surfaces of the humerus involved in the limb adduction/abduction cycle.

A number of parameters were significantly associated with phylogeny ( $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{BSCA}$, TCSA, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pol}}, \mathrm{S}$ and RPSD), as well as posture itself. The lambda values from the PFDA model indicated an influence of the phylogeny in the data, justifying the use of a phylogenetically informed classification method. Elimination of overly correlated parameters followed by crossvalidation procedures ultimately yielded a PFDA model with three variables ( $\mathrm{Pe}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{SR}$ and P ) that successfully discriminated postural groups ( $88 \%$ average correct classification into four categories based on 100 mammalian phylogenetic trees). Despite the small sample size, the model was able to correctly classify moles (modified humeral posture) and monotremes
(sprawling humeral posture). Application of the model to extinct taxa yielded plausible results. Peratherium cuvieri and Dimetrodon natalis are inferred to have had a crouched and sprawling humeral posture, respectively. The PFDA model appeared to be significantly influenced by body mass and lifestyle, but nevertheless allows quantitative postural discrimination that size or lifestyle parameters alone would not achieve, while producing plausible inferences in extinct taxa.

Our study highlights the complex interplay between body mass, lifestyle, posture and the geometry and microanatomy of the humerus in mammals. Our model can be used by palaeontologists to infer the humeral posture of other extinct species based on humeral crosssections alone. Extending our method to other appendicular skeletal elements could refine the inferences produced for extinct taxa, particularly those relevant to the context of shifts in limb posture (more sprawling to more erect/parasagittal limbs) in early mammals, which tend to exhibit a mosaic of characters.
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