

White paper formatted for *Endocrine Oncology*

## **NET models meeting 2024 White Paper: the current state of neuroendocrine tumour research models and our future aspirations**

Po Hien Ear<sup>1\*</sup>, Ilaria Marinoni<sup>2\*</sup>, Talya Dayton<sup>3\*</sup>, Rachael Guenter<sup>4\*</sup>, Dawn E Quelle<sup>5\*</sup>, Anna Battistella<sup>6,7</sup>, Floryne O. Buishand<sup>8</sup>, Suganthi Chittaranjan<sup>9</sup>, Yi-Cheih Nancy Du<sup>10</sup>, Ines Marques<sup>11</sup>, Natalia Pellegata<sup>12,13</sup>, Samira M Sadowski<sup>14</sup>, Amit Tirosh<sup>15</sup>, Simon April-Monn<sup>16</sup>, Cinzia Aurilia<sup>17</sup>, Renata Jaskula-Sztul<sup>4</sup>, Maria Jesús Baena Moreno<sup>18</sup>, Simone Donati<sup>17</sup>, Katherine A English<sup>19</sup>, Maria Almudena Hernandez Llorens<sup>18</sup>, Harry Hodgetts<sup>20</sup>, Francesca Marini<sup>21</sup>, Maria Martins<sup>20</sup>, Gaia Palmi<sup>21</sup>, Beatriz Soldevilla<sup>18,22</sup>, Jörg Schrader<sup>23</sup>, Rajesh V Thakker<sup>19,24,25</sup> & Kate E Lines<sup>19,26</sup>

***\*PHE, IM, TD, RG, DEQ are NET models consortium subgroup leads and contributed equally to this manuscript***

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Tissue Medicine and Pathology, University of Bern, 3008, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) Barcelona, Tissue Biology and Disease Modelling, 08003, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

<sup>5</sup> Department of Neuroscience and Pharmacology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

<sup>6</sup> Pancreatic Surgery Unit, Pancreas Translational and Clinical Research Center, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

<sup>7</sup> Malignant B cells biology and 3D modelling Unit, Experimental Oncology Department, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Department of Clinical Science and Services, Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield, AL9 7TA, UK

<sup>9</sup> Department of Genome Sciences centre, BC Cancer, Vancouver, BC, V3B 5H2, Canada

<sup>10</sup> Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY 10065, USA

<sup>11</sup> Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, 3012, Bern, Switzerland

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

<sup>12</sup> Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy;

<sup>13</sup> Institute for Diabetes and cancer, Helmholtz Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>14</sup> Endocrine Surgery Section, Surgical Oncology Program, Center for Cancer Research, NCI, NIH, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA

<sup>15</sup> ENTIRE – Endocrine Neoplasia Translational Research Center, Sheba Medical Center, and Tel Aviv University Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel

<sup>16</sup> Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

<sup>17</sup> Associazione Italiana Neoplasie Endocrine Multiple di tipo 1 e di tipo 2 (AIMEN 1 e 2), Italy

<sup>18</sup> Center of Experimental Oncology. Gastrointestinal and Neuroendocrine Tumors Research Group. Research Institute Hospital 12 de Octubre (i+12). 28041, Madrid, Spain

<sup>19</sup> OCDEM, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7LJ, UK

<sup>20</sup> Regenerative Medicine and Fibrosis Group, Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, University College London, Royal Free Campus, London, UK

<sup>21</sup> FIRMO Foundation (Italian Foundation for the Research on Bone Diseases), Florence, Italy

<sup>22</sup> Department of Genetics, Physiology and Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>23</sup> I. Department of Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>24</sup> Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals Trust, Oxford, OX3 7LE, UK

<sup>25</sup> Centre for Endocrinology, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

<sup>26</sup> School of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, OX3 0BP, UK

***§KEL is the NET models consortium lead, and to whom correspondence should be addressed:***

Dr. Kate Lines

School of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, OX3 0BP, UK

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

klines@brookes.ac.uk

**Short title:** NET models meeting 2024

**Key Words:** *In vitro*, *ex vivo*, *in vivo*, consortium

**Word Count (limit 6000):** 5810

**References:** 84

**Figures/Tables:** 3 Tables

**Abstract (249 words, limit 250)**

Current models for the study of neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) are severely limited. While *in vitro* (e.g. cell lines), *ex vivo* (e.g. organoids), and *in vivo* (e.g. mice) models all exist, each has limitations. To address these limitations and collectively identify strategies to move the NET models field forward, we held an inaugural NET Models meeting, hosted by our founding group: Dr. Lines (Oxford); Prof. Quelle (Iowa); Dr. Dayton (Barcelona); Dr. Ear (Iowa); Dr. Marinoni (Bern); and Dr. Guenter (Alabama). This 2-day meeting in Oxford (UK) was organised and supported by Bioscientifica Ltd and was solely dedicated to the discussion of NET models. The meeting was attended by ~30 international researchers (from UK, EU, Israel, USA and Canada). Plenary talks were given by Prof. Thakker who summarised NET research over the last few decades, and Dr. Schrader who described the process and pitfalls of generating new cell lines. Eight researchers also presented their work on topics ranging from human cell 3D bioprinting, to zebrafish models, and included novel ideas as well as improvements on current concepts. This was followed by an interactive workshop where discussion topics included, a summary of currently available NET models, limitations of these models, barriers to developing new models, and how we can address these issues going forward. This white paper summarises the key points raised in these discussions, as well as the future aspirations of the NET Models Consortium. The next meeting will take place in Oxford (UK) in 2025, contact [contact@netcancerfoundation.com](mailto:contact@netcancerfoundation.com) for more information.

## White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology

### Introduction

Neuroendocrine neoplasms (NENs) are tumours that arise in the neuroendocrine cells of the body, and commonly affect the pancreas, lung or small intestine (Chauhan, *et al.* 2020). They can occur sporadically or as part of inherited tumour syndromes such as multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) (Frost, *et al.* 2018). Although considered a rare tumour type, the incidence of NENs is rising globally, with approximately 8.8 per 100,000 people diagnosed in England, and approximately 10 per 100,000 people diagnosed in the USA (Chauhan, *et al.* 2020; Das and Dasari 2021; White, *et al.* 2022). Notably, low grade NENs are particularly challenging to study and treat clinically because of their unusually slow growth, which not only makes them unresponsive to many traditional therapeutics but has impeded the development of much needed patient-derived cell systems and animal-based NEN models for research.

NENs can be classified as well differentiated neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) or poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs). The latter are highly aggressive tumours that are both biologically and clinically representative of more common cancer types such as breast or lung adenocarcinomas, as they harbour mutations in classic tumour suppressor genes including *TP53* (Rindi, *et al.* 2018). NETs, on the other hand are a more diverse and biologically distinct tumour type, that can be further subdivided into 3 different grades (G), G1, G2 and G3 (Rindi, *et al.* 2018). G1 tumours are the least aggressive whereas G3 the most aggressive with Ki-67 index and mitotic count increasing across the grades (Rindi, *et al.* 2018). Most NETs are low G1 and G2 lesions, but can still metastasize. There are >20 genes described to be mutated in NETs, with the most common being Menin 1 (*MEN1*), death-domain-associated protein (*DAXX*), and ATRX Chromatin Remodeler (*ATRX*), which occur in 40-70% of sporadic G1-3 Pancreatic NETs (PanNETs) and Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (*CDKN1B*) that occurs in approximately 10% of sporadic G1-3 small intestinal NETs (siNETs) (Di Domenico, *et al.* 2017; Francis, *et al.* 2013; Jiao, *et al.* 2011; Scarpa, *et al.* 2017; van Riet, *et al.* 2021). Mutations in other genes, such as Phosphatase And Tensin Homolog (*PTEN*),

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

and those in the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling pathway have also been identified, predominately in PanNETs, but at lower frequencies (Di Domenico, *et al.* 2017; Jiao, *et al.* 2011; Maharjan, *et al.* 2021; van Riet, *et al.* 2021).

The only curative treatment for NENs of all grades is surgery, however 20-50% of patients present with unresectable tumours at diagnosis such that surgery is not an option (Buicko, *et al.* 2019; O'Dorisio, *et al.* 2020; Roeyen, *et al.* 2009). The first line medical treatment for NENs are somatostatin analogues (SSAs, either octreotide or lanreotide), which functionally engage somatostatin receptors that are often highly expressed in NENs (Caplin, *et al.* 2014; Rinke, *et al.* 2009). While SSAs stabilise disease and reduce morbidities associated with excessive hormone secretion, they do not reduce disease burden (O'Dorisio, *et al.* 2020; Zandee and de Herder 2018). Other medical therapies available to patients with NENs include the mTOR inhibitor everolimus, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib, as well as conventional chemotherapies, although some of them show promise e.g. temozolomide shows progression free survival of ~50% in pancreatic NETs (PanNETs), many show limited and mixed efficacy (O'Dorisio, *et al.* 2020; Raymond, *et al.* 2011; Yao, *et al.* 2011; Yao, *et al.* 2016; Zandee and de Herder 2018). Radiological treatments including peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT), trans-arterial chemoembolization, trans-arterial radioembolisation and radiofrequency ablation are also an option for NEN patients. However, similar to medical therapies, although some show promise e.g. PRRT shows progression free survival of ~40% in PanNETs, many have limited efficacy (O'Dorisio, *et al.* 2020; Singh, *et al.* 2024; Strosberg, *et al.* 2017; Zandee and de Herder 2018), although there are promising anti-tumour effects of recently developed  $\alpha$ -emitting NEN targeted agents (Gape, *et al.* 2024; Lee, *et al.* 2024). Nonetheless, although the 5-year survival rate for patients with localised NETs is 78-93%, the 5-year survival for patients with metastatic disease is only 19-38% (Riihimäki, *et al.* 2016). Improved, and more effective targeted therapies that are developed specifically for NENs, and particularly NETs, are therefore

## *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

required. In order to develop these therapies, improved preclinical models are also required. To address this, we held the inaugural NET Models meeting in 2024, bringing together experts in the field to present their current unpublished work, and discuss the current state of NET models, the limitations of these, the barriers to improving the models, and aspirations for how models can be improved in the future. This White paper therefore summarises the presentations and discussions from this meeting.

### **NET Models Meeting Overview**

More models of NETs are desperately needed to address the important basic and translational research questions about this disease, as those answers will ultimately help advance NET patient treatments. NETs provide a unique challenge as they are relatively rare, genetically and phenotypically diverse and nearly impossible to propagate under standard *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions that work for common tumour types. As a consequence, generating NET models for scientific research that accurately recapitulate the patient tumours remains highly complex. This is true for *in vitro* models (predominantly cell lines), *ex vivo* models (e.g. organoids) and *in vivo* models (e.g. mice). Indeed, currently available NET research models are few in number, with many being imperfect for the molecular biology or therapeutic studies being undertaken. To address these challenges the NET Models Consortium was established in 2023. The aim of the consortium is to bring together researchers in the NET field to share expertise and experience, not only to discuss successes but also failed attempts, as well as to collaboratively develop novel models for the scientific community to use. In February 2024 the NET Models Consortium held their inaugural meeting in Oxfordshire, UK called *NET Models Meeting 2024*. There were 28 delegates attending in total, including two plenary speakers, and eight oral research presentations.

Day 1 opened with a plenary talk by Prof. Rajesh Thakker from the University of Oxford (UK). He summarised some of the most recent NET research findings and how different models, predominantly

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

the cell lines BON-1 and QGP1, as well as mouse NET models have been utilised. Although this highlighted several excellent studies on genetics, epigenetics and novel treatment approaches for NETs, it also cemented the need for improved models to enable more clinically translatable research. Eight research presentations by a highly international group of speakers followed, each relating work currently being undertaken using different NEN (i.e. NET and NEC) models. Dr. Amit Tirosh (Tel Aviv, Israel) described generation of a VHL-deficient pseudohypoxic pancreatic NEN (PanNEN) cell line, Dr. Floryne Buishand (London, UK) outlined their use of canine insulinoma as a model for human malignant insulinoma, and Dr. Suganthi Chittaranjan (Vancouver, Canada) discussed their work on exploring subgroup-defining biomarkers and therapeutic vulnerabilities in PanNEN models. Dr. Anna Battistella (Milan, Italy) described their work on the development of new 3D *in vitro* models of pancreatic NETs (PanNETS), and Dr. Samira Sadowski (Bethesda, USA) outlined their work on therapeutic screening in patient derived organoids for gastroenteropancreatic NETs (GEP NETs). Three talks were focused on *in vivo* models, Dr. Ines Marques (Bern, Switzerland) presenting their work on zebrafish patient-derived xenografts (PDX) as a tool for precision medicine and establishment of an *in vivo* pipeline to evaluate NETs, Prof. Natalia Pellegata (Munich, Germany) discussed *in vivo* models of different subtypes of paragangliomas (PPGL), and Dr. Yi-Cheih Nancy Du (New York, USA) spoke about a mouse model that allows the identification of metastatic factors by somatic gene transfer.

Day 2 of the meeting began with Dr. Jörg Schrader (Husum, Germany) delivering his plenary talk on establishing innovative NET cell lines in which he described the strategies his lab has used, as well as the pitfalls. Dr. Schrader has successfully established at least two validated NET cell lines and concluded that defining the best culture conditions is of the utmost importance to stimulate growth of NET cells, particularly as they, in most cases, lack classic oncogene activation. This was followed by a workshop to discuss the next steps and aspirations for improving NET models. This workshop was subdivided into three groups, *in vitro* models (chaired by Dr. Kate Lines), *ex vivo* models (chaired

## *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

by Dr. Po Hien Ear), and *in vivo* models (chaired by Dr. Ilaria Marinoni). The outcome of these discussions is outlined in the sections below.

### ***In vitro* NET models**

The term *in vitro* models refers to laboratory cultured cells, either primary cells that have a defined lifespan or immortalised cell lines that can proliferate indefinitely. Cell lines are often genetically manipulated to proliferate, or in the case of cancer may naturally harbour mutations that drive proliferation. For example, the human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK293) was generated by exposing HEK cells to adenovirus type 5, whereas HeLa cells generated from cervical cancer spontaneously proliferate in culture (Graham, *et al.* 1977; Puck and Marcus 1955). The main advantage of cell lines is that they provide a low cost, simple, high throughput model that can be used to rapidly assess tumour cell biology and test novel therapies. Cell lines also provide a model in which the role and significance of certain molecular alterations seen in patients can be efficiently studied. For example, the expression of cancer-associated genes and proteins can be easily manipulated in cultured cells and allow functional outputs, such as tumour cell proliferation and survival, to be assessed in real time.

A number of NEN cell lines have been developed and these are summarised in **Table 1**. Most cell lines commonly used were derived from human or rodent tumours. For PanNENs the most widely used cell lines are BON-1 and QGP1, which were generated over thirty years ago. BON-1 cells were originally isolated from a metastatic tumour in the pancreas, while QGP1 were derived from a human pancreatic somatostatinoma (Evers, *et al.* 1991; Kaku, *et al.* 1980). Both grow as adherent, easily maintained cultures, and harbour mutations that are commonly seen in NETS: BON-1 cells have a homozygous loss of cyclin dependent kinase 2A (*CDKN1A*) and *CDKN2B*; and QGP1 cells have a mutation in *ATRX* (Hofving, *et al.* 2018). However, whole exome sequencing has indicated that they may also harbour additional mutations that are typical of high-grade PanNETs or NECs, for example



### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

in *TP53* (Vandamme, *et al.* 2015). BON-1 and QGP1 cells have been used for hundreds of studies ranging from basic molecular biology of NENs to drug screening, although neither is optimal for studying hormone secretion. Therefore, for investigating effects on insulin secretion, rodent cell lines have been utilised, predominately the pancreatic beta cell derived cell lines, MIN6 and INS1 (Miyazaki, *et al.* 1990; Skelin, *et al.* 2010). More recently, cell lines that are more representative of well differentiated NETs have been described, including the human SPNE1, NT-18P and NT-3 cell lines (Benten, *et al.* 2018; Lou, *et al.* 2022; Viol, *et al.* 2022). NT-3 cells are so far the most widely used of these newer cell lines. NT-3 cells have been used, for example, to evaluate existing therapies and mutation based targeted therapies (April-Monn, *et al.* 2024; Viol, *et al.* 2022), as well as to interrogate the role of cancer-associated fibroblasts (Amin, *et al.* 2023).

Similarly to PanNETs, a few lung NETs exist including the widely used human H727 and H720 cells that represent typical and the more aggressive atypical lung NETs, respectively (Carney, *et al.* 1985). There is just one vetted human cell line for small bowel NETs, GOT1 (Kölby, *et al.* 2001). Rodent cell lines are also available for pheochromocytoma and pituitary adenomas, however although the KAT45 (pheochromocytoma), and HP75 and GX (pituitary) cell lines were developed and used historically, they have either been lost over time or have extremely limited distribution, meaning there are no widely available human cell lines (Buonassisi, *et al.* 1962; Greene and Tischler 1976; Karna, *et al.* 2024; Zhu, *et al.* 2020). The extensive use of all of these cell lines in both therapeutic and molecular biology studies, reflects their value to the NEN research community.

### ***Limitations of existing in vitro NET models***

Although NEN cell lines exist, they have many limitations. Here we will discuss the limitations that were highlighted as being the most problematic. Firstly, the existing cell lines are not representative of the genetic background in patient tumours, and particularly are unrepresentative of the genetic drivers of hereditary conditions such as *MEN1*. For example, there is no *MEN1* or *ATRX* knockout

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

cell line available for any NEN subtype seen in patients. In addition, many of the cell lines have either acquired or were selected for mutations in tumour suppressor genes such as *TP53* or oncogenes such as *KRAS*. This means the cell lines are fast growing, which is more representative of NECs rather than NETs. This limitation is exacerbated by the fact that the cell lines have been cultured by different groups over the last few decades yielding highly variant clonal populations in which novel mutations have been acquired, a phenomena highlighted by the increasing Journal requests for cell line authentication, and publications describing the characteristics and undertaking genetic analysis of the cell lines, for example (Hofving, *et al.* 2018; Luley, *et al.* 2020; Monazzam, *et al.* 2020). Such diversity in NEN cell lines studied in different labs can impact data reproducibility. One way to overcome these limitations is to develop novel cell lines. Dr. Jörg Schrader (Husum, Germany) presented his work establishing the well differentiated NT-3 insulinoma cell line, derived from a lymph node-metastasis in a PanNET patient. His team also generated NT-18P, NT-18LM and NT-36 cells PanNET cells from the primary tumour, liver metastasis and a local recurrence (12 months after initial surgery and chemotherapy treatment), respectively, from a patient with a G3 PanNET. Dr. Amit Tirosh (Tel Aviv, Israel) also summarised their work on generating a VHL-deficient pseudohypoxic pancreatic NEN cell line (Telerman, *et al.* 2023). All these cell lines, while representing an advance over previous models, are nonetheless limited by the fact they originated from high grade lesions and represent functional tumours. Most patient PanNETs are low grade (G1 or G2) and non-functional, but no cell lines representing those types of tumours have been successfully established. That remains a major gap in the NET field.

It was also highlighted that the lack of hormone secretion from some of the ‘functioning’ human cell lines, e.g. BON1 (Luley, *et al.* 2020), hinders the molecular biology studies that can be undertaken. This combined with the fact that there is still a lack of knowledge on the optimal culture factors and conditions required for the existing cell lines further limits the use of these cells. Murine PanNET cells are available for examining insulin secretion (for example, MIN-6, INS-1 or N134 cells),

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

however, these do not recapitulate the genetics seen in humans. During this meeting, Dr. Floryne Buishand (London, UK) discussed the canine canINS cell line that was established from a canine insulinoma. As dogs more closely represent the genetics of humans than rodents, the generation of canine NET models may provide a novel and valuable platform for NET research, especially since NETs arise spontaneously in dogs in contrast to genetically induced NETs in rodents (Capodanno, *et al.* 2022).

### *Current barriers for the use and generation of novel NET in vitro models*

We also discussed the barriers to developing new NET cell lines. It was concluded that the biggest barrier is ready access to the quantities of patient tumour tissue needed to generate cell lines. Many researchers do not have direct access to surgical theatres or any links to clinical colleagues, and therefore access to fresh samples is limited. Those researchers that do have access to samples reported a lack of interest in research from surgeons and pathologists. This can result in missed samples due to logistical planning, for example not being told about a surgery or surgeries ending late in the day such that tumour specimens could not be released to non-clinical staff. Some researchers do have good surgical links and are able to routinely access NEN tissue, however there is no defined protocol for tissue collection and storage. Thus, different laboratories will handle samples in different ways, resulting in protocols for cell line generation that are not readily transferable. Finally, it is both administratively and physically complex to share patient tissue across groups or institutes, and especially complicated and costly to share material internationally. This means that even protocols that use cryo-frozen tissue cannot be implemented by groups other than those who have directly collected the material. Even if samples are acquired and protocols for cell line establishment undertaken, cells from low grade NETs have an extremely slow growth rate. This makes both establishing and maintaining the cells extremely challenging. A major problem in this regard is the “contamination” of samples with stromal cells. As fibroblasts have an at least 10x – 100x faster growth rate than NET cells, this warrants elaborated selection strategies to achieve pure tumour cell

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

cultures, for example sequential trypsinization and cultivation under low-adherent conditions (Benten, *et al.* 2018). A final major barrier is the lack of obligation to deposit cell lines into a publicly available repository (for example ATCC), as well as the lack of funds for undertaking this deposit. This means that researchers commonly transfer cell lines via material transfer agreements (MTAs), which can be time consuming and/or not accepted by certain institutions, thereby restricting distribution.

### ***Ex vivo* NEN models**

We define NEN *ex vivo* models as models with high levels of resemblance to surgically resected NEN samples in terms of tumour heterogeneity. This category is comprised of patient-derived tumour organoid cultures (PDTO), tumoroid or spheroid cultures, and complex multi-cell type systems. PDTO cultures can be grown and expanded in culture indefinitely and are therefore defined as long-term cultures. In contrast, most tumoroid or spheroid cultures and complex multi-cell type bioreactor systems have a limited *in vitro* lifetime in terms of growth and expansion and are thus defined as short-term cultures.

Long-term PDTO culture systems use a completely defined culture medium consisting of a cocktail of growth-factors designed to recapitulate the cellular signaling environment of the native tumor microenvironment (Clevers 2016). This growth-factor rich media enables the establishment of PDTOs from early stage and low grade tumours (Boretto, *et al.* 2019; Fujii, *et al.* 2016; Kopper, *et al.* 2019). Thus, successful derivation of PDTOs from NETs could be facilitated by prior knowledge of the growth requirements of either NETs themselves or their presumed cells of origin, neuroendocrine cells. Long-term PDTO cultures have been established for low grade lung NETs (a.k.a. pulmonary carcinoids), with a reported success rate of 37% (Dayton, *et al.* 2023). Underscoring the importance of growth factor signaling for NETs, lung NET PDTOs are largely dependent on EGF in the media for their growth. Long-term PDTO cultures have also been

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

established for G3 NETs, 1 from a biliary tract NET, 1 from a PanNET, and 1 from a duodenal NET (Kawasaki, *et al.* 2020). The duodenal NET PDTO is reported to be EGF-dependent, and the pancreatic NET carries an amplification of *ERBB2*, suggesting EGF signaling may also be important for some PanNETs. A PDTO model of a G2 small intestinal NET has also been reported (D'Agosto, *et al.* 2023). NET PDTOs display an extremely slow growth rate ranging from culture expansions needed every 10 days to every 3 months (D'Agosto, *et al.* 2023; Dayton, *et al.* 2023).

Certain categories of NETs such as the gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) NETs, which include PanNETs and NETs of the gastrointestinal tract, remain challenging to culture long-term. Only 4-12% of GEP NET PDTO models have been successfully cultured beyond passage 5 or over a 6-month period, which pales in comparison to other NET and NEC PDTO models (D'Agosto, *et al.* 2023; Dayton, *et al.* 2023; Kawasaki, *et al.* 2020). As an alternative, short-term NET spheroid models have been established and demonstrated to express NET markers (April-Monn, *et al.* 2021; Ear, *et al.* 2019; Gillette, *et al.* 2021). The main advantage of short-term NET spheroid culture is the high success rate of establishment which ranges from 85-90% (April-Monn, *et al.* 2021; Gillette, *et al.* 2021). The methodology of culture is similar to long-term NET PDTO cultures where the emphasis is on the isolation of NET cells from fresh or cryo-preserved NET samples for embedding in extracellular matrix. A major difference is in the media composition (**Table 2**). Short-term cultures can be grown in either stem-cell-based media or fetal serum bovine (FBS)-containing media supplemented with additional growth factors and vitamins (EGF, FGF, PIGF, IGF-1, Insulin, or nicotinamide). FBS-containing media formulations are less expensive in comparison to stem cell media and allow the usage of short-term NET *ex-vivo* models for drug testing by many research laboratories (April-Monn, *et al.* 2024; April-Monn, *et al.* 2021; Ear, *et al.* 2019; Gillette, *et al.* 2021). Since well-differentiated NET cells are slow growing and require 7-14 days to divide, the short-term *ex vivo* model offers an advantage to bypass the long wait time for growth as it can immediately be used in drug testing experiments (April-Monn, *et al.* 2021; Ear, *et al.* 2019). Current published methods use 3,000 to 5,000

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

isolated NET cells per well and up to 6 drugs or drug combinations can be tested at various concentrations (April-Monn, *et al.* 2024; April-Monn, *et al.* 2021; Ear, *et al.* 2019; Gillette, *et al.* 2021). Larger collections of GEP NET short-term spheroid drug screening studies with 17 and 14-NET patient spheroids screened with different libraries of compounds are underway. With improved sensitivity of detection assays and instrumentations, several research laboratories are currently developing novel methods to use as little as 500 cells per well of GEP NETs for high-throughput drug screening for precision medicine.

In addition to NEN organoid and spheroid models which focus on 3D culture of the tumour cells, innovative 3D models with increased complexity are actively being developed. A study from colleagues at the University of Alabama successfully demonstrated the feasibility of maintaining patient PanNETs in culture in bioreactor chambers for 21 days and propagating them to secondary chambers for an additional 9 days while maintaining NET markers (Herring, *et al.* 2021). Other efforts for co-culturing NET PDO and cancer associated fibroblasts or endothelial cells, including usage of hydrogel-based extracellular matrices, are underway. The development of tissue engineering technology based on 3D printing could also help improve current models and scale up the production for usage in drug testing experiments.

### ***Limitations of existing ex vivo NET models***

While long-term NET PDOs can be expanded in culture indefinitely, a major limitation is their slow growth rate because they require a significant investment in terms of time and resources for expansion and long-term maintenance. This makes these NET PDO models most suitable for mechanistic studies, targeted drug screens, or CRISPR/Cas9 experiments that can be conducted within reasonable timeframes. Nonetheless, the number of available NET PDOs is limited. We are still in search of the optimal growth factor(s) and small molecule(s) cocktail to reliably extend the life in cultured NET cells. In contrast, short-term GEP NET spheroid models, which last 1-3 months, fit well with medium-

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

scale drug screening studies. These short-term models have a significant drawback, however, in that they are not suitable for genetic studies. This is because genetic editing methods like CRISPR/Cas require long-term cultures to allow for the selection and growth of genetically altered cells.

### ***Current barriers for the use and generation of novel NET ex vivo models***

Many of the barriers faced by *ex vivo* models are similar to those mentioned for *in vitro* NET models with regards to access to tumour samples and IRB protocols that limit sharing of patient tissues. Especially in cases where different informed consent forms are used by different studies or different hospitals providing tissue for the same study, teasing apart what is allowed with samples from one hospital versus another with regards to follow up studies and sharing may be a time-consuming task that can involve multiple ethical committees and assessments.

### ***In vivo NEN models***

*In vivo* models, or animal models, are crucial to study the impact of cancer on the entire body of a complex, living organism. Animal models enable researchers to study the mechanisms of cancer development, progression and spread to distant organs, and provide a platform for the discovery and evaluation of new therapies. *In vivo* models are particularly important for endocrine cancers as they allow the assessment of hormone secretion effects, as well as the study of rare endocrine tumour syndromes, such as MEN1, in which multiple tumours occur in different organs simultaneously.

In the field of NENs, several animal models have been developed across multiple species including mice, rats, dogs, zebrafish, and fruit flies (for example, see (Forsythe, *et al.* 2023; Karna, *et al.* 2024; Lines, *et al.* 2016; Sedlack, *et al.* 2022; Vitale, *et al.* 2014)). As those publications have already comprehensively reviewed existing NEN models, we will not revisit them all here although **Table 3** provides a brief overview. These models have been instrumental in understanding: NEN biology; mechanisms of NEN progression; systemic effects of hormone hypersecretion; and identifying novel

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

treatments and targets. The most widely used NEN models are genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs), which are ideal for studying the biology of early disease progression. Many knockout (KO) GEMMs of *Men1* exist, including constitutive and conditional models and they represent a valuable model to study NEN syndromes, for example MEN1 (Bertolino, *et al.* 2003; Crabtree, *et al.* 2001; Crabtree, *et al.* 2003; Harding, *et al.* 2009; Loffler, *et al.* 2007). Mutations in *MEN1*, which encodes the menin protein, trigger NEN formation both in mice and humans and therefore the *Men1*-KO GEMMs recapitulate the NEN development observed in humans, affecting parathyroids, pancreas, and pituitary (Bertolino, *et al.* 2003; Crabtree, *et al.* 2001; Crabtree, *et al.* 2003; Harding, *et al.* 2009; Lines, *et al.* 2017; Loffler, *et al.* 2007). These models have been used to understand the role of both *MEN1* and menin, and to identify avenues for targeting dysregulated MEN1 function.

Despite not always faithfully reflecting the human tumours, existing GEMMs have been extremely valuable for studying and understanding the biological landscape of NENs. While *Men1*-knockout mice were specifically generated to target a driver mutation equivalent to a human counterpart, other animal models have been established that develop NENs through different mechanisms. For example, the RIP1-Tag2 model is a transgenic model expressing the SV40 large T antigen under the control of the rat insulin promoter where the function of the p53 and RB tumour suppressor proteins is inhibited by the T antigen in pancreatic beta cells (Hanahan 1985). RIP1-Tag2 mice develop aggressive, fast growing, and insulin-secreting pancreatic NECs (PanNECs) around 16 weeks of age (Hanahan 1985). Although the biology of these tumours does not recapitulate the slow growing nature of G1 or G2 human PanNETs, this model has been widely used to study angiogenesis, tumour progression, and to identify new potential treatments, some of which are now used clinically for patients with PanNETs (Bill, *et al.* 2015; Hanahan, *et al.* 1996).

An alternative to GEMMs are models in which a tumour develops due to spontaneously arising mutations in the animal. The MENX rat model, presented at the 2024 NET Models Meeting by Prof.



*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Pellegata (Munich, Germany), carries a spontaneous mutation in the *Cdkn1b* gene (encoding the p27 tumour suppressor) and develops NENs in pituitary, adrenal, pancreas and thyroid with high penetrance within the first 8-9 months (Piotrowska, *et al.* 2004). Tumours in this model share biochemical, physiological and molecular characteristics with the cognate human NETs. Germline mutations in the human homologue *CDKN1B* cause the MEN4 syndrome (Lee, *et al.* 2013; Molatore, *et al.* 2010; Pellegata, *et al.* 2006). During the meeting, it was also highlighted that dogs spontaneously develop insulinomas and the incidence rate of insulinomas in dogs is ten times higher than in humans (Capodanno, *et al.* 2022). The advantages of working with an insulinoma model in dogs include the fact that dogs live together with humans, and are thus exposed to the same environmental factors, and may share their diet with humans. Additionally, spontaneous canine insulinomas offer intact host immunity, as well as natural tumour heterogeneity and microenvironment. When diagnosed with an insulinoma, dogs are treated as humans are, with surgery being the recommended first-line of care. Additionally, spontaneous canine insulinomas develop in different dog breeds, thus encompassing the heterogeneity observed in human patients, which certainly is a limitation of inbred rodent strains. However, further investigation into the genetic background of these tumours to assess whether they share the same drivers as human patients are warranted, to establish the full value of this model, especially in terms of phenocopying the human disease.

Patient-derived xenotransplantation (PDX) of NENs into immunocompromised animals represents a third possible *in vivo* model. Unfortunately, NETs have a low capacity to engraft and require long time periods (up to 2 years) to grow. NEC PDX models have higher engraftment rates, and several models have been reported in recent years, for example (Tran, *et al.* 2022). These models are valuable for assessing novel treatments in the presence of a more representative microenvironment and have particularly been utilised for the study of PRRT. Although the use of zebrafish has previously been described in NENs (Vitale, *et al.* 2014), an interesting new technique was also presented at the

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

meeting consisting of the transplantation of SiNET and PanNETs into zebrafish embryos. This model has already been widely used for other cancer types (Fior, *et al.* 2017; Marques, *et al.* 2009). To develop a NEN PDX, tumour cells are injected into the yolk-sac of zebrafish embryos, where they are able to survive, recruit vessels, migrate to the tail vein, and even form micro-metastasis in the liver (*unpublished data*). Zebrafish embryos bearing NENs can be treated with anti-tumour drugs and the effects of the drugs on the tumour cells' behaviour can be effectively measured. In addition, zebrafish provide a model in which live imaging can be performed to follow the tumour cells.

### ***Limitations of existing in vivo NET models***

The currently available *in vivo* models of NENs have several limitations. A major limitation is the lack of models bearing some of the relevant driver mutations seen in human patients. For example, there are no animal models representing the most common mutations occurring in PanNETs: *DAXX* and *ATRX*. GEMMs bearing either of these mutations do not develop PanNETs (Sun, *et al.* 2022; Wasylshen, *et al.* 2020). A recent mouse model combining *Atrx*, *Men1*, and *Pten* deletion demonstrated development of tumours, however they were aggressive PanNECs rather than NETs (Fuentes, *et al.* 2024). Another major limitation is that the majority of available mouse models for PanNETs develop insulinomas. Only one model currently exists for non-functioning PanNETs, which represent the majority of PanNETs diagnosed in patients and have worse prognoses. Carter *et al.* generated an inducible, conditional mouse model of PanNETs by hyperactivating CDK5 in  $\beta$  cells, which promoted the development of both functional and non-functional well-differentiated tumours (Carter, *et al.* 2021).

To investigate the molecular networks that drive tumor progression and metastasis, Dr Yi-Cheih Nancy Du (New York, USA), presented their work on the development of a bitransgenic mouse model, *RIP-Tag; RIP-tva*, in which both the SV40 large T antigen and the receptor for subgroup A avian leukosis virus (*tva*) is expressed in pancreatic  $\beta$  cells under the control of the rat insulin

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

promoter (Du, *et al.* 2007; Zhang, *et al.* 2017). As such, genetic alterations can be introduced *in vivo* into pancreatic  $\beta$  cells by infection with avian retroviral vectors harboring desired genetic alteration. Using this model, Dr. Du's lab has demonstrated that Bcl-xL, RHAMMB, and miR-431 promotes to PanNET metastasis (Choi, *et al.* 2016; Choi, *et al.* 2019; Du, *et al.* 2007; Du, *et al.* 2011; Zhang, *et al.* 2017; Zhang, *et al.* 2020). Dr. Du also derived several insulinoma cell lines, including N134 (Du, *et al.* 2007), from PanNETs in *RIP-Tag; RIP-tva* mice for *in vitro* study. Avian retroviral vectors can infect these murine PanNETs cell lines with high efficiency to overexpress or knockdown candidate genes (Zhang, *et al.* 2017).

A major limitation to research involving GEMMs is the expense and time-consuming nature of the work, especially for slowly growing NETs that may take 1-2 years to form *in vivo*. In some cases, specialized equipment may be required to image and track tumor growth non-invasively within the pancreas, for instance, the accessibility and cost of which may be prohibitive to researchers. While a key advantage of GEMMs is the presence of an intact immune system, xenograft models suffer from the inherent lack of an immune system in the host mice. This means that interactions between tumour cells and the tumour microenvironment (including immune cells) cannot be adequately addressed using PDX mouse models and they fail to accurately mimic the human disease. Furthermore, the cell used in PDX models are generally highly mutated and therefore more representative of NECs, rather than NETs, once again failing to recapitulate the biological status of patient NETs. Finally, experimental work performed using inbred mouse strains contributes to a lack of reproducibility across different strains and even laboratories.

### ***Current barriers for the use and generation of novel NET in vivo models***

The 2024 NET Models Meeting also had discussions about the barriers to generating new *in vivo* NET models. This highlighted two main barriers, the first being that generating GEMMs or other animal models is costly, and the second that NETs have a limited capacity to proliferate *in vivo*

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

making the delay in NET development lengthy. Thus, the delay in tumour growth can become extremely long, wherein some PanNETs take up to two years to develop. This combined with the cost, makes it very expensive to fully characterise new models and confirm if they indeed develop the required NETs. Other animal models are also possible, for example zebrafish. These are, however, less commonly used and therefore there is a lack in the field of appropriate expertise and equipment.

### **Future aspirations**

The main aim of the NET Models Consortium is to improve the availability and support the generation of new, more appropriate NET models. Based on the discussions at this meeting the following practical steps towards achieving this goal were proposed. Firstly, any cell lines should be deposited into a repository (for example ATCC). This would not only improve access to the cell lines but also data reproducibility as it would limit the number of sub-clones generated. It would also provide a reference genome for any authentication protocols. The limiting factor in such an effort may be accessing funds as the deposition often comes at a cost. It was therefore concluded that this should be considered when submitting grant applications. Similarly, there should be more transparency in declaring any acquired mutations or characteristics (for example that may be identified in sequencing studies or biomarker screens) in cell line sub-clones when publishing results. In addition, co-culture or 3D culture models should be considered alongside standard 2D cell line culture protocols as cells often behave differently in these conditions. Indeed, co-culture systems may more closely recapitulate the *in vivo* tumour environment, which may improve the translation of *in vitro* work into *in vivo* studies.

For all studies, it was also concluded that collaboration is key. This includes making data and protocols available, as well as sharing knowledge, reagents, and material (including cells and tissues).

The aim of this meeting is to foster productive collaborations by bringing together researchers with

### *White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

the necessary skills and knowledge. In addition, collaborations with researchers from other disciplines should be encouraged as that may help solve inherent challenges faced by working with NENs. The aims of these collaborations are to: standardise protocols for collection of primary material; validate findings across laboratories; generate new animal models of NETs that highly resemble disease in humans including considering expansion to other species besides the classically used rodents; share animal-related resources to reduce duplication (in line with the '3R' principle: Replace, Reduce and Refine); and apply for joint funding to further support the exchange of models/material to impact translational research of NETs and thereby ultimately improve NET patients' management and survival.

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, high quality *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* NET models that accurately mimic the patient tumours are still limited and in great demand for NET research. The NET Models Consortium has brought together international experts in this field to discuss the limitations of current models and provide tangible and deliverable aims to improve them in a collaborative manner. A framework has also been developed to continue this work at future meetings, with the next to be held in Oxford in 2025. By providing investigators an annual opportunity to present and discuss their unpublished data, including negative results, to experts in the field, it is envisaged that the NET Models Consortium meetings will foster productive collaborations required for more efficient data and resource sharing. We expect such interactions will greatly facilitate future advances in NET Model development and research.

### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank any individuals who were involved in the NET Models Consortium calls, but couldn't attend the meeting, for their contributions and ideas.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology***Funding**

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector.

**Declaration**

Kate Lines is an Associate Editor of Endocrine Oncology. Kate Lines was not involved in the review or editorial process for this paper, on which she is listed as an author.

**References**

- Amin T, Viol F, Krause J, Fahl M, Eggers C, Awwad F, Schmidt B, Benten D, Ungefroren H, Fraune C, et al. 2023 Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Induce Proliferation and Therapeutic Resistance to Everolimus in Neuroendocrine Tumors through STAT3 Activation. *Neuroendocrinology* 113 501-518.
- April-Monn SL, Kirchner P, Detjen K, Bräutigam K, Trippel MA, Grob T, Statzer C, Maire RS, Kollár A, Chouchane A, et al. 2024 Patient derived tumoroids of high grade neuroendocrine neoplasms for more personalized therapies. *NPJ Precis Oncol* 8 59.
- April-Monn SL, Wiedmer T, Skowronska M, Maire R, Schiavo Lena M, Trippel M, Di Domenico A, Muffatti F, Andreasi V, Capurso G, et al. 2021 Three-Dimensional Primary Cell Culture: A Novel Preclinical Model for Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. *Neuroendocrinology* 111 273-287.
- Benten D, Behrang Y, Unrau L, Weissmann V, Wolters-Eisfeld G, Burdak-Rothkamm S, Stahl FR, Anlauf M, Grabowski P, Möbs M, et al. 2018 Establishment of the First Well-differentiated Human Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumor Model. *Mol Cancer Res* 16 496-507.
- Bertolino P, Tong WM, Galendo D, Wang ZQ & Zhang CX 2003 Heterozygous Men1 mutant mice develop a range of endocrine tumors mimicking multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1. *Mol Endocrinol* 17 1880-1892.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Bill R, Fagiani E, Zumsteg A, Antoniadis H, Johansson D, Haefliger S, Albrecht I, Hilberg F & Christofori G 2015 Nintedanib Is a Highly Effective Therapeutic for Neuroendocrine Carcinoma of the Pancreas (PNET) in the Rip1Tag2 Transgenic Mouse Model. *Clin Cancer Res* 21 4856-4867.

Boretto M, Maenhoudt N, Luo X, Hennes A, Boeckx B, Bui B, Heremans R, Perneel L, Kobayashi H, Van Zundert I, et al. 2019 Patient-derived organoids from endometrial disease capture clinical heterogeneity and are amenable to drug screening. *Nat Cell Biol* 21 1041-1051.

Buicko JL, Finnerty BM, Zhang T, Kim BJ, Fahey TJ, 3rd & Nancy Du YC 2019 Insights into the biology and treatment strategies of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Ann Pancreat Cancer* 2.

Buonassisi V, Sato G & Cohen AI 1962 Hormone-producing cultures of adrenal and pituitary tumor origin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 48 1184-1190.

Caplin ME, Pavel M, Ćwikła JB, Phan AT, Raderer M, Sedláčková E, Cadiot G, Wolin EM, Capdevila J, Wall L, et al. 2014 Lanreotide in metastatic enteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *N Engl J Med* 371 224-233.

Capodanno Y, Buishand FO, Pang LY, Kirpensteijn J, Mol JA & Argyle DJ 2018 Notch pathway inhibition targets chemoresistant insulinoma cancer stem cells. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 25 131-144.

Capodanno Y, Altieri B, Elders R, Colao A, Faggiano A & Schrader J 2022 Canine insulinoma as a model for human malignant insulinoma research: Novel perspectives for translational clinical studies. *Transl Oncol* 15 101269.

Carney DN, Gazdar AF, Bepler G, Guccion JG, Marangos PJ, Moody TW, Zweig MH & Minna JD 1985 Establishment and identification of small cell lung cancer cell lines having classic and variant features. *Cancer Res* 45 2913-2923.

Carter AM, Kumar N, Herring B, Tan C, Guenter R, Telange R, Howse W, Viol F, McCaw TR, Bickerton HH, et al. 2021 Cdk5 drives formation of heterogeneous pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Oncogenesis* 10 83.

Chauhan A, Kohn E & Del Rivero J 2020 Neuroendocrine Tumors-Less Well Known, Often Misunderstood, and Rapidly Growing in Incidence. *JAMA Oncol* 6 21-22.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Choi S, Chen Z, Tang LH, Fang Y, Shin SJ, Panarelli NC, Chen YT, Li Y, Jiang X & Du YC 2016 Bcl-xL promotes metastasis independent of its anti-apoptotic activity. *Nat Commun* 7 10384.

Choi S, Wang D, Chen X, Tang LH, Verma A, Chen Z, Kim BJ, Selesner L, Robzyk K, Zhang G, et al. 2019 Function and clinical relevance of RHAMM isoforms in pancreatic tumor progression. *Mol Cancer* 18 92.

Clevers H 2016 Modeling Development and Disease with Organoids. *Cell* 165 1586-1597.

Crabtree JS, Scacheri PC, Ward JM, Garrett-Beal L, Emmert-Buck MR, Edgemon KA, Lorang D, Libutti SK, Chandrasekharappa SC, Marx SJ, et al. 2001 A mouse model of multiple endocrine neoplasia, type 1, develops multiple endocrine tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98 1118-1123.

Crabtree JS, Scacheri PC, Ward JM, McNally SR, Swain GP, Montagna C, Hager JH, Hanahan D, Edlund H, Magnuson MA, et al. 2003 Of mice and MEN1: Insulinomas in a conditional mouse knockout. *Mol Cell Biol* 23 6075-6085.

D'Agosto S, Fiorini E, Pezzini F, Delfino P, Simbolo M, Vicentini C, Andreani S, Capelli P, Rusev B, Lawlor RT, et al. 2023 Long-term organoid culture of a small intestinal neuroendocrine tumor. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 14 999792.

Das S & Dasari A 2021 Epidemiology, Incidence, and Prevalence of Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Are There Global Differences? *Curr Oncol Rep* 23 43.

Dayton TL, Alcala N, Moonen L, den Hartigh L, Geurts V, Mangiante L, Lap L, Dost AFM, Beumer J, Levy S, et al. 2023 Druggable growth dependencies and tumor evolution analysis in patient-derived organoids of neuroendocrine neoplasms from multiple body sites. *Cancer Cell* 41 2083-2099.e2089.

Di Domenico A, Wiedmer T, Marinoni I & Perren A 2017 Genetic and epigenetic drivers of neuroendocrine tumours (NET). *Endocr Relat Cancer* 24 R315-r334.

Du YC, Lewis BC, Hanahan D & Varmus H 2007 Assessing tumor progression factors by somatic gene transfer into a mouse model: Bcl-xL promotes islet tumor cell invasion. *PLoS biology* 5 e276.

Du YC, Chou CK, Klimstra DS & Varmus H 2011 Receptor for hyaluronan-mediated motility isoform B promotes liver metastasis in a mouse model of multistep tumorigenesis and a tail vein



*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

assay for metastasis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108 16753-16758.

Ear PH, Li G, Wu M, Abusada E, Bellizzi AM & Howe JR 2019 Establishment and Characterization of Small Bowel Neuroendocrine Tumor Spheroids. *J Vis Exp*.

Evers BM, Townsend CM, Jr., Upp JR, Allen E, Hurlbut SC, Kim SW, Rajaraman S, Singh P, Reubi JC & Thompson JC 1991 Establishment and characterization of a human carcinoid in nude mice and effect of various agents on tumor growth. *Gastroenterology* 101 303-311.

Fior R, Póvoa V, Mendes RV, Carvalho T, Gomes A, Figueiredo N & Ferreira MG 2017 Single-cell functional and chemosensitive profiling of combinatorial colorectal therapy in zebrafish xenografts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114 E8234-e8243.

Forsythe SD, Pu T, Andrews SG, Madigan JP & Sadowski SM 2023 Models in Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Current Perspectives and Future Directions. *Cancers (Basel)* 15.

Francis JM, Kiezun A, Ramos AH, Serra S, Pedamallu CS, Qian ZR, Banck MS, Kanwar R, Kulkarni AA, Karpathakis A, et al. 2013 Somatic mutation of CDKN1B in small intestine neuroendocrine tumors. *Nat Genet* 45 1483-1486.

Frost M, Lines KE & Thakker RV 2018 Current and emerging therapies for PNETs in patients with or without MEN1. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 14 216-227.

Fuentes ME, Lu X, Flores NM, Hausmann S & Mazur PK 2024 Combined deletion of MEN1, ATRX and PTEN triggers development of high-grade pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors in mice. *Sci Rep* 14 8510.

Fujii M, Shimokawa M, Date S, Takano A, Matano M, Nanki K, Ohta Y, Toshimitsu K, Nakazato Y, Kawasaki K, et al. 2016 A Colorectal Tumor Organoid Library Demonstrates Progressive Loss of Niche Factor Requirements during Tumorigenesis. *Cell Stem Cell* 18 827-838.

Gape PMD, Schultz MK, Stasiuk GJ & Terry SYA 2024 Towards Effective Targeted Alpha Therapy for Neuroendocrine Tumours: A Review. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 17.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Gillette AA, Babiarz CP, VanDommelen AR, Pasch CA, Clipson L, Matkowskyj KA, Deming DA & Skala MC 2021 Autofluorescence Imaging of Treatment Response in Neuroendocrine Tumor Organoids. *Cancers (Basel)* 13.

Graham FL, Smiley J, Russell WC & Nairn R 1977 Characteristics of a human cell line transformed by DNA from human adenovirus type 5. *J Gen Virol* 36 59-74.

Greene LA & Tischler AS 1976 Establishment of a noradrenergic clonal line of rat adrenal pheochromocytoma cells which respond to nerve growth factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 73 2424-2428.

Hanahan D 1985 Heritable formation of pancreatic beta-cell tumours in transgenic mice expressing recombinant insulin/simian virus 40 oncogenes. *Nature* 315 115-122.

Hanahan D, Christofori G, Naik P & Arbeit J 1996 Transgenic mouse models of tumour angiogenesis: the angiogenic switch, its molecular controls, and prospects for preclinical therapeutic models. *Eur J Cancer* 32a 2386-2393.

Harding B, Lemos MC, Reed AA, Walls GV, Jeyabalan J, Bowl MR, Tateossian H, Sullivan N, Hough T, Fraser WD, et al. 2009 Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 knockout mice develop parathyroid, pancreatic, pituitary and adrenal tumours with hypercalcaemia, hypophosphataemia and hypercorticosteronaemia. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 16 1313-1327.

Herring B, Jang S, Whitt J, Goliwas K, Aburjania Z, Dudeja V, Ren B, Berry J, Bibb J, Frost A, et al. 2021 Ex Vivo Modeling of Human Neuroendocrine Tumors in Tissue Surrogates. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 12 710009.

Hofving T, Arvidsson Y, Almobarak B, Inge L, Pfragner R, Persson M, Stenman G, Kristiansson E, Johanson V & Nilsson O 2018 The neuroendocrine phenotype, genomic profile and therapeutic sensitivity of GEPNET cell lines. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 25 367-380.

Jiao Y, Shi C, Edil BH, de Wilde RF, Klimstra DS, Maitra A, Schulick RD, Tang LH, Wolfgang CL, Choti MA, et al. 2011 DAXX/ATRX, MEN1, and mTOR pathway genes are frequently altered in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Science* 331 1199-1203.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Kaku M, Nishiyama T, Yagawa K & Abe M 1980 Establishment of a carcinoembryonic antigen-producing cell line from human pancreatic carcinoma. *Gan* 71 596-601.

Karna B, Pellegata NS & Mohr H 2024 Animal and Cell Culture Models of PPGLs - Achievements and Limitations. *Horm Metab Res* 56 51-64.

Kawasaki K, Toshimitsu K, Matano M, Fujita M, Fujii M, Togasaki K, Ebisudani T, Shimokawa M, Takano A, Takahashi S, et al. 2020 An Organoid Biobank of Neuroendocrine Neoplasms Enables Genotype-Phenotype Mapping. *Cell* 183 1420-1435.e1421.

Kölby L, Bernhardt P, Ahlman H, Wängberg B, Johanson V, Wigander A, Forssell-Aronsson E, Karlsson S, Ahrén B, Stenman G, et al. 2001 A transplantable human carcinoid as model for somatostatin receptor-mediated and amine transporter-mediated radionuclide uptake. *Am J Pathol* 158 745-755.

Kopper O, de Witte CJ, Löhmußaar K, Valle-Inclan JE, Hami N, Kester L, Balgobind AV, Korving J, Proost N, Begthel H, et al. 2019 An organoid platform for ovarian cancer captures intra- and interpatient heterogeneity. *Nat Med* 25 838-849.

Lee D, Li M, Liu D, Baumhover NJ, Sagastume EA, Marks BM, Rastogi P, Pigge FC, Menda Y, Johnson FL, et al. 2024 Structural modifications toward improved lead-203/lead-212 peptide-based image-guided alpha-particle radiopharmaceutical therapies for neuroendocrine tumors. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 51 1147-1162.

Lee M, Marinoni I, Irmeler M, Psaras T, Honegger JB, Beschorner R, Anastasov N, Beckers J, Theodoropoulou M, Roncaroli F, et al. 2013 Transcriptome analysis of MENX-associated rat pituitary adenomas identifies novel molecular mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of human pituitary gonadotroph adenomas. *Acta Neuropathol* 126 137-150.

Lines KE, Stevenson M & Thakker RV 2016 Animal models of pituitary neoplasia. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 421 68-81.

Lines KE, Vas Nunes RP, Frost M, Yates CJ, Stevenson M & Thakker RV 2017 A MEN1 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour mouse model under temporal control. *Endocr Connect* 6 232-242.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Loffler KA, Biondi CA, Gartside M, Waring P, Stark M, Serewko-Auret MM, Muller HK, Hayward NK & Kay GF 2007 Broad tumor spectrum in a mouse model of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1. *Int J Cancer* 120 259-267.

Lou X, Ye Z, Xu X, Jiang M, Lu R, Jing D, Zhang W, Gao H, Wang F, Zhang Y, et al. 2022 Establishment and characterization of the third non-functional human pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor cell line. *Hum Cell* 35 1248-1261.

Luley KB, Biedermann SB, Künstner A, Busch H, Franzenburg S, Schrader J, Grabowski P, Wellner UF, Keck T, Brabant G, et al. 2020 A Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of the Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumor Cell Lines BON-1 and QGP-1. *Cancers (Basel)* 12.

Maharjan CK, Ear PH, Tran CG, Howe JR, Chandrasekharan C & Quelle DE 2021 Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic Targets. *Cancers (Basel)* 13.

Marques IJ, Weiss FU, Vlecken DH, Nitsche C, Bakkers J, Lagendijk AK, Partecke LI, Heidecke CD, Lerch MM & Bagowski CP 2009 Metastatic behaviour of primary human tumours in a zebrafish xenotransplantation model. *BMC Cancer* 9 128.

Miyazaki J, Araki K, Yamato E, Ikegami H, Asano T, Shibasaki Y, Oka Y & Yamamura K 1990 Establishment of a pancreatic beta cell line that retains glucose-inducible insulin secretion: special reference to expression of glucose transporter isoforms. *Endocrinology* 127 126-132.

Molatore S, Liyanarachchi S, Irmeler M, Perren A, Mannelli M, Ercolino T, Beuschlein F, Jarzab B, Wloch J, Ziaja J, et al. 2010 Pheochromocytoma in rats with multiple endocrine neoplasia (MENX) shares gene expression patterns with human pheochromocytoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107 18493-18498.

Monazzam A, Li S-C, Wargelius H, Razmara M, Bajic D, Mi J, Bergquist J, Crona J & Skogseid B 2020 Generation and characterization of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated MEN1 knockout BON1 cells: a human pancreatic neuroendocrine cell line. *Scientific Reports* 10 14572.

O'Dorisio TM, Harris AG & O'Dorisio MS 2020 Evolution of Neuroendocrine Tumor Therapy. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 29 145-163.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Pellegata NS, Quintanilla-Martinez L, Siggelkow H, Samson E, Bink K, Höfler H, Fend F, Graw J & Atkinson MJ 2006 Germ-line mutations in p27Kip1 cause a multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome in rats and humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103 15558-15563.

Piotrowska K, Pellegata NS, Rosemann M, Fritz A, Graw J & Atkinson MJ 2004 Mapping of a novel MEN-like syndrome locus to rat chromosome 4. *Mamm Genome* 15 135-141.

Puck TT & Marcus PI 1955 A RAPID METHOD FOR VIABLE CELL TITRATION AND CLONE PRODUCTION WITH HELA CELLS IN TISSUE CULTURE: THE USE OF X-IRRADIATED CELLS TO SUPPLY CONDITIONING FACTORS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 41 432-437.

Raymond E, Dahan L, Raoul JL, Bang YJ, Borbath I, Lombard-Bohas C, Valle J, Metrakos P, Smith D, Vinik A, et al. 2011 Sunitinib malate for the treatment of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *N Engl J Med* 364 501-513.

Riihimäki M, Hemminki A, Sundquist K, Sundquist J & Hemminki K 2016 The epidemiology of metastases in neuroendocrine tumors. *Int J Cancer* 139 2679-2686.

Rindi G, Klimstra DS, Abedi-Ardekani B, Asa SL, Bosman FT, Brambilla E, Busam KJ, de Krijger RR, Dietel M, El-Naggar AK, et al. 2018 A common classification framework for neuroendocrine neoplasms: an International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and World Health Organization (WHO) expert consensus proposal. *Mod Pathol* 31 1770-1786.

Rinke A, Müller HH, Schade-Brittinger C, Klose KJ, Barth P, Wied M, Mayer C, Aminossadati B, Pape UF, Bläker M, et al. 2009 Placebo-controlled, double-blind, prospective, randomized study on the effect of octreotide LAR in the control of tumor growth in patients with metastatic neuroendocrine midgut tumors: a report from the PROMID Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 27 4656-4663.

Roeyen G, Chapelle T, Borbath I, Delaunoit T, Demetter P, Demolin G, Hendlisz A, Pattyn P, Pauwels S, Peeters M, et al. 2009 The role of surgery and transplantation in neuroendocrine tumours. *Acta Gastroenterol Belg* 72 39-43.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Scarpa A, Chang DK, Nones K, Corbo V, Patch AM, Bailey P, Lawlor RT, Johns AL, Miller DK, Mafficini A, et al. 2017 Whole-genome landscape of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. *Nature* 543 65-71.

Sedlack AJH, Saleh-Anaraki K, Kumar S, Ear PH, Lines KE, Roper N, Pacak K, Bergsland E, Quelle DE, Howe JR, et al. 2022 Preclinical Models of Neuroendocrine Neoplasia. *Cancers (Basel)* 14.

Singh S, Halperin D, Myrehaug S, Herrmann K, Pavel M, Kunz PL, Chasen B, Tafuto S, Lastoria S, Capdevila J, et al. 2024 [(177)Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE plus long-acting octreotide versus high-dose long-acting octreotide for the treatment of newly diagnosed, advanced grade 2-3, well-differentiated, gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (NETTER-2): an open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 403 2807-2817.

Skelin M, Rupnik M & Cencic A 2010 Pancreatic beta cell lines and their applications in diabetes mellitus research. *Altex* 27 105-113.

Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, Hendifar A, Yao J, Chasen B, Mittra E, Kunz PL, Kulke MH, Jacene H, et al. 2017 Phase 3 Trial of (177)Lu-Dotatate for Midgut Neuroendocrine Tumors. *N Engl J Med* 376 125-135.

Sun C, Estrella JS, Whitley EM, Chau GP, Lozano G & Wasylishen AR 2022 Context matters - Daxx and Atrx are not robust tumor suppressors in the murine endocrine pancreas. *Dis Model Mech* 15.

Telerman A, Yossef Y, Chmelnik A & Tirosh A 2023 THU487 Xenograft of VHL-deficient Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasm Cells - A Novel Low-grade PNEN In Vivo Model. *Journal of the Endocrine Society* 7.

Tillotson LG, Lodestro C, Höcker M, Wiedenmann B, Newcomer CE & Reid LM 2001 Isolation, maintenance, and characterization of human pancreatic islet tumor cells expressing vasoactive intestinal peptide. *Pancreas* 22 91-98.

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

Tran CG, Borbon LC, Mudd JL, Abusada E, AghaAmiri S, Ghosh SC, Vargas SH, Li G, Beyer GV, McDonough M, et al. 2022 Establishment of Novel Neuroendocrine Carcinoma Patient-Derived Xenograft Models for Receptor Peptide-Targeted Therapy. *Cancers (Basel)* 14.

van Riet J, van de Werken HJG, Cuppen E, Eskens F, Tesselaar M, van Veenendaal LM, Klümper HJ, Dercksen MW, Valk GD, Lolkema MP, et al. 2021 The genomic landscape of 85 advanced neuroendocrine neoplasms reveals subtype-heterogeneity and potential therapeutic targets. *Nat Commun* 12 4612.

Vandamme T, Peeters M, Dogan F, Pauwels P, Van Assche E, Beyens M, Mortier G, Vandeweyer G, de Herder W, Van Camp G, et al. 2015 Whole-exome characterization of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor cell lines BON-1 and QGP-1. *J Mol Endocrinol* 54 137-147.

Viol F, Sipos B, Fahl M, Clauditz TS, Amin T, Kriegs M, Nieser M, Izbicki JR, Huber S, Lohse AW, et al. 2022 Novel preclinical gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasia models demonstrate the feasibility of mutation-based targeted therapy. *Cell Oncol (Dordr)* 45 1401-1419.

Vitale G, Gaudenzi G, Dicitore A, Cotelli F, Ferone D & Persani L 2014 Zebrafish as an innovative model for neuroendocrine tumors. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 21 R67-83.

Wasylishen AR, Sun C, Moyer SM, Qi Y, Chau GP, Aryal NK, McAllister F, Kim MP, Barton MC, Estrella JS, et al. 2020 Daxx maintains endogenous retroviral silencing and restricts cellular plasticity in vivo. *Sci Adv* 6 eaba8415.

White BE, Rous B, Chandrakumaran K, Wong K, Bouvier C, Van Hemelrijck M, George G, Russell B, Srirajakanthan R & Ramage JK 2022 Incidence and survival of neuroendocrine neoplasia in England 1995-2018: A retrospective, population-based study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 23 100510.

Yao JC, Shah MH, Ito T, Bohas CL, Wolin EM, Van Cutsem E, Hobday TJ, Okusaka T, Capdevila J, de Vries EG, et al. 2011 Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *N Engl J Med* 364 514-523.

Yao JC, Fazio N, Singh S, Buzzoni R, Carnaghi C, Wolin E, Tomasek J, Raderer M, Lahner H, Voi M, et al. 2016 Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of

*White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology*

the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 387 968-977.

Zandee WT & de Herder WW 2018 The Evolution of Neuroendocrine Tumor Treatment Reflected by ENETS Guidelines. *Neuroendocrinology* 106 357-365.

Zhang G, Chi Y & Du YN 2017 Identification and Characterization of Metastatic Factors by Gene Transfer into the Novel RIP-Tag; RIP-tva Murine Model. *J Vis Exp*.

Zhang T, Choi S, Zhang T, Chen Z, Chi Y, Huang S, Xiang JZ & Du YN 2020 miR-431 Promotes Metastasis of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors by Targeting DAB2 Interacting Protein, a Ras GTPase Activating Protein Tumor Suppressor. *Am J Pathol* 190 689-701.

Zhu Z, Cui W, Zhu D, Gao N & Zhu Y 2020 Common tools for pituitary adenomas research: cell lines and primary cells. *Pituitary* 23 182-188.



## White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology

## Tables

Table 1. Currently available cell lines for NENs

Tissue of origin	Disease	Species	Cell line name	Reference
Adrenal	Pheochromocytoma	Rat	PC-12	(Greene and Tischler 1976)
	Pheochromocytoma	Rat	PC-12 Adh	(Greene and Tischler 1976)
Lung	Typical lung NET	Human	H727	(Carney, <i>et al.</i> 1985)
	Typical lung NET	Human	H835	(Carney, <i>et al.</i> 1985)
	Atypical lung NET	Human	H720	(Carney, <i>et al.</i> 1985)
Pancreas	PanNET	Human	BON-1	(Evers, <i>et al.</i> 1991)
	PanNET	Human	QGP1	(Kaku, <i>et al.</i> 1980)
	PanNET	Human	SPNE1	(Lou, <i>et al.</i> 2022)
	PanNET	Human	NT-3	(Benten, <i>et al.</i> 2018)
	PanNET	Human	NT-18P	(Viol, <i>et al.</i> 2022)
	PanNET Liver Metastasis	Human	NT-18LM	(Viol, <i>et al.</i> 2022)
	PanNET local recurrence	Human	NT-36	(Viol, <i>et al.</i> 2022)
	PanNET	Human	HuNET	(Tillotson, <i>et al.</i> 2001)
	PanNET	Canine	canINS	(Capodanno, <i>et al.</i> 2018)
	Insulinoma	Mouse	MIN6	(Miyazaki, <i>et al.</i> 1990)
Pituitary	Insulinoma	Mouse	N134	(Du, <i>et al.</i> 2007)
	Insulinoma	Rat	INS1	(Skelin, <i>et al.</i> 2010)
		Mouse	AtT20	(Buonassisi, <i>et al.</i> 1962)
Small bowel	Ileal NET	Human	GOT1	(Kölby, <i>et al.</i> 2001)

## White paper formatted for Endocrine Oncology

**Table 2.** Summary of culture media for NEN spheroids, organoids, and tissue.

<b>Culture Type</b>	<b>Manuscripts</b>	<b>Media Compositions</b>
<b>Spheroids</b>	Ear et al. 2019	DMEM/F12, 10% FBS, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, 1% Glutamine, 10 mM nicotinamide, 10 µg/mL insulin.
	April-Monn et al. 2021	DMEM-F12, 5% FBS, HEPES 10 mM, 1% L-glutamine (200 mM), 1% penicillin (100 U/ mL), 1% streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL), 1% amphotericin B (0.25 mg/mL), 20 ng/mL EGF, 10 ng/mL bFGF, 100 ng/mL PlGF, 769 ng/mL IGF-1.
	Gillettes et al. 2021	DMEM/F12, 10% FBS, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, + 1% GlutaMAX, 10 mM HEPES + EGF.
<b>Organoids</b>	Kawaski et al. 2020	Advanced DMEM/F12 supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM GlutaMAX, 13 B27, 10 nM gastrin I, and 1 mM N-acetylcysteine. A complete medium was prepared by supplementing the basal culture medium with the following niche factors: 50 ng/ml mouse recombinant EGF, 50 ng/ml human recombinant FGF-2, 100 ng/ml human recombinant IGF-1 (BioLegend), 100 ng/ml mouse recombinant noggin (Peprotech), 1 mg/ml recombinant human R-spondin-1 (R&D), 25% Afamin-Wnt-3A serum- free conditioned medium, and 500 nM A83-01.
	D'Agosto et al. 2023	Advanced DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10 mM HEPES, GlutaMAX, Primocin (1 mg/ml, InvivoGen), N-acetyl-L-cysteine (1.25 mM), Wnt3a-conditioned medium (50% v/v), R-spo1- conditioned medium (10% v/v), recombinant Noggin (100 ng/ml), Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF, 50 ng/ml), Gastrin (10 nM), Fibroblast Growth Factor 10 (FGF10, 100 ng/ml), Nicotinamide (10 mM), and A83-01 (0.5 µM).
	Dayton et al. 2023	Advanced DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1x GlutaMAX, 10 mM HEPES, penicillin-streptomycin, Primocin, 1% Noggin conditioned medium, 20% of RSPO1 conditioned medium (made in-house), 1x B27 supplement, 1.25 mM n-Acetylcystein, 3 µM CHIR, 1 µM Prostaglandin E2, 0.005 µg/mL FGF2, 10 µM ROCK inhibitor, 500 nM A83-01, 3 µM p38 inhibitor SB202190. All lung NET organoids and some LCNEC organoids were grown in media additionally supplemented with 0.05 µg/ml EGF.
<b>Tissue</b>	Herring et al. 2021	Phenol Red Free DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS, Penicillin/Streptomycin.

**Table 3.** Summary of the types of existing *in vivo* NEN models

Model Type	Species					
	Drosophila	Zebrafish	Mouse	Rat	Dog	Frog
<b>GEMM</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
<b>Spontaneous</b>	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
<b>Xenograft</b>	No	Yes	Success rate around 10%	Yes	No	No